

HCBS Waiver Redesign Workgroup Meeting Summary
 June 23, 2014
 Division for Developmental Disabilities
 303 E. 17th Street, Denver, CO 80236

| Work Group Members | State Staff | Guests | Facilitators (HMA) |
|--|--|---|--|
| Joe Manee Steve Valente Tamara French Molly Kennis Carol Meredith Rob DeHerrera | Kendra Kettler Roger Jensen Marty Kennedy Kevin Grave | Tyler Deines Lori Thompson Michele Craig Adam Tucker | Robert Hernandez Danny Villalobos Marci Eads Jackie Laundon |

| Agenda Item | Summary of Discussion | Requests and Follow up |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Welcome/ Introductions | Everyone introduced themselves | |
| Updates | <p>At the June meeting of Community Living Advisory Group- Waiver Simplification Subcommittee (CLAG-WS), they reviewed general service definitions and made revisions. These revisions were sent out for comment two weeks ago for the final time, and are to be presented to the CLAG on June 23.</p> <p>The objective of this meeting was to examine the proposed service definitions and compare them to what the group had determined what is and isn't working in the current HCBS waivers. Everyone should have received a summary of the May meeting.</p> | |
| Discussion on Employment | The group felt that employment should be a priority, and different options should be allowed and/or considered, such as micro-enterprise, school-to-work programs, and job peer programs. The group felt there is a need to be more specific about day activity in general. | |
| Discussion on Transition Supports | The group discussed needing to bring in personal coaches sooner, as well as needing to clarify the roles of personal coaches and case managers. The group also felt there should be flexibility in home modification, since the person may not own the property. School-to-work transitions need to be more flexible, promote more engagement, and focus more on critical ages (18-24); the group also felt there is a need for more employment programs for population in early adulthood. | |
| Discussion on Residential | The discussion revolved around finding housing options that work best | How do other states do residential structures? |

| Agenda Item | Summary of Discussion | Requests and Follow up |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | <p>for the person. The group felt that all options should be considered when doing the person's service plan. The group felt that having some information about other states' residential structures for this population would be helpful. The group determined the following services related to residential that may or may not already be in the service definitions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing • Awake staff at night • G-tube feeding • Behavioral (in the home) • Personal care (with ADLs) • 24-hour access to support • Support needed between structured activities to support independent living • Skill-building and teaching | |
| Discussion on Technology | <p>The group agreed that there is a need to make sure that this topic is in the service planning discussion. Specifics about ensuring the availability of technical assistance, training providers on what is available and how to use technological tools, and ensuring the efficacy of delivering these services were discussed.</p> | |
| Discussion on what is missing | <p>The group discussed numerous issues, including payment methodology, the life plan, and the existence of too many siloes that act as barriers to care / improvement of quality of life. Additionally, the priority of allowing this population to take risks was discussed, including maximizing natural opportunities for romantic relationships to form through emphasizing social activities as a person-centered approach.</p> | <p>Discussion next meeting should be on going through the life plan.</p> |