# HQIP Report to OAB

October 27, 2015

**Katie Brookler, HCPF**
**Nancy Griffith, CHA**

# *Discussion Topics*

- 2015 30-Day Readmission Rate Update
- Recommended point allocation methodology
- Recommended quality payment methodology

# 2015 30-Day Readmission Rate Update

- Standardize readmission methodology
- New methodology used by Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)
- Must have 30 discharges
- Sent rate to hospitals 10-20
- Requested concerns by Nov 4
- Quality points and payment calculations will be finalized and hospitals notified

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Base Measure 1: Emergency Room Process**
  - Point allocation already agreed to for 2015 measures
  - 2 points awarded for initiatives A thru E
  - 72 hospitals reported on this measure

| Base Measure 1: Emergency Room Process | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 points | 2 points | 4 points | 6 points | 8 points | 10 points |
| 2 hospitals | 1 hospital | 2 hospitals | 14 hospitals | 16 hospitals | 37 hospitals |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Base Measure 2: Early Elective Deliveries (EED)**
  - Based on median (1.28%)
  - Hospital range is 0% to 27%

| Base Measure 2: Early Elective Deliveries (EED) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | <0.64% | 0.65%-1.28% | 1.29%-1.92% | >1.93% | |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 17 | 5 | 4 | 18 | 44 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 38.6% | 11.4% | 9.1% | 40.9% | 100% |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Base Measure 3: Cesarean sections***
  - Bucketing based on quartiles
  - Hospital range is 0% to 32%

* ACOG national goal is 15%

| Base Measure 3: Caesarian Sections | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | 0%-17% | 18%-20% | 21%-24% | ≥25% | |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting** | 14 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 48 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 29.2% | 22.9% | 22.9% | 25% | 100% |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 4 med., 1 lg. | 1 med., 2 lg. | 4 med., 2 lg. | 4 med., 1 lg. | |

**Med. = 200-499 births; Lg. = above 500 births

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Base Measure 4: 30-Day Readmissions**
  - Bucketing based on statewide median (12.36%)
  - Hospital range is 2.94% to 17.65%

| Base Measure 4: 30-Day Readmissions | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | <10.05% | 10.06%-12.36% | 12.37%-14.54% | >14.55% | |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 12 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 44 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 27.3% | 25% | 22.7% | 25% | 100% |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Base Measure 5: HCAHPS**
  - Based on quartiles
  - Hospital range is 50% to 94%

| Base Measure 5: HCAHPS | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | 80%-94% | 75%-79% | 71%-74% | 50%-70% | |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 15 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 62 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 24.2% | 25.8% | 25.8% | 24.2% | 100% |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Optional Measure 1: Culture of Safety**
  - Point allocation already agreed to for 2015 measures
  - Hospitals are awarded 2 points for each element (A-D) in place prior to 2015
  - Hospitals are awarded 5 points for each element put in place in 2015
  - Ten points are awarded if all 4 elements are in place for 2015

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **23 hospitals reported on Optional Measure 1: Culture of Safety**
  - 9/23 (39%) received 10 points
  - 1/23 (4%) received 9 points
  - 4/23 (17%) received 7 points
  - 3/23 (13%) received 5 points
  - 1/23 (4%) received 4 points
  - 3/23 (13%) received 2 points
  - 2/23 (9%) received 0 points

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Optional Measure 2: RCCO Active Participation**
  - Point allocation already agreed to for 2015 measures
  - Hospitals are awarded 10 points if they meet criterion A and any one of criteria 2a–2e as described in *HQIP 2015 Measures At A Glance*

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- 23 hospitals participated in this optional measure

| Criteria | Number of Hospitals Met |
|---|---|
| | |
| Notification of IP Hospitalization | 15, all received 10 points |
| a. Joint efforts to improve population health | 9 |
| b. Care coordination collaboration | 10 |
| c. Case management collaboration | 9 |
| d. Collaboration on high utilizers | 6 |
| e. Participation in RCCO meetings | 8 |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- **Optional Measure 3: Advance Care Planning**
  - 12 hospitals reported on this optional measure

| Optional Measure 3: Advance Care Planning | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | 86-100% | 76-85% | 61-75% | <61% | |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 7 | 3 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 58.3% | 16.7% | 8.3% | 16.7% | 100.0% |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- Optional Measure 4a: Screening for Tobacco Use

| TOB 01 Screening for Tobacco Use | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | 76%-100% | 51%-75% | 26%-50% | 0%-25% | |
| Points Awarded | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 77.8% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 11.1% | 100% |

# *Recommended Point Allocation Method*

- Optional Measure 4b: Tobacco Use Treatment Provided or Offered

| Tobacco Use Treatment Provided or Offered | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Percentage Range | 76%-100% | 51%-75% | 26%-50% | 0%-25% | |
| Points Awarded | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 7 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 14.3% | 14.3% | 0.0% | 71.4% | 100% |

# Recommended Point Allocation Method

- Optional Measure 4: Total Scores

| TOB-01 & TOB-03 Total Score | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Bucket | First | Second | Third | Fourth | Fifth | Total Hospitals Reporting |
| Points Awarded | 10 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 0 | |
| # of Hospitals Reporting | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Hospitals Reporting Distribution | 22.2% | 11.1% | 44.4% | 11.1% | 11.1% | 100% |

# HQIP Payment Calculation



# *Volume vs. Quality Discussion*

- Payments amounts must be reflective of Quality Points and Volume
- Currently every provider receives the same amount per Adjusted Discharge Point (ADP)
- Payment amounts are skewed heavily towards volume

# *Tiered HQIP Payment Option*

- **Concept:**
  - Increase the impact of Quality Points with a tiered system that pays different $ amounts/ADP based on Quality Points Attained
  - Providers receiving fewer Quality Points receive lower $/ADP than providers who earn greater Quality Points
  - Volume still influences total payment

# *Tiered HQIP Payment Option*

- Example:

| Quality Points | $/ADP |
|---|---|
| 1-10 | $ 5.00 |
| 11-20 | $ 10.00 |
| 21-30 | $ 15.00 |
| 31-40 | $ 20.00 |
| 41-50 | $ 25.00 |

# *HQIP Subcommittee Consideration*

- Options Considered
  - 5x Methodology
  - 3x Methodology
  - Postpone any payment methodology changes until Model Year 2016-17 to allow for further development
- Outcome
  - 3 votes for 5x methodology for 2015-16
  - 2 votes to postpone and further develop
  - 1 vote for 3x methodology
  - 1 abstained

# *Thank You!*