

Fiscal Year 2017 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

Service Need		
Within each category there are high, medium and low priorities. Score each category individually using the guidance below.		
15 points possible per category of request		
Level 1 (1-5 pts)	Level 2 (6-10 pts)	Level 3 (11-15 pts)
Ambulance/other vehicle: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no evidence demonstrating need for a new or replacement vehicle. • Provides little or no indication of local support 	Ambulance/other vehicle: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good evidence of need for new or replacement • Vehicle to upgrade level of service or for anticipated changes • Vehicle to extend the service coverage area • Provides some indication of local support 	Ambulance/other vehicle: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong, convincing evidence of need for a new or replacement vehicle • Vehicle needed to maintain current level of service • Provides strong indication of local support
Communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintaining currently available EMD systems • Provides little or no indication of local support 	Communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of new and expanded systems to upgrade level of communications • Upgrade to existing EMD system • Provides some indication of local support 	Communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintenance of essential dispatch and medical communications • Communication studies and/or system assessment • Implement initial EMD system • Equipment upgrades to comply with regulatory requirements or operating parameters imposed by external authority • Provides strong indication of local support
Data Collection: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hardware and software replacements or upgrades where there is little or no evidence demonstrating need • Provides little or no indication of local support 	Data Collection: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hardware and software replacements or upgrades needed to maintain compatibility within the state and region • Provides some indication of local support 	Data Collection: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hardware and software initial purchases or upgrades essential to establish a link with a mandated or essential data collection system within the state and region • Provides strong indication of local support
Equipment: General EMS and trauma equipment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Durable (not disposable) emergency medical and trauma equipment where there is little or no evidence demonstrating clearly defined need • Non-patient care specialized rescue equipment • Durable medical equipment with little or no evidence of need • Provides little or no indication of local support 	Equipment: General EMS and trauma equipment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Durable not disposable emerg med/trauma equip to upgrade level of svc or for anticipated changes • Disaster medical equipment • Durable medical equip with good evid. of need • Durable medical equip mandated by regulation or required for accreditation or certification to upgrade level of service • Provides some indication of local support 	Equipment: General EMS and trauma equipment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Durable (not disposable) emergency medical and trauma equipment essential to establish and maintain current level of service • Durable medical equip with strong evid of need • Durable medical equipment mandated by regulation or required for accreditation or certification to provide current level of service • Provides strong indication of local support

Fiscal Year 2017 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For public placement • Request is without documentation of need or risk • Provides little or no indication of local support <p>Extrication equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extrication equipment upgrades for agencies with existing resources • Little to no evidence of need • Provides little or no indication of local support <p>Training equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training equipment where there is little to no evidence of need • Provides little or no indication of local support 	<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requests for 12 leads in emergency cardiac care systems for placement on emergency vehicle(s) or ambulance receiving facilities with good documentation of need or risk • Provides some indication of local support <p>Extrication equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good evidence of need for agencies with limited extrication resources in their area • Provides some indication of local support <p>Training equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training equipment to upgrade level of service or for anticipated changes • Some evidence of need • Provides some indication of local support 	<p>Equipment continued:</p> <p>Defibrillators/cardiac monitors</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Request for 12 leads in emergency cardiac care systems for placement on emergency vehicle(s) or ambulance receiving facilities with strong or compelling documentation of need or risk • Provides strong indication of local support <p>Extrication equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Well documented need for extrication equipment in the area • Provides strong indication of local support <p>Training equipment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training equipment essential to maintain current level of service • Strong evidence of need • Provides strong indication of local support
<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides little or no evidence of need • Project only involves the applicant-not part of community coalition • Provides little or no evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices) • Project has weak or no evaluation plan • Provides little or no indication of local support 	<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence of identified need based on local data • Project working with other community groups or entities • Provides good evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices) • Project has evaluation plan that includes measurable objectives • Provides some indication of local support 	<p>Injury Prevention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project has clearly identified goals and outcomes based on need • Provides strong evidence of need based on local data and using state or local planning documents • Project is part of a multifaceted community-wide coalition plan • Provides strong evidence project will use proven injury prevention strategies (Best Practices) • Project has a detailed evaluation plan including measurable objectives that involve collecting injury data and observational or written surveys • Provides strong indication of local support
<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides little or no evidence the project benefits the EMTS system • Provides little or no indication of local support 	<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence the project benefits the EMTS system • Provides some indication of local support 	<p>Other:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides strong and compelling evidence the project benefits the EMTS system • Provides strong indication of local support

Fiscal Year 2017 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no evidence of need for grant funds to support salary or personnel costs • Little or no evidence the project will benefit the applying entity and the EMTS system • Little or no evidence that support of salary or personnel costs will continue beyond the fiscal year • Short-term or temporary project without specific end date or little evidence of need • Provides little or no indication of local support 	<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good evidence of need for grant funds to support salary or personnel costs • Good evidence of identified need and specifics on how the project will benefit the EMTS system • Good evidence of sustainability beyond the fiscal year • Short-term or temporary project with specific end date and good evidence of need • Provides some indication of local support 	<p>Personnel/Services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong and compelling evidence of need • Strong and compelling evidence of benefit to the EMTS system • Strong and compelling evidence of long-range plan and sustainability beyond the fiscal year • Short-term or temporary project with specific end date and strong evidence of need • Provides strong indication of local support
<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no evidence the project will address recruitment or retention concerns or problems • Little or no evidence the project is based on established best practices • Little or no mention of an evaluation plan • Provides little or no indication of local support 	<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good evidence of identified need based on local data • Good evidence the project is based on established best practices • Project has an evaluation plan but measurable objectives are unclear • Provides some indication of local support 	<p>Recruitment and Retention:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strong evidence of need based on local data and using state or local planning documents • Strong evidence the project is based on established and proven best practices • Evaluation plan includes measurable objectives and describes how performance will be measured • Provides strong indication of local support
<p>Priority to Underdeveloped or Aged Systems Highest priority must be given to applicants where emergency medical and trauma services systems are underdeveloped or aged. 10 points possible</p>		
<p>Level 1 (1-3 pts)</p>	<p>Level 2 (4-7 pts)</p>	<p>Level 3 (8-10 pts)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides little or no evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides convincing evidence the project addresses underdeveloped or aged emergency medical and trauma services equipment or systems

Fiscal Year 2017 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Cost Effective Project Budget Does the budget correlate to the deliverables, and is there evidence of cost effectiveness? 10 points possible</p>		
<p>Level 1 (1-3 pt)</p>	<p>Level 2 (4-7 pts)</p>	<p>Level 3 (8-10 pts)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not evaluate alternatives or shows little to no evidence project is the most cost effective option • Little or no correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project • Does not state how funds will be spent • Provides vague budget notes justifying items listed • Does not show how the project will be sustained financially in subsequent years, if applicable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluated some alternatives and shows good evidence project is most cost effective option • Shows some correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project • States how funds will be spent • Provides budget notes justifying each item listed • Provides some explanation for how the project will be sustained in subsequent years, if applicable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluated alternatives and shows strong evidence project is most cost effective option • Shows strong correlation between the expenditures and the proposed project • Clearly demonstrates the cost effectiveness of the budget • Provides details and convincing budget notes justifying each item • Provides a detailed explanation and appropriate anticipated budget for how the project will be sustained in subsequent years, if applicable
<p>Applicant's Qualifications Does the applicant demonstrate the resources and experience necessary to successfully implement the project? Will the applicant's qualifications help ensure long-term sustainability? 5 points possible</p>		
<p>Level 1 (1 pt)</p>	<p>Level 2 (2-3 pts)</p>	<p>Level 3 (4-5 pts)</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Little or no evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project • Does not clearly explain how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project • Somewhat explains how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides strong evidence the applicant has the resources and experience needed to successfully implement the project • Clearly explains how the applicant's qualifications will help ensure long-term sustainability

Fiscal Year 2017 EMTS Provider Grant Scoring Tool

<p>Systems Integration Is the project compatible with Colorado's EMS and trauma systems? Is there any indication there will be unnecessary duplication? 5 points possible</p>		
Level 1 (1 pt)	Level 2 (2-3 pts)	Level 3 (4-5 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides little or no evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility • Project duplicates services already available in the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility • Little indication project duplicates services already available in the area 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides strong evidence the project addresses and improves system compatibility • No indication project duplicates services already available in the area
<p>Financial Need Does the applicant clearly substantiate financial need for grant funds with documentation? 5 points possible</p>		
Level 1 (1 pt)	Level 2 (2-3 pts)	Level 3 (4-5 pts)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides little or no evidence there is a financial need that would prevent the applicant from completing the project without grant funds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides good evidence of need for financial assistance with the proposed project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides strong and convincing evidence of need for financial assistance with the proposed project