

Title of Rule: Revision to the Child Health Plan Plus Rule Concerning Section 214 of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA), Section 110
Rule Number: MSB 15-02-23-B
Division / Contact / Phone: Eligibility Division / Ana Bordallo / 303-866-3558

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

1. Summary of the basis and purpose for the rule or rule change. (State what the rule says or does and explain why the rule or rule change is necessary).

The purpose of the rule change is to make revisions to the current policy regarding lawfully residing children who do not meet the 5-year waiting period. In 2009 Colorado House Bill 09-1353 authorized the Department to remove the 5-year waiting period for all lawfully residing children and pregnant women. Changes to the Colorado Benefits Management System (CBMS) will be made to be in alignment with federal and state regulations effective July 1, 2015.

2. An emergency rule-making is imperatively necessary

to comply with state or federal law or federal regulation and/or

for the preservation of public health, safety and welfare.

Explain:

3. Federal authority for the Rule, if any:

Section 214 of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) which amends section 2107 of the Act, codified at 42 U.S.C 1396b(v)(4)(A).

4. State Authority for the Rule:

Colorado House Bill 09-1353, codified as sections 25.5-5-101(2)(b)(II);25.5-5-201(2)(b);25.5-8-109(6).

Initial Review **04/10/2015**

Final Adoption **05/08/2015**

Proposed Effective Date **07/01/2017**

Emergency Adoption

DOCUMENT #05

AB

Title of Rule: Revision to the Child Health Plan Plus Rule Concerning Section 214 of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA), Section 110

Rule Number: MSB 15-02-23-B

Division / Contact / Phone: Eligibility Division / Ana Bordallo / 303-866-3558

REGULATORY ANALYSIS

1. Describe the classes of persons who will be affected by the proposed rule, including classes that will bear the costs of the proposed rule and classes that will benefit from the proposed rule.

The proposed rule will impact children and pregnant women who are lawfully residing and who have not met the 5-year waiting period. The proposed rule will benefit these children and pregnant women by eliminating the 5-year waiting period and making them eligible for CHP+, as long as all other eligibility criteria are met.

2. To the extent practicable, describe the probable quantitative and qualitative impact of the proposed rule, economic or otherwise, upon affected classes of persons.

The proposed rule will allow lawfully residing children and pregnant women who have not met the 5-year waiting period to be eligible for CHP+.

3. Discuss the probable costs to the Department and to any other agency of the implementation and enforcement of the proposed rule and any anticipated effect on state revenues.

Eliminating the 5-year waiting period for children and pregnant women that are lawfully residing in the United States for less than 5 years will increase the State's expenditure as this change will expand eligibility for CHP+. See tables for details on cost estimates. This rule needs to be implemented for CHP+ children and pregnant women.

CHP+ Expenditures		
	FFY 2014-15	FFY 2015-16
CHP+ Children	236	1,082
Medical Per Capita	\$170.86	\$172.27
Dental Per Capita	\$19.05	\$19.36
CHP+Children's Expenditure	\$44,819	\$207,344
CHP+ Prenatal	16	71
Medical Per Capita	\$1,093.51	\$1,107.27
CHP+ Prenatal Expenditure	\$17,496	\$78,616
Total Expenditure	\$62,315	\$285,960
State Share	\$21,368	\$32,886
Federal Share	\$40,947	\$253,074

Title of Rule: Revision to the Child Health Plan Plus Rule Concerning Section 214 of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA), Section 110

Rule Number: MSB 15-02-23-B

Division / Contact / Phone: Eligibility Division / Ana Bordallo / 303-866-3558

4. Compare the probable costs and benefits of the proposed rule to the probable costs and benefits of inaction.

The Department expects an increase in expenditure of \$898,378 total funds in FFY 2014-15 and \$4,056,176 in FFY 2015-16. This rule was approved under HB 09-1353 and was partially implemented. Currently Colorado provides Medicaid coverage to legally residing pregnant women that have not met the 5-year waiting period. This proposed rule change would complete the implementation of HB 09-1353. Inaction would leave CHP+ eligible children and CHP+ eligible pregnant women who have been lawfully residing in the United States for less than 5 years without medical assistance.

5. Determine whether there are less costly methods or less intrusive methods for achieving the purpose of the proposed rule.

There is not a less costly method to achieve the purpose of this proposed rule

6. Describe any alternative methods for achieving the purpose for the proposed rule that were seriously considered by the Department and the reasons why they were rejected in favor of the proposed rule.

There are no alternative methods for the proposed rule the Department considered.

1 **110 INDIVIDUALS ASSISTED UNDER THE PROGRAM**

2 110.1 To be eligible for the Children's Basic Health Plan, an eligible person shall:

3 A.

4 1. Be less than 19 years of age; or

5 2. Be a pregnant woman

6 B. Meet one of the following categories:

7 1. A citizen or national of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto
8 Rico, Guam, the United States Virgin Islands, the Northern Mariana
9 Islands, American Samoa, or Swain's Island; or

10 2. An alien or immigrant who entered the United States ~~at least five years~~
11 ~~prior to the date of application~~ and who is:

12 a. Lawfully admitted for permanent residence under the U.S.
13 Immigration and Nationality Act; or

14 b. Paroled into the United States for at least one year under
15 Section 212(d)(5) of the U.S. Immigration and Nationality Act; or

16 c. Granted conditional entry under Section 203(a)(7) of the U.S.
17 Immigration and Nationality Act; or