Minutes

Call to Order

- Michelle Mills called the meeting to order as Chair of the eHealth Commission

Approval of Minutes
- July minutes approved

SIM Health IT Update - Carrie Paykoc, State Health IT Coordinator
- The grant is for approximately $65 million, with about $9 million available for investment in health IT projects.
- Discussions around centralized data warehouse
- MediAnalytics conducted assessment to analyze value based payment models and prioritize objectives
  - First and foremost, patient attribution is a priority for SIM goals
  - Secondly, centralized reporting tool for providers to share their data and be able to report on aggregate data
- Next steps will be determining how to work with partners, project implementation details (phasing, etc).

OeHI Update - Chris Underwood, Interim OeHI Director
- Director job has been posted, Governor’s office currently scheduling candidate interviews
- Have started gathering requirements for qualified entities - plan to conduct interviews with Commission members
  - Expect to have a draft the 1st week of September, will review with CedarBridge Group in September, and present the result at the October Commission meeting

Grant Announcements/Funding Updates - Commission Members
- [Marc Lassaux, QHN] On July 13, ONC offered supplemental grant to Colorado Advanced Interoperability Initiative. Very short turnaround - would like to think about how the Commission can get involved and react to these types of grant opportunities
The Office was made aware of the grant opportunity and has been working with QHN. Suggest the Office communicate this back to the Commission in between meeting cycles.

Review of Meeting Objectives - Michelle Mills, Chair
- Agree to and understand roles and responsibilities for Colorado Health IT Roadmap
- Understand and become comfortable with the Colorado Health IT Roadmap project process
- Track identification of new discussion/agenda topics

Colorado Health IT Roadmap Steering Committee Kickoff

Laura Kolkman and Bob Brown, Mosaica Partners
- Identification of Core Project Team, which will be responsible for preparing information for the Steering Committee and conducting the work that needs to be done to move the project forward
- Review of OeHI responsibilities from the Governor’s Executive Order
- Review of high level eHealth Commission responsibilities from the OeHI Charter
- Why a State Health IT Roadmap?
  o Support Governor’s State of Health initiative
  o Strategically align Health IT projects in Colorado
  o Gain a solid understanding of current projects and unmet needs to enable innovation
  o Provide a structure to determine the fit of current Health IT initiatives and identify new opportunities
- Health IT Roadmap Steering Committee Roles & Responsibilities
  o Align initiatives to maximize their success - there are so many projects that overlap; their intended outcomes are aligned and valid but in many cases, could be better executed if working together and we could achieve more overall as a State
  o If we want to be the Healthiest State in the Nation, we should consider looking beyond the traditional Healthcare ecosystem, to include prevention, etc.
- Project process
  o Contents of the Health IT Roadmap will include the following:
    - Background - current state, history, etc.
    - Process for creating the roadmap
    - Recommended initiatives in 5 areas - Stakeholder Engagement & Participation; Governance; Business & Finance; Privacy & Security; Technology
    - Timeline
    - Funding
    - Transition plan
  o The Steering Committee will be responsible for bringing their Colorado-specific expertise and knowledge to feed into the Roadmap; Mosaica Partners will help guide the process
 This process has been successfully used in several other states to create a roadmap
  o First identify what are our objectives
  o Then, identify what needs to be done to accomplish those initiatives
  o Then, identify what enablers need to be in place for us to do the work
  o Then, identify what projects are required to put those enablers in place
  o Therefore, the initiatives in the roadmap will be clearly linked to the objectives
- Discussion and input from the Commission
  o Would like to see the rural communities considered, not just Denver-centric
  o Would like to include some type of process to remain flexible as opportunities pop up, since we can’t always know what is coming our way
  o The plan needs to be actionable and have measurable outcomes and success factors so that we can all be accountable
  o Needs to consider innovation and have a connection/collaboration with the private industry because there are a lot of innovative ideas in that space; we should make sure we are aligned
  o [Question] Is it a plan for the Office? Or a plan for the State? Answer - this will be the State roadmap; it will not necessarily provide direction for how each individual agency or organization will build their IT capabilities, but it should have guidance that they can align with
    ▪ [Carrie Paykoc, State HIT Coordinator] The idea is that the roadmap will be the “mothership” and the other individual plans/roadmaps should support and feed into it
  o The plan should clearly state the benefits for all stakeholders - what’s in it for me?
- Stakeholders
  o Ideas for additional stakeholders (other than what is listed on the slide)
    ▪ Schools
    ▪ Private innovation companies
    ▪ Behavioral health
    ▪ Substance use
    ▪ Additional representation from commercial payers
    ▪ Consumer advocate
    ▪ Colorado Health Foundation
    ▪ Community resource centers
- Project timeline and Next Steps
  o Interviews will continue in August
  o Envisioning workshops will be conducted throughout September and Commission members are strongly encouraged to attend
  o Develop and present project principles to Steering Committee in September
  o Develop and present potential objectives to Steering Committee in October
Public Comment
No public comment

Discussion on August Agenda and Closing Remarks

Potential future agenda items
- Request additional updates on funding opportunities and ongoing projects
- Have a placeholder on the agenda for updates/information from private industry
- Considerations to make the meetings longer in the future to allow for additional information - not needed at this time, but consider for future meetings, with reasonable notice.

Next Steps and Action Items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CedarBridge Group will be reaching out to each of the Commission members to gain an understanding of how their organizations fit into the interests of the Office as well as their current state and strategic priorities. The primary focus of these conversations will be on Personal Health Records, Master Patient Index, and Master Provider Directory (mainly because this is where there is existing CMS funding).</td>
<td>CedarBridge Group; Commission Members</td>
<td>Prior to March Commission meeting</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Review Organizational Charter; send feedback or comments to <a href="mailto:Matthew.Benson@northhighland.com">Matthew.Benson@northhighland.com</a> or <a href="mailto:Veronica.Menard@hcpf.state.co.us">Veronica.Menard@hcpf.state.co.us</a></td>
<td>Commission Members</td>
<td>Prior to March Commission meeting</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Provide background information and additional reading materials on the Health IT topics, including Person Identification</td>
<td>CedarBridge Group</td>
<td>Prior to March Commission Meeting</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vote to approve Organizational Charter</td>
<td>Commission Members</td>
<td>At March Commission meeting</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Consider nominations for Chair and Vice-Chair of the Commission; send nominations to <a href="mailto:Christopher.Underwood@hcpf.state.co.us">Christopher.Underwood@hcpf.state.co.us</a></td>
<td>Commission Members</td>
<td>Prior to April Commission meeting</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting Minutes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Review SOPs; send feedback or comments to <a href="mailto:Matthew.Benson@northhighland.com">Matthew.Benson@northhighland.com</a> or <a href="mailto:Veronica.Menard@hcpf.state.co.us">Veronica.Menard@hcpf.state.co.us</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Describe some of the thinking that brought the Office to the identification of the 3 priorities presented.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sign up to attend envisioning workshops in September</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Formulate ideas for Project Principles for Health IT Roadmap project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commission Members | Prior to April Commission Meeting | Completed |
Office of eHealth Innovation | April Commission Meeting | Completed |
Commission Members | September | Commission Meeting |
Commission Members | September | Commission Meeting |