Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
Randomization	Method of	Randomization	Not	"Not
	generation of an	is claimed, but	randomized	randomized"
	unpredictable	method is not		includes
	randomization	clearly		allocation by
	sequence clearly			chart number,
	described (e.g.,			date of birth, or
	random number			other method
	table, computer			which does not
	random number			use an allocation
	generator),			list which is
	including details			prepared by a
	of any			random process
	restrictions			generated by the
	(e.g., blocking,			investigators;
	stratification)			however,
				minimization
				may be an
				acceptable
				alternative
				method of
				participant
				allocation
Concealment	Method of	Concealment	Not concealed	Concealment
of allocation	concealment of	method is not		methods may
	allocation list is	clearly		include
	adequately	described		sequentially
	described			numbered
				opaque
				envelopes,
				allocation
				sequence kept in
	X			a central
				telephone
Dentisinent	Clear	Desmitters and an	Desmittersent	location, etc.
Participant	Clear designation of	Recruitment or	Recruitment	Recruitment and
recruitment	designation of	eligibility	and eligibility	eligibility criteria
and engibility	now participants	criteria vague	missing	are applied
	(referred by	or sketchy	missing	rendomization
	(referral by			honce they do
	primary care			nence, mey do
	roforrol			internal validity
	advortisement)			of the study but
	auvertisement)		1	of the study, but

Randomized clinical trials

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
Blinding of	and what was required for trial entry (clinical diagnosis, comorbid conditions, age, etc.) Patients and	Patients or	Lack of	may limit its external validity; clear eligibility criteria are needed for the reader to decide if the results are applicable to a particular patient population Some
patients and caregivers	caregivers are not aware of their treatment group until the end of the study	caregivers are likely to be aware of their treatment group before the study ends	blinding	interventions do not allow for blinding of patients or providers of care, and some degree of bias may be unavoidable
Blinding of assessors of outcome and of data analysts	Researchers who are measuring or assessing the outcome are unaware of the treatment group of the patient being assessed, and those who analyze the statistical results are also unaware	Blinding of assessors is possible, but not clearly described	Lack of blinding of either assessors or analysts	Blinding of outcome assessors and data analysts is feasible in many circumstances which do not permit blinding of patients and caregivers
Blinding success	Participants are asked to guess which treatment they received, the percentage of correct guesses is recorded, and is compared to what is expected by chance	Participants are asked to guess which treatment they received, but there is no comparison with what is expected by chance	No mention of whether participants were asked to guess their treatment assignment	Useful to help reader assess how well the blinding worked, especially when there is reason to suspect that the physiologic effects of an intervention will be apparent;

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
Participant follow-up	A flow diagram, accompanied by description in the text of the study, shows how many patients were recruited, were eligible, and enrolled in the study; after randomization, there is clear accounting for each group's attrition, the numbers of crossovers, the number completing the study, the number analyzed for each outcome, and reasons for attrition and exclusion from analysis	Some description of numbers of patients at each stage of the study, but lacking a flow diagram, or requiring effort on the part of the reader to determine the flow of patients through the stages of the study, with reasons for attrition or exclusion not described even though numbers are reported	Insufficient information to determine the flow of patients through the stages of the study	however, this should be applied cautiously, since unblinding by efficacy may occur, and is not <u>a source of bias</u> Especially important when there is significant attrition during the study, when there are crossovers from treatment groups initially assigned, or when patients are excluded from the analysis for reasons that are not apparent to the reader
follow-up	reported for more than one short-term measurement (once during and once at the end of the intervention	and one long term outcome reported	outcome only	

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	period) and			
	more than one			
	long term			
	measurement			
	(e.g., several			
	weeks and again			
	several months			
	after the			
	intervention			
	period			
Baseline	Tabular form	Partial	Lack of	Usually in Table
comparison	clearly allows	description of	description of	I; p values are
_	the reader to see	baseline data,	baseline	optional (since
	the important	lacking tabular	variables	by definition all
	variables at	form, with		imbalances arose
	entry for each	some important		by chance), but it
	treatment group	variables not		is useful if large
	for potential	reported		chance
	known			imbalances are
	confounders			marked with an
	(age, sex,			asterisk or other
	symptom			designation
	severity,			
	symptom			
	duration,			
	number of			
	previous			
	interventions,			
	etc.)			
Primary	Clear	Outcomes are	Symptom	It may be
outcome	designation of	reported for	outcomes are	acceptable if a
	which outcome	symptoms and	reported, but	symptom (e.g.,
	is regarded as	for function,	functional	numerical pain
	the primary	but it is not	outcomes are	score) is
	endpoint of the	clear which	not reported	designated as
	study, and at	was the		primary, but a
	least one	primary		functional
	secondary	outcome		outcome is
	outcome; there			important as well
	should be at			
	least one			
	symptom			
	outcome and			
	one functional			
	outcome			

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	reported			
Analysis of	Intention to treat	As treated	Completers	Intention to treat
results	(patients	analysis, with	only are	is expected to
	analyzed in their	low attrition	analyzed	yield a
	original			conservative
	assigned			estimate of
	treatment			treatment effect,
	groups) is done			but preserves the
	for primary and			randomization of
	secondary			the original
	outcomes, with			allocation, and
	"as treated"			may give a more
	outcomes			accurate estimate
	reported when			of the
	significant			effectiveness of
	crossovers have			treatment in the
	occurred;			real world
	sensitivity			
	analysis is			
	provided for			
	"best case" and			
	"worst case"			
	scenarios for			
	patients with			
	missing data			
Adverse effects	Numbers of	Adverse events	Generic	
	adverse events	are reported,	statements	
	reported for all	but presented	such as	
	randomized	as the total	"generally	
	participants both	numbers of all	well tolerated"	
	arms of the	events without	are used	
	study, with	separate data	without	
	separate data for	for each type	numerical	
	each type of	of event;	data, or	
	adverse event;	efforts at active	adverse events	
	participant	surveillance	are not	
	withdrawals due	not reported as	reported	
	to harms are	such; when		
	reported for	laboratory		
	each arm; both	values are		
	absolute and	reported, only		
	relative risks of	means or		
	harm are	medians are		
	compared for	reported		
	each arm; active			

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	and passive			
	surveillance of			
	harms are			
	reported; for			
	adverse effects			
	having			
	laboratory			
	values, means,			
	standard			
	deviations, and			
	extreme values			
	are reported			
Attrition	Follow-up is	Follow-up is	Follow-up is	Attrition should
	close to	high (80-90%)	less than 80%	be approximately
	complete (90%	at the end of	at the end of	equal in each
	or more in each	the study	the study	treatment arm;
	treatment arm)	period	period	differential
	at the end of the	-		attrition requires
	study period			explanation
				supported by
				reliable data
Co-	All	Co-	Co-	Blinding of
interventions	interventions,	interventions	interventions	caregivers is
(performance	including those	may have been	are likely to	expected to
bias)	in addition to	equal, but this	have been	protect against
	the study	is not clearly	different in the	performance bias
	intervention, are	stated	treatment arms	-
	clearly reported			
	and are the same			
	in both groups			
Presentation of	All outcomes	Some	All outcomes	It is not possible
outcome data	which have	outcomes	are presented	to extract
	numerical	presented with	in graphs and	numerical data
	distributions are	actual numbers	figures,	by visual
	presented with	in tables or the	without	inspection of
	actual numbers	text, and some	numerical	graphs and
	in tabular form,	outcomes are	tabulation, or	figures; actual
	or in the text of	presented with	with p values	numbers are
	the article, with	figures or	as the only	needed; graphs
	means and	graphs only	numerical data	are a supplement
	standard			to, not a
	deviations			substitute for,
				numerical data
Sample size	Sample size for	Effect measure	Sample size is	Success in
and precision	the study is	is reported with	not discussed,	recruiting and

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
of results	explained, with	appropriate	and power	retaining desired
	the effect size of	confidence	cannot be	sample size may
	interest, the type	intervals;	calculated	depend on
	I and type II	power is not	from the	circumstances
	error, and	reported, but	reported	beyond the
	anticipation of	can be	results	control of the
	attrition; effect	calculated from		researchers; this
	size is given	the reported		is more
	with estimate of	results		important for
	statistical			"negative"
	uncertainty			studies whose
	(e.g., 95%			interpretation
	confidence			requires knowing
	intervals)			whether they
				were adequately
				powered to
				detect a
		0	T	treatment effect
Description of	Both study and	Some aspects	Interventions	Judgment about
interventions	control	of the	are vaguely	the adequacy of
	interventions are	interventions	described, and	the description of
	described in	are clear, but		the interventions
	sufficient detail	reasonable		may require
	to enable the	ha mada as	information	the treatment
	the intervention	when the	about what	modulitios: o g
	in both arms of	interventions	interventions	for acupuncture
	the study: time	are well	were provided	the needle types
	frame intensity	standardized in	were provided	denths of
	frequency and	general clinical		insertion
	quantity of each	practice		location etc · for
	intervention are	praetiee		physical therapy
	reported			the techniques
				and
				combinations of
				treatments
Psychosocial	Baseline and	Psychosocial	Psychosocial	Pertinent for
variables	follow-up	variables	variables	many spine
	descriptions of	mentioned, but	lacking	conditions, in
	emotional and	without details		which part of the
	social	concerning		prognosis and
	functioning	diagnoses or		response to
	including scores	measurements		treatment are
	on at least one	of function		affected by these
	validated scale			factors

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	for pertinent			
	diagnoses (e.g.,			
	Beck			
	Depression			
	Inventory,			
	Profile of Mood			
	States, SF-36			
	Mental Health			
	and Role			
	Emotional			
	subscales, etc.)			<u> </u>
Dose-response	When different	Dose-response	Dose-response	Small numbers
relationships	doses of a drug	relationships	relationships	may preclude
	are	are reported for	are not	reporting precise
	administered,	therapeutic	reported	dose-response
	there is data	responses but		relationships, but
	showing the	not for adverse		when there are
	response rates	effects		sufficient
	for each dose			numbers of
	level of the			participants at
	drug, with			each dose level,
	adverse and			this is essential
	therapeutic			information
	responses			
	reported for			
	each dose			
Sponsorship	Source of	Funding source	Sponsor not	Major journals
and funding	funding is	identified, but	identified, no	routinely require
	identified, and	unclear	declaration	declarations for
	competing	declaration	concerning	conflicts of
	interests (stock	concerning	competing	interest;
	ownership,	competing	interests; the	however, current
	royalties, etc.)	interests; the	authors do not	disclosure
	of authors are	authors have	have control of	practices are
	declared, when	control of all	all the study	likely to be less
	present; the	the study data	data, but some	than completely
	authors have		of the data is	transparent
	control of all the		controlled by	
	study data		another party	
Protocol	There is an	The protocol is	The protocol is	Clinicaltrials.gov
availability	identifier of the	available, but	not available,	1s a useful
	trial protocol at	there appear to	or the study	database for the
	clinicaltrials.gov	be changes in	appears to	identification of
	or other public	the outcome	suggest that	primary and
	database, and	reporting	some of the	secondary

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	the outcomes	which are not	outcome	outcomes, but
	reported in the	identified at the	reporting was	the method of
	study are done	public	data-driven	data analysis is
	in the way that	database;		often not
	was specified in	however, the		included in the
	the protocol	published		protocol
	1	report does not		1
		appear to		
		consist of data-		
		driven analyses		
Baseline	For all treatment	Baseline levels	Baseline levels	If there is an
symptoms	groups, baseline	likely to be too	unclear or not	insufficient level
	levels were	low to enable	reported	of pain or
	sufficiently high	the trial to	-	disability at the
	to enable the	demonstrate a		beginning of the
	trial to measure	difference		study, it may not
	a difference	between pre-		be possible to
	between pre-	treatment and		measure a 30%
	treatment and	post-treatment		or 50%
	post-treatment	levels		difference
	levels			between pre-
				treatment and
				post-treatment
				levels of the
				symptom
Crossover	Authors report	Treatment	Treatment	Crossover trials
trials	the duration of	effects are	effects are	may be affected
	each treatment	reported, but	reported, but	not only by the
	period, the	the authors	there is no	effects of the
	duration of the	omit mention	description of	study treatments,
	washout period,	of either the	carryover or	but also by the
	and report on	period effect or	period effects	order in which
	treatment	the carryover		treatments are
	effects, period	effect		given (period
	effects, and			effects) and by
	carryover			persistence of the
	effects (if			first treatment
	observed)			during the
				second treatment
				administration
For	The ratio of	The ratio of	The ratio of	Although
nonrandomized	successful	successful	successful	residual
cohort studies	outcomes in the	outcomes in	outcomes in	confounding
with accurate	treated and	the treated and	the treated and	from
measurement	control groups	control groups	control groups	unmeasured

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
of treatment	is greater than 5	is greater than	is less than 2	confounders may
and outcome,		2		introduce bias
and adjustment				into the
for measured				treatment effect,
confounders, a				the magnitude of
large treatment				this bias is
effect is				generally
observed				bounded, rarely
				exceeding 5
For	Several different	Several	Dose-response	Dose-response
nonrandomized	levels of dose	different levels	gradients are	gradients are
cohort studies,	are reported,	of dose are	unreported, or	accepted as one
there is a clear	with a clear	reported, with	there is no	element of a
dose-response	trend in the	a plausible but	relationship	causal
gradient,	response rate	equivocal	between	relationship in
especially if		dose-response	different doses	observational
there is a rapid		gradient	and different	epidemiology
response to			responses	
treatment				
For	Patients in the	Patients in the	Plausible	The direction of
nonrandomized	treatment group	treatment	confounders	expected
studies,	are clearly	group have	either clearly	confounding is
adjustment for	sicker than	some	favor the	always an
plausible	patients in the	prognostic	treatment	important
confounders	control group,	indicators	group, or tend	consideration in
are expected to	but still fare	which are	to favor the	the interpretation
increase	better in the	worse than the	treatment	of observational
confidence in	outcomes of	control group,	group	studies
the treatment	treatment	and others may		
effect		be better than		
		the control		
		group	D: 11 C	
Medical and	Principles of	Principles of	Principles of	It is sufficient if
biological	action of the	action of the	action are not	the reference list
plausibility and	intervention are	intervention	clear,	includes articles
conerency	clearly	may be	preclinical	which present
	mentioned and	consistent with	studies from	the biomedical
	are consistent	biomodical	hove not hear	principles and
	with the		done or estim	studios
	of the condition	the proposed	of the	studies
	proclinical data	hiological	intervention is	
	from in vitro	action of the	not consistent	
	cadaver or	intervention is	with general	
	animal studies	not discussed	biomedical	

Criterion	Green	Yellow	Red	Comments
	and principles		knowledge	
	of			
	pharmacology,			
	biomechanics,			
	etc.			