



Colorado Department
of Public Health
and Environment

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT
4300 CHERRY CREEK DRIVE SOUTH
DENVER, COLORADO 80246

**COLORADO HEALTHCARE-ASSOCIATED INFECTIONS
ADVISORY COMMITTEE
December 9, 2014 Minutes**

Note: These minutes are a summary of the proceedings and motions of the December 9, 2014, Interim meeting of the Colorado Healthcare-Associated Infections Advisory Committee.

<p><u>CALL TO ORDER</u></p>	<p>Peggy SaBell called the December 9, 2014, Colorado Healthcare-Associated Infections Advisory Committee (CHAIAC) to order at approximately 2:40 p.m. at the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, 4300 Cherry Creek Drive South, Denver, Colorado, 80246.</p>
<p><u>Members Present (in person)</u></p>	<p>Peggy SaBell, Linda Burton, Heather Young ,Cindy Thistel</p>
<p><u>(via teleconference)</u></p>	<p>Colleen Casaceli, Carole Hemmelgarn</p>
<p><u>CDPHE Staff Present</u></p>	<p>Tamara Hoxworth, Carolyn Elliott, Wendy Bamberg and Rosine Angbanzan</p>
<p><u>Agenda Discussion- 2015 Annual Report</u></p> <p>Tamara Hoxworth and Peggy SaBell</p>	<p><u>Comparison Data Use For CLABSI</u> – Why the CDPHE and NHSN SIR calculations for CLABSI are different.</p> <p>Concern from IPs that the NHSN analysis function still uses 2006-2008 data to calculate a CLABSI SIR, while CDPHE uses the most recent data (2012). If using NHSN analysis function to calculate a CLABSI SIR, the expected number of infections will be higher and resulting SIR lower than if using the most recent national data, and more likely that an individual facility will be rated as “worse” than the national average. This is because of declining CLABSI rates and expected number of infections.</p>
<p><u>Committee Discussion</u></p>	<p>NHSN is using old benchmark for CLABSI data to calculate SIR because CDC is tied to the Health & Human Services HAI Reduction Plan stating that CLABSIs are to be reduced by 25% by 2015. To assess that change, CDC/NHSN must use the baseline established when the plan was released in 2009.</p> <p>What are other states doing to address the comparison issue?</p>

	Facilities should have the opportunity to see their CLABSI data prior to 2015 Annual Report publication to address the disparities, ask questions and discuss with their administration. Colleen moved that only CLABSI data be released early and others agreed.
<u>MOTION</u>	COLLEEN CASACELI MOVED THAT CLABSI REPORTING CONTINUE WITH CURRENT PROCESS AND INCLUDE A FOOTNOTE INDICATING HOW IT WAS COMPARED TO THE NATIONAL AVERAGE (USING BASELINE OF 2006-2008 DATA) AND TO LET ALL ADULT CRITICAL CARE UNITS, NEONATAL CRITICAL CRE UNITS LEVEL II and II/III, AND LONG TERM CARE HOSPITALS (LTAC), INPATIENT REHABILITATION UNITS AND REHABILITATION HOSPITALS SEE THEIR DATA IN ADVANCE OF THE JANUARY 2015 PUBLICATION. MOTION SECONDED BY CINDY THISTEL <u>MOTION PASSED</u>
<u>UPDATES</u>	Send the CLABSI data to above facilities by December 19, 2014 for review Offer to do STATS for facilities next year using the SAS program
<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>	The meeting adjourned at 4:10 pm. The next meeting will be January 27, 2015, 2:00-4 pm at CDPHE.