

Directions: Thank you for agreeing to sit on the evaluation committee. Please use this review instrument to write notes regarding proposals and to score your proposals. All the criteria set forth are in the original RFP and reflect the intent of the TF in finding the most highly qualified data analytics vendor. Each criterium has a maximum number of points with each criterium weighted by low, medium or high. The total possible weighted score is 150. Please submit your scoring and evaluation sheet for each proposal to Vatsala Pathy, Project Manager at vatsala.pathy@rootstockolutions.com.

Criteria	Weights	Total Possible Points	My Points (Bishop)	Weighted Points (Bishop)	My Points (Abacus)	Weighted Points (Abacus)
Completeness of response	Low	10		0		0
Adherence to criteria as described	Low	10		0		0
Innovativeness in response	Low	10		0		0
Offeror's Specific Experience	Low	10		0		0
Point Total		40	0	0	0	0
Weighted Percent Total (Out of 10%)				0%		0%
Performance Guarantees	Medium	10		0		0
Approach to implementing the data analytics database and the user interface and how the Offeror will ensure that the data analytics database is implemented properly without need for redeployment	Medium	10		0		0
List of modifications that it will make, upon request by the Fiscal Sponsor, at no additional cost to the Fiscal Sponsor	Medium	10		0		0
Bidder's understanding the scope and supports that may be required for accepting comments and files from outside entities	Medium	10		0		0
Point Total		40	0	0	0	0
Weighted Percent Total (Out of 30%)				0%		0%
Ability and support of data table input from outside sources	High	10		0.000		0.000
Ability to report the data changes based on input from outside sources	High	10		0.000		0.000
Description of report capabilities and query requests	High	10		0.000		0.000
Description of user interface and user class	High	10		0.000		0.000
Viewable file size for data within the data analytics database	High	10		0.000		0.000
Technical assistance capabilities for Users, Staffing infrastructure size, experience and leadership structure	High	10		0.000		0.000
Description of ongoing user support	High	10		0.000		0.000
Section Point Total		70	0	0.000	0	0.000
Weighted Percent Total (Out of 60%)				0%		0%
GRAND TOTAL (Points)			0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
GRAND TOTAL (Percentage)			0%	0%	0%	0%

SCORING INFORMATION		
Point Range		
	Point Range	Weighted Point Range
Low	0 - 10	0 - 3.75
Medium	0 - 10	0 - 11.25
High	0 - 10	0 - 12.857
Scoring Weight		
Low Weight	10%	
Medium Weight	30%	
High Weight	60%	
Total Weight	100%	

SCORING SUMMARY		
Weighted Points		
	Bishop	Abacus
Low	0	0
Medium	0	0
High	0.000	0.000
Total	0.00	0.00
Weighted Percentages		
	Bishop	Abacus
Low	0%	0%
Medium	0%	0%
High	0%	0%
Total %	0%	0%

	Y/N	Comments
Potential for conflict of interest (Yes or No?)	N	Do not see a conflict of interest for Bishop based on having worked on a prior project with TF member Dr Moeller. No conflict of interest for Abacus