Variable Name Lever		Variable Name	Lever	Variable Name	Lever
		ADLs		Behaviors	5
Bathing- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Roll Left/Right- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Injurious to Self- Behavior Status	Currently requires intervention and/or dis
Bathing- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Roll Left/Right- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Injurious to Self- Cueing Frequency	2) More than once per month and up to w
Dressing- Upper Body- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Sit to Lying- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Injurious to Self- Physical Intervention Freq.	2) More than once per month and up to w
Dressing- Upper Body- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Sit to Lying Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Injurious to Self- Planned Intervention Freq.	1) Less than monthly to once per month -
Dressing- Lower Body- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Lying to Sitting- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Injurious to Self- Other Frequency	
Dressing- Lower Body- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Lying to Sitting- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Physically Aggressive- Behavior Status	Currently requires intervention and/or dis
Dressing- Footwear- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Sit to Stand- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Physically Aggressive- Cueing Frequency	2) More than once per month and up to w
Dressing- Footwear- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Transfer- Sit to Stand- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Physically Aggressive- Physical Intervention Freq.	2) More than once per month and up to w
Dressing- Outfit for Weather	Severely impaired: Demonstrates extreme	Transfer- Chair/Bed to Chair- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Physically Aggressive- Planned Intervention Freq.	1) Less than monthly to once per month -
Toileting- Toilet Hygiene- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance	Transfer- Chair/Bed to Chair- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Physically Aggressive- Other Frequency	
Toileting- Toilet Hygiene- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance	Transfer- Car Transfer- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Behavior Status	Currently requires intervention and/or dis
Toileting- Toilet Transfer- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance	Transfer- Car Transfer- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Cueing Frequency	2) More than once per month and up to w
Toileting- Toilet Transfer- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance	Eating- Eating- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Physical Intervention Freq.	2) More than once per month and up to w
Toileting- Menses Care- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Eating- Eating- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Planned Intervention Freq.	1) Less than monthly to once per month -
Toileting- Menses Care- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Eating- Tube Feeding- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Other Frequency	
Toileting- Clean After Toileting		Eating- Tube Feeding- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance	Verbally Aggressive- Threat to Safety	Yes
Toileting- Toilet Environment				Property Destruction- Behavior Status	Currently requires intervention and/or dis
Toileting- Bladder Equipment	Yes			Property Destruction- Cueing Frequency	2) More than once per month and up to w
Toileting- Bladder Program	Yes			Property Destruction- Physical Intervention Freq.	2) More than once per month and up to w
Toileting- Bowel Equipment	Yes			Property Destruction- Planned Intervention Freq.	1) Less than monthly to once per month -
Toileting- Bowel Program	Yes			Property Destruction- Other Frequency	
Mobility- Participant Walk	Yes			Likelihood Behavior Reoccur if Services Withdrawn	Behavior would almost certainly reoccur
Mobility- Walk 10 Feet- Usual	Partial/moderate assistance			Memory & Cog	nition
Mobility- Walk 10 Feet- Most Dependent	Partial/moderate assistance		Color Coding for Levers from Highest	Severity of Memory Issue	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk 50 Feet- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance		Level of Support to Lowest	Severity of Attention Issue	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk 50 Feet- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance		Green (Highest)	Severity of Problem Solving Issue	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk 150 Feet- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance		Purple	Severity of Planning Issue	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk 150 Feet- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance		Blue	Severity of Judgment Issue	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk Outside- Usual	Supervision or touching assistance		Yellow	Ability to Make Decisions	Moderately impaired: Demonstrates mark
Mobility- Walk Outside- Most Dependent	Supervision or touching assistance		Orange	Ability to Express Self to Individuals Familiar With	Exhibits some difficulty with expressing ne
Mobility- Use Wheelchair	Yes		Red (Lowest)	Ability to Express Self to Individuals Unfamiliar With	
Mobility- Type of Wheelchair	Motorized wheelchair/scooter		Black (Not using lever)		
Mobility- Wheel 50 Feet- Usual	Independent			_	
Mobility- Wheel 50 Feet- Most Dependent	Independent				
Mobility- Wheel 150 Feet- Usual	Independent				
Malailita Milaad 150 Faat Maat Danaadaat	to decrease and some				

Scenario 3

Mobility- Wheel 150 Feet- Most Dependent Independent

Outcome Table 1: Pilot Population LOC Summary									
	ilot Population	ULTC	100.2	New					
P	#	%	#	%	% Change				
	Met NF-LOC	390	94%	367	89%	6%			
All	NF-LOC Not Met	23	6%	46	11%				
	Lost/Gained Eligibility	41	11%	18	78%				
EBD	Met NF-LOC	123	92%	115	86%	6%			
Population	NF-LOC Not Met	11	8%	19	14%				
Population	Lost/Gained Eligibility	17	14%	9	82%				
	Met NF-LOC	97	99%	92	94%	5%			
IDD Population	NF-LOC Not Met	1	1%	6	6%				
	Lost/Gained Eligibility	6	6%	1	100%				
Mental Health	Met NF-LOC	92	92%	84	84%	8%			
Population	NF-LOC Not Met	8	8%	16	16%				
Population	Lost/Gained Eligibility	13	14%	5	63%				
Children	Met NF-LOC	78	96%	76	94%	2%			
	NF-LOC Not Met	3	4%	5	6%				
Population	Lost/Gained Eligibility	5	6%	3	100%				
Non-CLLI	Met NF-LOC	62	97%	59	92%	5%			
Children	NF-LOC Not Met	2	3%	5	8%				
Population	Lost/Gained Eligibility	5	8%	2	100%				

Scenario 3

Outcome Table 2: Waiver Population LOC Summary										
,	Maine Benedation	ULTC	100.2	New	Items					
	Waiver Population	#	%	#	%	% Change				
	Met NF-LOC	390	94%	367	89%	6%				
All	NF-LOC Not Met	23	6%	46	11%					
	Lost/Gained Eligibility	41	11%	18	78%					
CLLI	Met NF-LOC	16	94%	17	100%	-6%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	1	6%	0	0%					
waivei	Lost/Gained Eligibility	0	0%	1	100%					
CEC	Met NF-LOC	27	100%	27	100%	0%				
CES Waiver	NF-LOC Not Met	0	0%	0	0%					
	Lost/Gained Eligibility	0	0%	0	0					
CHCBC	Met NF-LOC	32	94%	29	85%	9%				
CHCBS Waiver	NF-LOC Not Met	2	6%	5	15%					
	Lost/Gained Eligibility	5	16%	2	100%					
CLIDD	Met NF-LOC	2	100%	2	100%	0%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	0	0%	0	0%					
waivei	Lost/Gained Eligibility	0	0%	0	0					
EDD	Met NF-LOC	116	93%	107	86%	7%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	9	7%	18	14%					
Waiver	Lost/Gained Eligibility	17	15%	8	89%					
CMHS	Met NF-LOC	48	92%	40	77%	15%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	4	8%	12	23%					
CHRP Waiver EBD Waiver CMHS Waiver	Lost/Gained Eligibility	10	21%	2	50%					
	Met NF-LOC	14	93%	14	93%	0%				
BI Waiver	NF-LOC Not Met	1	7%	1	7%					
CES Waiver CHCBS Waiver CHRP Waiver EBD Waiver CMHS Waiver BI Waiver SCI Waiver SLS Waiver DD	Lost/Gained Eligibility	0	0%	0	0%					
SCI	Met NF-LOC	12	80%	14	93%	-13%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	3	20%	1	7%					
Waiver	Lost/Gained Eligibility	1	8%	3	100%					
SLS	Met NF-LOC	45	98%	43	93%	4%				
	NF-LOC Not Met	1	2%	3	7%					
vvaivei	Lost/Gained Eligibility	3	7%	1	100%					
חח	Met NF-LOC	69	100%	66	96%	4%				
Waiver	NF-LOC Not Met	0	0%	3	4%					
Liver	Lost/Gained Eligibility	3	4%	0	0%					

Outcome Table 3: Overview of ADLs Met										
		# Met ADL	Average #			nder New Items Only	Meet ADLs Und	ADLs Under 100.2 Only		
		Criteria	ADLs Met		Total	Results in meeting LOC	Total	Results in not meeting LOC		
100.2	#	224	2.04	100.2 vs. New	41	14	39	18		
100.2	%	54%					39	18		
Now Itoms	#	226	2.45	Items	100/	20/	00/	40/		
New Items	%	55%			10%	3%	9%	4%		
Difference	#	-2			Changed	#	80			
Difference	%	0%]		Outcome	%	19%			

Outcome Table 4: Overview of Behavior Criteria Met									
		# Met		Meet Behav Items	. Under New Only	Meet Behav. Under 100.2 Only			
		Behav. Criteria		Total	Results in meeting LOC	Total	Results in not meeting LOC		
100.2	#	180		53	3	63	15		
100.2	%	44%	100.2 vs.		3	03	15		
New Items	#	170	New Items	120/	10/	15%	4%		
new items	%	41%		13%	1%	15%	470		
Difference	#	10		Changed	#	116			
Difference	%	2%		Outcome	%	28%			

Outcome Table 5: Overview of Mem/Cog Criteria Met										
			Meet Mem/Cog			Meet Mem/Cog Under 100.2 Or				
		# Met		Total	Results in meeting LOC	Total	Results in not meeting LOC			
100.2	#	270		44	9	37	14			
100.2	%	65%	100.2 vs.		3	37	14			
Now Itoms	#	277	New Items	110/	20/	9%	3%			
New Items	%	67%		11%	2%	370	3%			
Difference	#	-7		Changed	#	81				
Difference	%	-2%		Outcome	%	20%				

	Outcome Table 6: Breakdown of Individual ADLs Met										
ADL			Met Criteria								
	100.2	#	188								
bo	100.2	%	46%								
Bathing	New Items	#	168	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
Batl	New Items	%	41%	ADL	ADL	Score Changed	NOW MEET LOC	LOC			
_	Difference	#	20	25	45	70	8	10			
	Difference	%	5%	6%	11%	17%	2%	2%			
	100.2	#	141								
50	100.2	%	34%								
ssin	New Items	#	171	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
Dressing	New Items	%	41%	ADL	ADL	Score changed	Now Meet Loc	LOC			
_	Difference	#	-30	50	20	70	8	0			
	Difference	%	-7%	12%	5%	17%	2%	0%			
	100.2	#	120								
<u>8</u>	100.2	%	29%								
Toileting	New Items	#	185	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
i <u>ë</u>		%	45%	ADL	ADL	Score changea	now meet 200	LOC			
	Difference	#	-65	76	11	87	6	1			
		%	-16%	18%	3%	21%	1%	0%			
	100.2	#	175								
		%	42%								
þilig	New Items	#	215	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
Mobility		%	52%	ADL	ADL			LOC			
	Difference	#	-40	67	27	94	9	8			
		%	-10%	16%	7%	23%	2%	2%			
bo	100.2	#	135								
ring		%	33%								
ransferring	New Items	#	189	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
ans		%	46%	ADL	ADL			LOC			
Ė	Difference	#	-54	80	26	106	13	13			
		%	-13%	19%	6%	26%	3%	3%			
	100.2	#	83								
60		%	20%	N				Al- 1			
Eating	New Items	#	84	Now Meet	No Longer Met	Score Changed	Now Meet LOC	No Longer Meet			
E C		%	20%	ADL	ADL			LOC			
	Difference	#	-1	24	23	47	2	1			
		%	0%	6%	6%	11%	0%	0%			

	Outcome Table 7: Breakdown of All LOC Criteria (ADL, Behavior, & Mem/Cog) Individuals Met											
		Met LOC	Did not Meet	Met All 3		Met	2 of 3				Met 1 of 3	
		Wet Loc	LOC	Wet All 5	Total	ADL & Beh.	ADL & Mem/Cog	Mem/Cog & Beh	Total	ADL	Beh.	Mem/Cog
100.2	#	390	23	75	134	11	61	62	181	77	32	72
100.2	%	94%	6%	18%	32%	3%	15%	15%	44%	19%	8%	17%
Now Itoms	#	367	46	83	140	10	66	64	144	67	13	64
New Items	%	89%	11%	20%	34%	2%	16%	15%	35%	16%	3%	15%
Difference	#	23	-23	-8	-6	1	-5	-2	37	10	19	8
Difference	%	6%	-6%	-2%	-1%	0%	-1%	0%	9%	2%	5%	2%

Scenario 3