

Dislocated Worker Formula Change Request – Attachment 3
PY12 Full Year Data for Funding, Expenditures and Number Served by Region
 (Used in Proposed Formula Calculations)
 March 13, 2014

Region	DW \$\$ Available For PY12 after Transfers	Amount Spent	% Spent	% of Total Available	Number Served	% of Total Served	% Total Available versus %Total Served
Adams	\$1,121,223	\$844,567	75.33%	9.68%	197	6.28%	-3.40%
Arapahoe	\$2,112,893	\$1,937,730	91.71%	18.24%	781	24.90%	6.66%
Boulder	\$345,409	\$280,078	81.09%	2.98%	141	4.49%	1.51%
Denver	\$1,293,916	\$970,702	75.02%	11.16%	185	5.90%	-5.26%
El Paso	\$950,063	\$725,130	76.32%	8.20%	437	13.93%	5.73%
Larimer	\$698,339	\$562,172	80.50%	6.03%	229	7.30%	1.27%
Tri-County	\$1,435,181	\$881,376	61.41%	12.39%	347	11.06%	-1.33%
Weld	\$682,543	\$433,337	63.49%	5.89%	151	4.81%	-1.08%
Rural	\$2,945,819	\$1,687,510	57.28%	25.43%	669	21.33%	-4.10%
Total	\$11,585,386	\$8,322,602	71.84%	100.00%	3137	100.00%	

Regions in yellow had a lower percentage of the total served than their percentage of the total funding available.