ANNOUNCEMENTS

OeHI UPDATES

- eHealth Commission New Member
- Master Health IT Consultant Update
- FCC Letter for Broadband Support
- Guiding Principles
- MPI/MPD Requirements

SIM UPDATES

- Cohort 2 Request for Applications Now Open
## FOLLOW UP ON ACTION ITEMS FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action Item</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Timeframe</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft letter to FCC</td>
<td>OeHI Director/Commission</td>
<td>January/February</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health IT Innovation sub-working group</td>
<td>OeHI Director</td>
<td>Winter/Spring</td>
<td>Kick-Off Meeting March 7th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health IT Planning sub-working group</td>
<td>OeHI Director</td>
<td>Winter/Spring</td>
<td>In progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadband sub-working group</td>
<td>OeHI Director</td>
<td>Winter/Spring</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Track and report federal and local legislation</td>
<td>OeHI Director/Health IT Coordinator</td>
<td>Winter/Spring</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STEERING COMMITTEE AGENDA

- Enablers Workshop Recap
- The Road Ahead
- Moving Forward
- Discussion
- Timeline
ENABLERS WORKSHOP RECAP
ENABLERS WORKSHOP

Held on Tuesday, February 8, 2017  23 Participants

Triple Aim:  Better Care ☀  Better Quality ☀  Lower Cost

Objectives
What we want to accomplish

Capabilities
What we must be able to do to achieve the Objectives

Enablers
What needs to be in place to support the Capabilities

Initiatives
Projects or programs to put the Enablers in place

Colorado Health IT Roadmap
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PURPOSE OF THE ENABLERS WORKSHOP

Identify those things that we need to have for the capabilities to exist.

Output from the workshop:

Output is a list containing brief descriptions of the elements of enabling infrastructure required to support the 31 capabilities.
ENABLERS WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

Justin Aubert  
QHN

Kim Ball  
Community Health Partnership

Mike Biselli  
Catalyst HTI

Jim Calanni  
Community Health Partnership

Paul Condit  
State of Colorado, Office of Information Technology

Joel Dalzell  
MMIS, Department of Health Care Policy & Financing

Robert Denson  
CORHIO

Cory Everett  
Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies

Lloyd Guthrie  
Center for Improving Value in Health Care

Anthony Halie  
Peak Vista Community Health Center

Steve Hess  
University of Colorado Health

Kelly Joines  
CORHIO

Eric Kinter  
SW Law

Janet McIntyre  
Colorado Hospital Association

Jason McRoy  
Boulder County Public Health

Jeffrey Nathanson  
Prime Health

Brian Shepard  
State of Colorado, Office of Information Technology

Jason Sunstrom  
Colorado Department of Regulatory Agencies

Chris Underwood  
Department of Health Care Policy & Financing

Steve Watson  
VTO Labs

Chris Wells  
Department of Public Health & Environment

Wes Williams  
Mental Health Center of Denver

Herb Wilson  
Department of Human Services
COMMENTS FROM WORKSHOP PARTICIPANTS

The passion and commitment to do those wonderful things. It’s reassuring.

Once the Roadmap is done … all of us take ownership … Get every advocate we can…

I’m inspired by our stakeholders.

… the diversity of organizations represented … it really does seem possible when we all come together like this.

We can do better and change the status quo.

It is a small starting point, which gives me hope.

The results were interesting.

Very encouraging to me to position our State to really manage health care.

A lot of great points were made.

This process is great.

This exercise is going to help us prioritize the initiatives. I can see the process really coming together.

When everyone in the room came together, it broadens the potential impact of what we can do.
THE ROAD AHEAD
DEVELOPING THE STATEWIDE HEALTH IT ROADMAP – THE ROAD AHEAD

Mosaica’s Large Enterprise Applied Planning Process (LEAPP™)
Optimized for Statewide Health IT / HIE Stakeholders
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MOVING FORWARD
HOW BOLD DO YOU WANT TO BE?

- Tools that support care coordination
- Statewide infrastructure that supports the “fully connected participant”
- Statewide health and health-related data governance
- Policies that reduce or remove barriers to effective health and health-related information sharing (e.g. information blocking)
- Adequate resource to support the policies, processes, programs, technical infrastructure, ongoing adoption, and sustainment required for HIT/HIE
- Standardized consent policies and best practices for sharing physical health, mental health and substance use information
TIMELINE
### Project Calendar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Project Events</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>July</td>
<td>Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Kick-Off</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steering Committee Meetings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Interviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Envisioning Workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-September 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capabilities Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enablers Workshop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public/Stakeholder Updates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March–April 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Future State Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May–June 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July–Aug 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Roadmap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept–Oct 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deliver Roadmap to State Leadership</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Nov 2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**We are here**
PUBLIC COMMENTS ON QUALIFIED BIDDERS

CARRIE PAYKOC, STATE HEALTH IT COORDINATOR
QUALIFIED BIDDERS PUBLIC COMMENTS

64 Total Comments

- 31 Questions
- 16 General Comment/Recommendation
- 9 New Requirement Recommendation
- 8 Revision Requested
- 24 In-Scope for Qualified Bidders Qualifications
COMMENT THEMES

- Privacy/Consent: 5
- Miscellaneous request for revision: 4
- Financial: 6
- Federal requirements: 1
- Contract specifications: 8
- Bidder Experience: 7
- Architectural/technical requirements: 33

Legend:
- Architectural/technical requirements
- Bidder Experience
- Contract specifications
- Financial
- Federal requirements
- Miscellaneous request for revision
- Privacy/Consent
Sample Comments

• “We recommend that MDM planning includes development of a data governance process and that requirements for experience in building a data governance structure is accounted for in the RFP”

• Elimination of duplicate data and processes by using a Master Patient Index (MPI) and Master Provider Index (MPD) reduces duplication and improves accuracy lowering costs and improving outcomes.

• No mention of patient consent for business need or functional requirements.
Questions

• Is the State expecting the bidder to use a specific state-prescribed technical solution architecture or can the bidder propose a relevant solution architecture based on State’s objectives, broader goals and technical/functional requirements? QB revision

What is the basis of the 10% administrative cost limit? Can the State provide clarity on what that includes? For example, the overhead of the implementation would be different from the overhead of the ongoing operation. Depending on what the limit includes, 10% will likely be insufficient for administrative costs.

• How will the State address the issue of a “golden record”? Would it be the State’s intention to use MDM to generate the golden record? If so, should the MDM be able to export the golden record to consuming systems?
Qualified Bidders may "legally by written contract" partner with other organizations to meet requirements and provide MPI/MPD services.

A broader definition of what Master Data Management (MDM) is and why it is needed would be helpful in the introduction. Details follow but you lose the reader real fast.

Improving health should come before advanced payment models.
New Requirements

- Colorado that bidders also reveal their number of successful implementations using a data volume comparable to Colorado Medicaid population.

- Within the past three (3) years, Qualified Bidders must demonstrate repeated experience with training technical and non-technical stakeholders.

- Prior to implementation of the MPI/MPD services, the selected vendor will be required to work with identified stakeholders to define and document the Agency’s data standards which should be upheld in the MPI/MPD design and implementation.
MPI/MPD NEXT STEPS

- MPI/MPD Public Comment review
  - Second public comment period closed 3/2/17
- Incorporate Bidder Qualifications into the MPI/MPD requirements
- RFP development
CONTACT INFORMATION

CARRIE PAYKOC, HEALTH IT COORDINATOR
CARRIE.PAYKOC@STATE.CO.US

CAROL ROBINSON, PRINCIPAL
CAROL@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

JIM YOUNKIN,
CHIEF TECHNOLOGY OFFICER
JIM@CEDARBRIDGEGROUP.COM

www.cedarbridgegroup.com
SECURITY AND PRIVACY UPDATE

STEVE WATSON, VTO LABS FOUNDER/PARTNER
QUALITY HEALTH NETWORK STRATEGY UPDATE

DICK THOMPSON, QHN CEO
REMAINING COMMISSION COMMENTS ON PRESENTATIONS

FACILITATED BY MARY ANNE LEACH, DIRECTOR, OEHI
PUBLIC COMMENT
CLOSING REMARKS, FEBRUARY AGENDA, AND ADJOURN

MICHELLE MILLS, CHAIR
Call to Order
Roll Call and Introductions, Approval of March Minutes, April Agenda and Objectives 12:00

Announcements 12:10
OeHI Updates
SIM HIT Updates
Grant Opportunities, Workgroup Updates, Announcements

New Business 12:25
Colorado Health IT Roadmap Steering Committee

MDM Update 1:25

Cerner State Implementations 1:40

Guest Presentation 2 TBD 2:05

Commission Discussion and Presentations 2:30

Public Comment Period 2:45

Closing Remarks 2:50
Open Discussion, May Agenda, Adjourn
Suggestions for future topics welcome!