



Attending: Bill Hayes, Thomas Riggle, Mary Jo Lockbaum (phone), Andrew Flynn (phone), Lisa Bardwell (phone), Lindsay Weber, Rebecca White, Patricia Mason, Kim Hargraves-Tyrrell

Not Attending: Katie Navin, Bradley Kieding, Quint Redmond, Beth Chacon, Karen Hancock, David Stewart, Jarrett Smith

Staff: Eric Heyboer, Lynette Myers, Rachel Wilson-Roussel, Derek Boer

Agenda Minutes Approval

A motion was made and seconded to approve February minutes. Motion passed.

Board Updates

Each board member was allowed the opportunity to share pertinent news about their work and any projects they were undertaking. Highlights included:

- Linsay was accepted into NREL energy executive program. Children's water festival may 19th. Denver water campus will be redeveloped over the next years – sustainability included.
- Skip the trip – aims community college is participating.
- Letter to the Governor – meet with Governor's staff to talk about next steps. Receptive to moving forward with a plan and formalize a task force.
- AQCC talked in length about Ozone standard – critical period lower VOC in order to maintain a Report from someone at the commission – how can the board get involved. Chris Colclasure Ask about presenting to the board.
- Rebecca – I- 70 viaduct project. New ED on board
- Earthforce – Working with municipalities around MS4 permits. Workthing with young people – school near body of water, near park. Kitnet (trio) meet MS4 public outreach and education requirements. Grant to build out around climate adaptation and resiliency. Do SD have their own permits. Nonstandard MS4. Engaging Denver, Toledo, OH and DC. Parttime starting April 10. New President and CEO Vince Maldrum. Concentration in DC and Denver.
-

RREO

RREO - 34 applications were received a new high. This covers the last 6 months of CY 14 and we have \$150,000. The rebate is split 50/50 with half going to a formula that calculates based on ton-miles 2nd half is just #' of drop off sites. Cap at \$7,500 - 8 entities hit the maximum for ton-miles. Minimum – total rebate has to be more than \$100. 50% for \$954.55 per drop off. 3 hit maximum of \$7,000. Last year it was right around \$1000. Slight decrease. Not significantly more sites added – rather getting the word out better. SW keeps a Google map that tracks drop off sites. Two half rebates combined – total rebate

118 total drop off sites with 7,621 CY of capacity. 1 – too small size wise. 1 WM drop offs – adjacent to Larimer county recycling center at the landfill.

19 of 34 rebate applicants predominantly serve rural areas (56%)

76% of available rebate monies will support rural recycling programs.

Total of 7402 tons collected from rural sites and 4,716 tons collected from urban sites.

Calculated rebate per ton collected - \$96.71 per ton at rural sites (\$48.25 if Baker Sanitation and Twin Landfill are removed) \$16.71 per ton at urban.

Is the rebate that rural areas receiving enough to continue their programs? When Eric review comments – what did you spend \$ on? 9 out of 10 use to offset transportation costs – not 100% not by a long shot. The rebates do help, but they don't cover all costs. How many small sites – is this make or break for? Maybe 20% of applicants. \$1,000 doesn't seem like much – No entity can get more than \$15,000 total. Large recycling processing centers – they process more than 8,000 tons per year and have drop-offs attached. So we don't want to cover those sites. Pitkin, Summit, Eagle county – 8,000 is the breaking point between the really big MRF's and the smaller rural. Pitkin is around 6 to 7,000.

If we were to dedicate more funding to rural areas we'd likely see more drop-offs to make recycling more convenient. How many also applied for a grant? Not many 4 or 5. They are all added to the grant announcement listserv. Would it make sense to add a key indicator – size of operational budget? Is that another way of narrowing down the list. Gov't asking businesses for their private financial information. We may not be able to keep that information private – AC decided to back away from that.

With the changes that we made 18 months ago – we are doing what we wanted – give rural areas assistance. We could be making a bigger impact if we allocated more money.

A motion was made and seconded to approve the rebate allocations as presented.

Introduce new P2 Specialist

Update on Cannabis

Overview of P2 Fund

The

FY 16 P2 Funding

A motion was made and seconded to approve

Agenda Items for April/May

April agenda topics include:

- Water reuse and harvesting discussion/presentations
- Marijuana Enforcement Division, Dept of Revenue. Discuss barriers to building greenhouses.
- Greenhouses in Pueblo
- FY16 Pollution Prevention Grant discussion