



# HCBS STRATEGIES, INC.

Improving Home and Community Based Systems

[www.hcbs.info](http://www.hcbs.info) 410-366-HCBS (4227)

[info@hcbs.info](mailto:info@hcbs.info)

## Session 4: Discussion of the Pilot and Aligning Project Changes

10.28.2014		In-person
Note taker	Andrew Cieslinski	
Attendees	Tim Cortez, Brittani Trujillo, Kelly Wilson, Grace Herbison, Sean Bryan, Sarah Roberts, Jennifer Martinez, Candace Bailey	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For individuals who may be going after IDD and non-IDD waivers, there will be a counseling step prior to him/her choosing which assessment path to take in order to inform their choice of path. This may be include pursuing non-IDD waivers until they are off the IDD waiver waitlist.</li> <li>• Candace said that she would like to have the tool be able to identify level of care (hospital, NF, ICF-IID).</li> <li>• Sean Bryan said that he had concern that case managers might want to make the UTLC 100.2 and new tool consistently scored and may show this bias in their scoring.             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ May set up some where the new tool is first then the 100.2, and others that do the 100.2 and then the new tool and see how they compare.</li> <li>○ Will also be looking at eligibility to see how it effects the current determinations to see if there is a loss of service.                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ If the pilot is showing that some individuals are being kicked out of services, how will this be addressed?</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Steve said that it could be helpful to set up some sort of ongoing inter-rater reliability measures and ensure that there is ongoing training.</li> <li>• Could be good to pilot at agencies that have previously functioned as SEP and CCB, as they will have insight into both worlds.</li> <li>•</li> </ul>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•</li> </ul>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○</li> </ul>		

# Meeting Minutes

-