

**House Bill 14-1366 Work Group  
Legislative Report Recommendation Form**

**1. Work Group Sponsor (s):**

Chief Marco Vasquez, Colorado Association of Chiefs of Police (CACP)

**2. Describe the Recommendation:**

- Imprint all THC infused products with a universally recognized symbol or unique color code. The “Smart Colorado” recommendations submitted by Ms. Gina Carbone go into great detail as to how to mark each category of THC-Infused Edible currently being manufactured and sold. The CACP agrees with the Smart Colorado recommendations and rational and supports those recommendations.
- Prohibit any THC-Infused bulk food or other product which does not lend itself to imprinting a universally recognized symbol OR unique coloring which would immediately identify the product as a THC-Infused product. The MED should be required to certify each Edible method which is contemplated and approve those which meet the standards outlined in the promulgated rules and disapprove those which do not meet the standards.

**3. Which portion or portions of House Bill 14-1366 does this recommendation address (underline all those that apply)?**

- a. Protect people from the unintentional ingestion of edible retail marijuana products.
- b. Ensure that edible retail marijuana products are readily identifiable by the general public.
- c. Makes it clear that the product is not for consumption by children.
- d. Makes it clear that the product is safe for consumers.
- e. Utilize a universal symbol.

Recommendation would address a-d

**4. Please summarize the rationale for the recommendation – why is it important?**

The Colorado Association of Chiefs of Police recognizes that THC-Infused Edibles are becoming increasingly popular with recreational marijuana customers. There is an inherent risk in producing and distributing a product which closely resembles food products which are commonly consumed by the public. The CACP’s first priority is public safety and that priority requires that protection against accidental consumption overrides the Marijuana Industry concern regarding increased cost or difficulty in producing Edibles which are clearly marked with a universally recognized symbol.

**Describe how your recommendation addresses the rulemaking guiding principles of being transparent, operable, defensible and systematic for the licensees as well as the Marijuana Enforcement Division.**

CACP agrees with Smart Colorado's" rational and believes that the recommendations strike a balance between allowing the sale of THC-Infused Edibles and the Public safety concerns.

- 5. What stakeholders, other than licensees and the Marijuana Enforcement Division, would be positively or negatively impacted by this recommendation? Please explain the impact.**

A balance between Public Safety concerns (which protects the consumer and the general public) and the concerns of the Commercial Marijuana allows Edibles to continue to be manufactured and sold while addressing the due diligence of the State of Colorado to address legitimate public health and safety concerns.

- 6. What issue or issues does your recommendation resolve? (Please identify the issues)**

*Same as #5*

- 7. Is there a dissenting voice on the working group concerning this recommendation? If yes, please provide a summary of the minority opinion about this recommendation.**

CACP believes that many Commercial Marijuana Industry members will believe that the recommendations are too stringent but we believe that public safety and health must override economic concerns of the Industry.

- 8. Are you aware of any statutory authority or regulation that supports the basis of this recommendation? If yes, please include it here.**

HB-14-1366 supports this recommendation

- 9. Is the implementation of your recommendation dependent on another decision or action? If yes, specifically what actions or decisions are required before this recommendation can be implemented?**

Promulgation of Rules by the Department of Revenue Marijuana Enforcement Division

- 10. Will the recommendation have a cost to implement? If yes, please explain the reason for the cost and provide an estimate.**

Unknown

**11. Provide an estimate of how long it would take to implement the recommendation.**

Unknown timeline. It would be dependent on how long it would take to finalize the rule-making process and publish the new rules.