



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 8

1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Phone 800-227-8917
www.epa.gov/region08

MAR 22 2017

Ref: 8WP-CWQ

Ms. Mary Fabisiak
Chair, Colorado Water Quality Control Commission
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South
Denver, Colorado 80246-1530

Re: The State of Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Waterbody Lists

Dear Ms. Fabisiak:

Thank you for your revised submittal of the State of Colorado's combined 2014/2016 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report (IR) that includes the State's Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) combined lists of impaired waters (Section 303(d) lists for 2014 and 2016 (Regulation #93)). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region 8 received the Colorado IR submittal on March 28, 2016 and approved the Section 303(d) list on June 1, 2016. On October 26, 2016, the EPA received the revised Section 303(d) list, which only added the portion of Sand Creek in the North Platte Basin tributaries segment, COUCNP04a. The EPA has completed its review of the state's Section 303(d) listing for the Sand Creek segment COUCNP04a and the supporting documentation and information for that portion.

Based on this review, the EPA is approving Colorado's revised combined 2014/2016 lists. Specifically, the EPA approves the state's decision to list all the waterbodies identified on Colorado's revised list submissions, as well as the State's decision not to list certain waters.

Further details of our "approval" action are provided in the attachment, which describes the statutory and regulatory requirements of the CWA Section 303(d) list and a summary of the EPA's review of Colorado's compliance with each requirement. The EPA's approval of Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists extends to all waterbodies on the lists with the exception of those waters that are within Indian country, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1151.

The EPA appreciates the State of Colorado's efforts to submit the revised final 2014/2016 Integrated Report. The Agency commends both the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) and the Colorado Water Quality Control Division (WQCD) for their hard work in completing rigorous and lengthy public review processes for the 303(d) list and IR, for making significant progress on data management revisions to support IR development, and for their ongoing efforts to revise the state's assessment methodology.

If you have questions about this decision, please contact Karl Hermann of my staff, at (303) 312-6628.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized loop followed by a long horizontal line that tapers to the right.

Darcy O'Connor
Assistant Regional Administrator
Office of Water Protection

Attachment

cc: Trisha Oeth, Colorado WQCC
Patrick Pfaltzgraff, Colorado WQCD
Karl Hermann, EPA, 8WP-CWQ

Review of Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) Waterbody Lists

*Attachment to letter from Darcy O'Connor, Assistant Regional Administrator,
Office of Water Protection, US EPA, Region 8 to Mary Fabisiak, Chair
of the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission*

Colorado 2016 303(d) List

Date of Transmittal Letter from State: February 3, 2016

Date of Receipt by the EPA: February 5, 2016

Date of Revision Transmittal Letter from State: October 25, 2016

Date of Receipt by the EPA: October 26, 2016

Colorado 2014-2016 Integrated Report

Date of Electronic Transmittal from State: March 28, 2016

Date of Electronic Receipt by the EPA: March 28, 2016

I. Introduction

The Environmental Protection Agency Region 8 (EPA) received the State of Colorado's 2016 Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 303(d) list of impaired waters (Section 303(d) list) from the Colorado Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) on February 5, 2016. The EPA also received Colorado's 2014/2016 Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report on March 28, 2016. EPA retrieved Colorado's 2014/2016 assessment attribute and geographic information system (GIS) data on March 29, 2016. The EPA approved the Colorado's 2014 and 2016 303(d) lists on June 1, 2016. The EPA received a revised 2016 303(d) list from Colorado on October 26, 2016. Based on our review of the State's revised CWA Section 303(d) water body list and assessment database, the EPA is approving Colorado's revised 2016 list. The purpose of this review document is to describe the rationale for the EPA's approval.

In September 2013, the EPA issued guidance for integrating the development and submission of 2014 Section 305(b) water quality reports and Section 303(d) lists of impaired waters. In August 2015, the EPA issued guidance for integrating the development and submission of 2016 Section 305(b) water quality reports and Section 303(d) lists of impaired waters. These guidances, and previous EPA guidance, recommend that states develop an Integrated Report of the quality of their waters by placing all waters into one of five assessment categories. By following this guidance, Category 5 of the Integrated Report is the State's Section 303(d) list. The EPA's action in review and approval of this document is only on Category 5 that comprises the Section 303(d) list within the Integrated Report.

The EPA reviewed the methodology used by the State in developing the Section 303(d) list and the State's description of the data and information it considered. The EPA's review of

Colorado's combined 2014/2016 Section 303(d) list is based on the EPA's analysis of whether the State reasonably considered existing and readily available water quality-related data and information and reasonably identified waters required to be listed.

Colorado's 2016 list is considered a combined 2014/2016 list and an update of the State's 2012 list. The combined 2014/2016 Section 303(d) list the EPA is approving today is comprised of 405 assessment units (678 waterbody / pollutant combinations), compared with 292 assessment units (422 waterbody / pollutant combinations) included on the 2012 list. States may add and take waters off their Section 303(d) lists based on several factors. For the 2016 cycle, Colorado removed 104 (assessment unit-based) waterbody / pollutant combinations from its year 2012 list and added 360 new (assessment unit-based) waterbody / pollutant combinations from its year 2012 list. Many of the newly added waterbody / pollutant combinations are subdivisions, or portions of previous assessment units.

II. Statutory and Regulatory Background

A. Identification of Water Quality Limited Segments (WQLSs) for Inclusion on Section 303(d) list

Section 303(d)(1) of the CWA directs states to identify those waters within their jurisdiction for which effluent limitations required by Section 301(b)(1)(A) and (B) are not stringent enough to implement any applicable water quality standard, and to establish a priority ranking for such waters, taking into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters. The Section 303(d) listing requirement applies to waters impaired by point and/or nonpoint sources, pursuant to the EPA's long-standing interpretation of Section 303(d).

The EPA regulations implementing Section 303(d) require states to identify WQLSs that need TMDLs. 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b). WQLSs¹ are defined in regulation as segments "where it is known that water quality does not meet applicable water quality standards, and/or is not expected to meet applicable water quality standards, even after the application of the technology-based effluent limitations required by sections 301(b) and 306 of the Act." 40 C.F.R. § 130.2(j). Thus, states do not need to list waters where the following controls are adequate to implement applicable standards: (1) technology-based effluent limitations required by the CWA; (2) more stringent effluent limitations required by state or local authority; and (3) other pollution control requirements required by state, local, or federal authority. 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1).

B. Consideration of Existing and Readily Available Water Quality-Related Data and Information

In developing Section 303(d) lists, states are required to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, including, at a minimum, consideration of existing and readily available data and information about the following

¹ WQLSs may also be referred to as "impaired waterbodies" or "impairments" throughout this document.

categories of waters: (1) waters identified as not meeting designated uses, or as threatened, in the state's most recent CWA Section 305(b) report; (2) waters for which dilution calculations or predictive modeling indicate nonattainment of applicable standards; (3) waters for which water quality problems have been reported by governmental agencies, members of the public, or academic institutions; and (4) waters identified as impaired or threatened in any Section 319 nonpoint assessment submitted to the EPA. 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5). In addition to these minimum categories, states are required to consider any other data and information that is existing and readily available. The EPA's 1991 Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions describes categories of water quality-related data and information that may be existing and readily available. (See *Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions: The TMDL Process*, EPA Office of Water, April 1991.) While states are required to evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, states may decide to rely on or not rely on particular data or information in determining whether to list particular waters.

In addition to requiring states to assemble and evaluate all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, the EPA regulations at 40 C.F.R. §130.7(b)(6) require states to include, as part of their submissions to the EPA, documentation to support decisions using or excluding particular data and information and decisions to list or not list waters. Such documentation needs to include, at a minimum, the following information: (1) a description of the methodology used to develop the list; (2) a description of the data and information used to identify waters; (3) a rationale for any decision not to use any existing and readily available data and information 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5), and (4) any other reasonable information requested by the Region.

C. Priority Ranking

The EPA regulations also codify and interpret the requirement in Section 303(d)(1)(A) of the CWA that states establish a priority ranking for listed waters. The regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(4) require states to prioritize waters on their Section 303(d) lists for TMDL development, and also to identify those WQLs targeted for TMDL development in the next two years. In prioritizing and targeting waters, states must, at a minimum, take into account the severity of the pollution and the uses to be made of such waters. CWA Section 303(d)(1)(A). As long as these factors are taken into account, the CWA provides that states establish priorities. States may consider other factors relevant to prioritizing waters for TMDL development, including immediate programmatic needs such as wasteload allocations for permits, vulnerability of particular waters as aquatic habitats, recreational, economic, and aesthetic importance of particular waters, degree of public interest and support, and state or national policies and priorities. (See 57 Fed. Reg. 33040, 33045 (July 24, 1992), and the EPA's 1991 Guidance).

D. Applicable Water Quality Standards

For purposes of identifying waters for the Section 303(d) list, the terms “water quality standard applicable to such waters” and “applicable water quality standards” refer to those water quality standards established under Section 303 of the Act. On April 27, 2000, the EPA promulgated a rule under which the “applicable standard” for Clean Water Act purposes depends on when the relevant states or authorized tribes promulgated that standard. Standards that states or authorized tribes have promulgated before May 30, 2000 are effective upon promulgation by the states or authorized tribes. Standards that states or authorized tribes

promulgated on or after May 30, 2000 become effective only upon EPA approval. 40 C.F.R. § 131.21(c). The EPA interprets CWA Section 303(d) to require the EPA establishment or approval of section 303(d) lists only for impairments of waters with Federally-approved water quality standards.

III. Analysis of Colorado's Submission

A. Background

In reviewing Colorado's submittals, the EPA first reviewed the methodology used by the State to develop their 2014/2016 Section 303(d) list in light of Colorado's approved water quality standards, and then reviewed the actual lists of waters. The State utilized their 2016 assessment methodology, which was revised from their 2012 assessment methodology, in performing their water quality assessments. EPA acquired that assessment methodology from Colorado's website after it was approved by the Colorado WQCC in March 2015. The EPA reviewed the State's 2016 assessment methodology product and has concluded that the State developed its Section 303(d) lists in compliance with Section 303(d) of the CWA and 40 C.F.R. § 130.7. The EPA's review is based on its analysis of whether the State reasonably considered existing and readily available water quality-related data and information and reasonably identified waters required to be listed. Colorado considered data and information pertaining to the categories under 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5), and properly listed WQLSs under 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1).

In previous guidance, the EPA recommended that states develop an Integrated Report of the quality of their waters by placing all waters into one of five assessment categories. (See the EPA's Guidance for 2006 Assessment, Listing and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314 of the Clean Water Act, July 21, 2005.) By following this guidance, Category 5 of the Integrated Report is the State's Section 303(d) list. The EPA's action in review and approval of this document is only on Category 5 that comprises the Section 303(d) list within the Integrated Report.

The State's 2014/2016 Integrated Report and the 2016 assessment attribute and geographic information system (GIS) data, were made available to the EPA Region 8 electronically on March 28, 2016. EPA retrieved the data on March 29, 2016. The Integrated Report from Colorado consisted of the following portions that are necessary for the Section 303(d) waterbody list:

- **Waterbodies and corresponding pollutants that make up the State's Section 303(d) list** (See Appendix D, 303(d) List and Monitoring and Evaluation List).
- **Prioritization of waterbodies for TMDL development** (See Appendix D, 303(d) List and Monitoring and Evaluation List).
- **Identification of waters targeted for TMDL development over the next biennium** (See Appendix D, 303(d) List and Monitoring and Evaluation List; H (high priorities)).

The EPA's approval of Colorado's year 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists extends only to the items listed immediately above.

B. Identification of Waters and Consideration of Existing and Readily Available Water Quality-Related Data and Information

The EPA has reviewed Colorado's description of the data and information it considered for identifying waters on the Section 303(d) list. The EPA concludes that the State properly assembled and evaluated all existing and readily available data and information, including data and information relating to the categories of waters specified in 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5) and properly identified and listed WQLSs as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1). In particular, the State relied on information from the 2014 and 2016 Section 305(b) water quality assessments, assessments performed under the CWA Section 319 non-point source program, as well as data and information obtained through an extensive process to solicit information from state, federal and citizen sources. The State's evaluation of data and information in each of these categories is described below.

- *Waters identified by the state in its most recent section 305(b) report as "partially meeting" or "not meeting" designated uses or as "threatened"* (40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5)(i)): Colorado produced its 2014 and 2016 Integrated Reports consistent with the EPA's guidance regarding combined CWA 305(b) reports and 303(d) lists. The EPA concludes that Colorado made listing decisions using existing and readily available data and information, in development of its 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) waterbody lists.
- *Waters for which dilution calculations or predictive models indicate non-attainment of applicable water quality standards* (40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5)(ii)): Colorado assembled and evaluated information from past and anticipated dilution calculations and predictive modeling. The EPA concludes that Colorado properly considered waters for which dilution calculations or predictive models indicate nonattainment of applicable water quality standards in development of its 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) waterbody lists.
- *Waters for which water quality problems have been reported by local, state, or federal agencies; members of the public; or academic institutions* (40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5)(iii)): The State solicited data and information in preparation for its 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists. Data and information obtained as a result of this effort were evaluated and considered. The State's submittal identified several entities that contributed data or information and responded to public comments related to assessments for individual waterbodies.
- *Waters identified by the State as impaired or threatened in a nonpoint assessment submitted to the EPA under Section 319 of the CWA or in any updates of the assessment* (40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(5)(iv)): The State's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists include all waters that have data to support nonpoint source pollution impairment. Colorado's listing approach and methodologies direct CWA Section 319 activities and resources to the highest priorities. Watershed assessments are often conducted for waterbodies that are already listed in order to collect current data to support TMDL development.

Based upon its review, the EPA concludes that with regards to the waters identified in the State's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists, the State's process for developing those lists substantially meets the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(i-iv) regarding the consideration of all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, as well as the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(1).

C. Waters Removed from the Section 303(d) list

In addition to adding WQLs that require TMDLs to its 303(d) list, a state may also remove waters from its list when such removal is justified. The EPA has identified five reasons that justify the removal of a water from a state's 303(d) list. These are:

1. The state has prepared and the EPA has approved a TMDL for the listed water.
2. The original basis for listing the water was incorrect.
3. New data or information indicates that the applicable water quality standard for the water is being met and its designated uses are fully supported.
4. The state has adopted and the EPA has approved a site-specific water quality standard for the water, and the new water quality standard is being met.
5. The state has adopted and the EPA has agreed to a revised assessment methodology and the water quality standard is now being met.

A full accounting of waters removed from the State's 2012 303(d) list is provided in the electronic data that Colorado DWQ submitted to EPA. The State's removal decisions and stated justifications for its 2016 303(d) list are summarized below:

Number of Waterbody-Pollutant Combinations Removed from List	
Reason	2016
TMDL completed and approved by the EPA	15
Change in assessment methodology resulted in WQS being met	1
New data or information indicate applicable WQS is being met	71
Change in WQS resulted in WQS being met	13
Original basis for listing the water was incorrect	5
Total	104

In reviewing the State's combined 2014/2016 Section 303(d) waterbody list, the EPA carefully considered Colorado's decision to remove certain waterbody-pollutant combinations from the State's 2012 303(d) list, its justification from those removals, and the methodology it used in making those decisions. The EPA concludes that the removal decisions identified in the Integrated Report are based on all existing and readily available water quality-related data and information, and that the removal decisions are properly justified.

D. Priority Ranking and Schedule for Development of TMDLS for Listed Waters and Pollutants

Pursuant to the listing methodology set out in the State's submittal, Colorado prioritized WQLSs for TMDL development into three Priority Areas: High Priority (Non-supporting for water supply standards based on Safe Drinking Water Act primary drinking water standards (NO₂, NO₃, As), Aquatic Life Class 1, cold or warm, or Recreation Use Class E), Medium Priority (Non-supporting for Aquatic Life Class 2 (cold or warm) or Agriculture), and Low Priority (Non-supporting of other water supply standards, or Recreation Use Class P, U, or N, or non-supporting for underlying standard where a temporary modification based specifically upon significant uncertainty as to the appropriate underlying standard has been adopted and the commission has determined that there is an appropriate plan in place to resolve the uncertainty). Colorado identifies additional secondary considerations for prioritization in its assessment methodology.

The EPA reviewed the State's priority ranking of listed waters for TMDL development, and concluded that the State properly took into account the severity of pollution and the uses to be made of such waters, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(b)(4), as well as other relevant factors such as imminent human health problems or local support for water quality improvement. In addition, the EPA concluded that the State listed WQLS targeted for TMDL development in the next two years, as required by 40 C.F.R. § 130.7(d).

IV. Final Recommendation on Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) List Submittal

After careful review of Colorado's final Section 303(d) lists submittal package, the EPA has determined that Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists meet the requirements of Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and the EPA's implementing regulations. As a result, the EPA approves Colorado's 2014 and 2016 Section 303(d) lists.

V. References

The following list includes documents that were used directly or indirectly as a basis for the EPA's review and approval of the State's Section 303(d) waterbody list. This list is not meant to be an exhaustive list of all records, but to provide the primary documents the Region relied upon in making its decisions to approve the State's list.

40 C.F.R. Part 130 Water Quality Planning and Management

40 C.F.R. Part 131 Water Quality Standards

April 1991, "Guidance for Water Quality-Based Decisions: The TMDL Process," EPA 440/4-91-001.

July 24, 1992 Federal Register Notice, *40 C.F.R. Parts 122, 123, 130, Revision of Regulation*, 57 FR 33040.

August 8, 1997, Memorandum from Robert Perciasepe, Assistant Administrator for Water, US EPA, regarding "New Policies for Establishing and Implementing TMDLs."

September, 1997, Guidance from Office of Water, Headquarters, US EPA regarding “Guidelines for Preparation of the Comprehensive State Water Quality Assessments (305(b) Reports) and Electronic Updates” Supplement, EPA-841-B-97-002B.

August 23, 1999, Federal Register Notice. *Proposed Revisions to the Water Quality Management and Planning Regulations*, 64 FR 46012.

April 27, 2000, Federal Register Notice, *EPA Review and Approval of State and Tribal Water Quality Standards*, 65 FR 24641

July 29, 2005, Memorandum from Diane Regas, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, US EPA to Water Division Directors transmitting EPA’s “*Guidance for 2006 Assessment, Listing and Reporting Requirements Pursuant to Sections 303(d), 305(b) and 314 of the Clean Water Act*”

October 12, 2006, Memorandum from Diane Regas, Director, Office of Oceans, Wetlands, and Watersheds entitled *Information Concerning 2008 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

May 5, 2009, Memorandum from Suzanne Schwartz, Acting Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2010 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

March 21, 2011, Memorandum from Denise Keehner, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2012 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

September 3, 2013, Memorandum from Denise Keehner, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2014 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

August 13, 2015, Memorandum from Benita Best-Wong, Director, Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds, entitled *Information Concerning 2016 Clean Water Act Sections 303(d), 305(b), and 314 Integrated Reporting and Listing Decisions*.

December 14-15, 2015, The Record of the Rulemaking Hearing for Colorado Regulation #93 (5 CCR 1002-93); the List of Water-Quality-Impaired Segments Requiring Total Maximum Daily Loads (including pre-hearing statements). Colorado Water Quality Control Commission

August 8 2016, The Record of the Rulemaking Hearing for Colorado Regulation #93 (5 CCR 1002-93); the List of Water-Quality-Impaired Segments Requiring Total Maximum Daily Loads (including pre-hearing statements) for Sand Creek Sediment. Colorado Water Quality Control Commission