



Date: Thursday, February 27, 2020  
 Location: American Family Insurance – Mt. Evans Room  
 9510 Meridian Blvd.  
 Englewood, CO 80130  
 Conference Call: 720-279-0026 Enter PIN: 948348#

Time: 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM  
 Chair: Sheriff Steve Nowlin  
 Vice-Chair: LTC Barry Bratt

## AGENDA

**8:30 AM Call to Order**

- (I) Introductions ..... Sheriff Nowlin
- (A) Agenda Amendments ..... Sheriff Nowlin
- (I) Public Comments ..... Sheriff Nowlin

**8:35 AM Standing Business**

- (A) Approval of February 20, 2020 CATPA Board Meeting Minutes ..... Sheriff Nowlin
- (A) Grant Project Briefing Reports ..... Kenya Lyons
  - (A) CATI Budget Modification #2 (Reverting \$9,000 of Cost-Savings)
  - (A) AG’s Office Budget Modification #1 (Reverting \$80,940 of Cost Savings)
  - (A) ATICC Budget Modification #4 (Moving \$37,369 of Cost-Savings to Consulting Services for Cloud Technology)
  - (A) ATICC Budget Modification #5 (Adding \$129,631 to Consulting Services for Cloud Technology)
  - (A) BATTLE Budget Modification #2

**9:00 AM OLD Business**

None

**9:00 AM New Business**

- (I) FY 21 CATPA Grant Application Review ..... Chairperson
  1. Colorado Dept. of Law – AG’s Auto Theft Initiative
  2. Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Center
  3. Colorado State Patrol – Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement
  4. Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft
  5. Colorado Auto Theft Investigators
  6. City of Lakewood Police Dept. - CATPA Metropolitan Auto Theft Team
  7. City of Commerce City Police Dept. – Commerce City’s Collaboration for Change
  8. Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft

**4:55 PM Unfinished Business**

- (I) Next Meeting:  
 Thursday, March 26, 2020 @ 8:30AM – 5:00PM  
 Colorado State Patrol – Camp George West  
 15055 S. Golden Road, Building 100  
 Golden, CO 80401

**5:00 PM Adjourn**

**(A) =Action Items (I) Information Items**

Public comment on board meeting agenda items is welcome. Please sign up on speaker sign-up sheet upon entering the CATPA Board meeting room.

*Note: All programs, services and activities of the Colorado Automobile Theft Prevention Authority Board are operated in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act. To assure that we can meet your needs, please notify us of your request for services at least seven business days prior to the scheduled event by contacting CATPA at 303-239-4560.*

**CATPA BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

- Sheriff Steve Nowlin, Chair • Lieutenant Colonel Barry Bratt, Vice Chair • Lovre Brajkovic, Member • Tonia Rumer, Member • Jason Juarez, Member
- Cory Amend, Member • Robert Pace, Member • Jess Redman, Member • Amy Taylor, Member • Toren Mushovic-Evers, Member • Chief Clinton Nichols, Member



# CATPA Board Minutes

**Date:** February 27, 2020

**Time:** 8:35 AM – 4:37 PM

**Location:** American Family Insurance Company  
 9510 S. Meridian Blvd, Mt. Evans Room  
 Englewood, CO 80130

Chair: Sheriff Steve Nowlin  
 Vice Chair: LTC Barry Bratt

**Conference Call:** CATPA Conference Phone

### Attending Board Members

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cory Amend, DOR                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sheriff Steve Nowlin, Law Enforcement Rep. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lovre Brajkovic, Insurance Rep.     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Robert Pace, Insurance Rep.                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LTC Barry Bratt, CDPS               | <input type="checkbox"/> Jess Redman, District Attorney Rep.                   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Jason Juarez, Insurance Rep.        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amy Taylor, Insurance Rep.                 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Toren Mushovic-Evers, Consumer Rep. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tonia Rumer, Insurance Representative      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chief Clinton Nichols, Law Enforcement Rep.    |  |

### Attending CATPA Office Staff

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Robert Force, Director                     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kenya Lyons, Grant Manager            |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Pamela Hackbarth, Administrative Assistant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Krystal Cook-Matson, Grant Specialist |

### Public Attendees

- Captain Matthew Beaudin, BATTLE
- Commander Mike Greenwell, CMATT
- Allison Sherry, Colorado Public Radio

### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 8:35 AM.

#### **Introductions**

Board members, CATPA Staff and public attendees introduced themselves.

#### **Agenda Amendments**

Grant Manager Kenya Lyons asked to amend the agenda for the following items:

- BATTLE Budget Modification #2 is on the agenda but not in the board package
- CMATT Budget Modification #4 to be added to the agenda
- LTC Bratt made a motion to approve the amended agenda as proposed.
- Bob Pace seconded the motion
- **The motion passed unanimously.**

### Standing Business

#### **Approval of CATPA Board Minutes from February 20, 2020.**

- LTC Bratt made a motion to approve the CATPA Board as presented.
- Director Cory Amend seconded the motion.
- **The motion passed unanimously.**



## CATPA Financial Reports

### *Grant Project Briefing Reports*

#### **1. CATI Budget Modification #2**

CATI Budget Modification #2 is to de-obligate \$9,000 to the CATPA Cash Fund. This reversion is due to having excess funds in Supplies/Operating from IAATI 2021 Conference Promotional Items. CATPA Staff will discuss later where those funds may be expended. Sheriff Nowlin asked if CATI wanted to use the funds and suggested it could be used for CATI Conference Scholarships. Director Force explained that the CATI Conference Scholarships have already been awarded and that only 10 to 15 people remain on the waiting list this year. Director Force further explained there is not enough time to re-prioritize these funds for CATI Conference Scholarships and people have already begun to drop off the waiting list of attendees. Sheriff Nowlin suggested that agencies in the Southwest would like to attend the CATI Conference on Scholarships.

- Director Cory Amend made a motion to approve CATI Budget Modification # 2
- LTC Bratt seconded the motion
- **The motion passed unanimously.**

#### **2. Attorney General's Budget Modification #1**

The Attorney General's Budget Modification #1 is to de-obligate \$80,940 of personnel cost savings. These funds would go back to the CATPA Cash Fund. This reversion is due to the AG's Office decision not to re-fill the Investigator position previously held by Dana Chavez, therefore creating a cost savings. Moving forward, Assistant Attorney General Sarah McCutcheon, will work with the task force investigators for cases. Director Cory Amend asked where the \$80,940 funds would be distributed. Director Force explained the funds initially be reverted back to the CATPA Cash fund. CATPA Staff will discuss later where those funds may be expended. Grant Manager, Kenya Lyons stated that if the budget modification is not approved, than these funds would be reverted to the CATPA Cash Fund at the end of the fiscal year on June 30, 2020. Director Force reiterated that Grant Manager, Kenya Lyons has been continuously asking the project directors if they are going to spend their monies and tracking their spending to date. Director Force reminded the board that last year (FY19) approximately \$300,000 was reverted to the CATPA Cash Fund. The goal for this fiscal year is to maximize spending authority. Sheriff Nowlin asked if there were other grantees who could use the funds. Grant Manager, Kenya Lyons stated the topic of not expending all funds and modifications was brought up during the project directors meeting. CAAT did mention that they were interested in additional funds, but a formal request was not submitted. Sheriff Nowlin asked what is the likelihood of CMATT and BATTLE reverting any funds. Director Force explained that both are on track to spend all their funds this year. Amy Taylor emphasized that she is glad that the Attorney General's Office wants to revert the monies now so these can be used elsewhere.

- Bob Pace made a motion to approve the Attorney General's Budget Modification #1 as presented.
- Jason Juarez seconded the motion.
- **The motion passed unanimously.**

#### **3. ATICC Budget Modification #4**

ATICC Budget Modification #4 is a request to move \$37,369 in cost savings to consultant services. Of the total, \$25,605 represents cost savings in Salaries due to a Crime Analyst vacancy, \$7,000 from Overtime that will not be used by the end of the grant cycle and \$4,764



cost savings from Computer Software Budget. ATICC is requesting to move these funds into Consulting Services to begin Phase One of the Cloud Technology Initiative that is included on the FY21 Grant Application. The Cloud Technology Initiative will move the stolen car database from the server to the cloud. Lovre Brajkovic asked if any of the consultants could give a presentation on what this would look like. Director Force can request the developer do a presentation to the board. Director Force further explained if there is a delay in moving the funds it may put the initial stage project in jeopardy of not being completed before the end of the grant cycle, June 30, 2020 thus reverting monies to the CATPA cash fund. Grant Manager, Kenya Lyons informed the board that a grant amendment would need to be generated. This would take approximately two weeks to go through the entire approval process. Once approved, the funds would be awarded to ATICC grant. Director Force explained that ATICC has to go to OIT for this project and it could take 4-6 weeks to get this project moving. LTC Bratt asked if the first phase would be done by June 30, 2020. As of now, Director Force explained the ATICC consultant would be able to get the initial stage of the project done with three weeks of Beta Testing and before the end of the grant cycle. LTC Bratt asked how this project would benefit statewide efforts, where Director Force replied that the project would provide increased efficiency for data retrieval, moderate the existing IT infrastructure from server-based system to Cloud technology and become less reliant upon the life-cycle of the existing servers located behind the state firewall, as well as availing better information from other states on vehicles that are stolen and recovered to or from Colorado. Sheriff Nowlin asked what ATICC was doing about the vacant analyst position. LTC Bratt believes ATICC is in the hiring process. Bob Pace asked if it would be possible to extend the contract an additional year to give them time to do Phase 1. Director Force explained that the grant period could be extended, but would not recommend it because extending the period would negatively impact the spending authority for FY21. Amy Taylor asked for clarification if this cloud technology project was included in the ATICC's FY21 grant application. Director Force stated that it was.

#### **4. ATICC Budget Modification #5**

ATICC Budget Modification #5 requests an increase in their FY20 award by \$129,631 to begin Phase 1 of the Cloud Technology Project outlined in their FY21 Grant Application. This would reduce their costs in the FY21 Grant Application by the same amount. FY21 would include Phases 2 and 3. LTC Bratt asked how this would support the statewide data sharing. Director Force explained the current ATICC system is nine years old; and will be decommissioned within the next three years at a significant cost. The Cloud Technology would be pennies on the dollar in comparison to replacing servers. The priority objective from the Multi-State ATPA Summit (October 2019), is the sharing of data including steals and recoveries across state lines. Currently, the data shows stolen vehicles from Colorado but not the out of state recoveries and vice versa from other surrounding states. By moving to Cloud Technology this would be a better partner with other states. This technology implementation would permit partnering states to push and pull data from the cloud. Director Force explained that Colorado would pay their portion for the Cloud Technology and that the partner states would contribute to be able to use the data. Amy Taylor explained that by approving this modification, it would allow the CATPA Board to do more with funding next year in the FY21 Grant Cycle. Tonia Rumer asked what happens if the developer runs into technology issues. Director Force explained that ATICC would not spend funds for incomplete work. Sheriff Nowlin asked about security controls. Director Force explained that no personal identifiable



information (PII) would be housed in the cloud. The Cloud Technology also has to be CJIS compliant. Jason Juarez asked who decides what is considered PII. Director Force informed the board that the FBI define PII. Neither addresses nor license plates are PII except when a name is attached to it. Sheriff Nowlin asked why \$39,691 of CATPA Administrative funds are being reclassified. His understanding is that all of CATPA Administrative funds were spent every year. Director Force explained that CATPA had approximately \$40,000 in cost-savings from an IT accounting system project on which it never came to fruition.

- Bob Pace made a motion to approve ATICC Budget Modification #4 and #5 as presented.
- Amy Taylor seconded the motion.
- LTC Bratt abstained.
- **The motion passed by majority.**

Director Cory Amend asked what does the cloud technology cost going forward. Director Force explained that enhancement costs will highest at the onset of the development, however, over the course of implementation, the costs would minimize below the existing maintenance/support costs of the existing server-based system – particularly after Phase 3 implementation.

Captain Matthew Beaudin joined the call at 9:30 am.

## 5. **BATTLE Budget Modification #2**

BATTLE Budget Modification #2 would move \$3,293.00 from the personnel overtime line item to supplies and operating line item. Colorado Springs Police Department would like to purchase a bull bumper and a floodlight. Canon City Police Department would like to purchase an ALPR for their marked car. LTC Bratt said there is an issue with the bull bumper as it is a tool that goes on the front of a car and is used for pinning vehicles, which is against policy for the Colorado State Patrol. This is also controversial in other agencies. Director Force explained that his concern is during a multi-jurisdictional operation and some agencies may not be able to participate. Bob Pace asked if the agency can participate in the same manner with or without the bull bumper. Director Force informed the board that Colorado Springs purchased a truck and hopes to install the bull bumper onto it. LTC Bratt questioned whether Colorado Springs Police Department should be using CATPA Funds. Furthermore, LTC Bratt explained this is a tactical tool used sometimes for stolen vehicles. Sheriff Nowlin informed the board that he thought if the agency wanted a bull bumper that they should not be using CATPA funds. Amy Taylor asked if we had approved others in the past. Director Force explained that CATPA approved one last year for El Paso County, it was an internal approval and it was not reviewed by the CATPA Board. To break down the costs further the bull bumper is \$1,700, of the total requested of movement of funds is \$3,293.

- Director Cory Amend made a motion to approve the ALPR for Canon City, but not the bull bumper and floodlight for Colorado Springs Police Department.
- Amy Taylor seconded the motion
- LTC Bratt and Sheriff Nowlin abstained
- **The motion passed by majority.**

## 6. **CMATT Budget Modification #4**

CMATT Budget Modification #4 moves \$175 from personnel services to consulting services due over expenditures. This is presented for information only as CATPA Staff approved the modification internally.



## Old Business

None

## New Business

### FY2021 CATPA Grant Application Reviews

#### 1. Colorado Department of Law – Attorney General’s Auto Theft Initiative

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Colorado Department of Law – Attorney General’s Auto Theft Initiative. Following a review of the application, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary and the Questions & Answers compiled by CATPA Staff. After reviewing all components of the application packet, the board held an open discussion and evaluated the application. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Colorado Department of Law – Attorney General’s Auto Theft Initiative, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board’s review:

Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Predicate										Award Criteria										Application Evaluation				
			Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measureable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank	
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Prosecution	Statewide	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	8.44	8.78	6.44	8.00	5.11	9.67	8.78	55.22	78.89%	4	63.7	11.9	11.9	86.56%	5	

Following the evaluation the Board proposed the following questions to the Colorado Department of Law – Attorney General’s Auto Theft Initiative, be sent for response to the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$163,713, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. In your application, your program is both an enforcement and prosecution initiative as identified in Section 6 with goals, objectives and measurements. Please clarify if this application is intended to cover both enforcement and prosecution initiatives or only a prosecution initiative?

Based on the application, the Board determined that the Colorado Department of Law – Attorney General’s Auto Theft Initiative does not meet the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020.

#### 2. Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Center

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Center. Following a review, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary and the Questions & Answers compiled by CATPA Staff. After reviewing all components of the applicant packet, the board held an open discussion and evaluated the application. LTC Bratt abstained from scoring or evaluating elements of this grant application due to a conflict of interest. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Coordination Center, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board’s review:



# CATPA Board Minutes

Predicate											Award Criteria										Application Evaluation					
Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measureable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Enforcement	Statewide	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.88	7.63	8.13	8.25	5.75	7.63	7.38	50.63	72.32%	6	63.0	12.6	12.4	87.57%	4

Following the evaluation, the Board proposed the following questions to the Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Center be sent for response by the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$1,593,484, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. Based on the recent approval of ATICC Budget Modification #4 and Modification #5, please describe how these will adjust your FY21 Application budget request regarding Consulting Services.
3. Based on the above response, and corrections identified in the CATPA Office Questions and Answers regarding Supplies and Operating, provide a revised Budget Table (not a budget request justification or narrative).
4. Regarding the overtime request of \$14,815 and changes to the Patrol Budget, are your certain Patrol cannot assist or otherwise fund overtime?
5. Describe an overview of the SVDR IT project, its necessity and the short and long term implications of Phase 2 and 3. In particular, how does this project provide a long term cost savings, sustainability and improvement to the existing SVDR? (The CATPA Office recommends ATICC to provide a brief written response, as the Board desires ATICC to address this question in an interview with the Board.)

Based on the application, the Board determined that the Colorado State Patrol – Auto Theft Intelligence Center does meet the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020. An invitation will be forthcoming from CATPA Staff in the coming days.

### 3. **Colorado State Patrol – Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement**

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Colorado State Patrol Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement. Following a review, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary and Questions & Answers compiled by CATPA Staff. After reviewing all components of the applicant packet, the board held an open discussion and evaluated the application. LTC Bratt and Sherriff Nowlin abstained from scoring or evaluating elements of this application due to a conflict of interest. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Colorado State Patrol – Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board’s review:

Predicate											Award Criteria										Application Evaluation					
Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measureable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Enforcement	Statewide	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	7.29	8.14	9.43	9.14	6.57	7.86	8.00	56.43	80.61%	3	65.7	11.9	11.6	88.57%	3



# CATPA Board Minutes

Following the evaluation of the Colorado State Patrol – Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement, the Board proposed the following questions to be sent to the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$1,654,520, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. Are there plans, efforts and/or goals to expand BATTLE to the Eastern area of the state? Based on the application, the Board determined that the Colorado State Patrol – Beat Auto Theft Through Law Enforcement does not meet the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020.

**4. Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft**

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft. Following a review of the application, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary. The Board reviewed the Questions & Answers section of the application. After reviewing all components of the application package, the board had an open discussion. Following the open discussion, the board evaluated the application. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board’s review:

Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Type	Predicate								Award Criteria										Application Evaluation							
				Geographics	Problem Defined	Measurable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measurable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank			
Yes	1 Year	No	Prevention	Statewide	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00%	7

Following the evaluation, the Board proposed the following questions to the Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft be sent for response by the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$766,280, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. Provide specific measureable objectives of proposed education/prevention/awareness programs (e.g., Pilot Program) with plans, tasks and defined criteria to measure success (e.g., evidence to measure the impact for education and reduction of auto theft) which justify the use of the proposed funding. In this response, the Board would like you to address specific programs, their components, planned results and how the programs and objectives will influence auto theft, not simply measuring the number of advertisements, public service announcements, or media impressions.
3. What specific services has CAAT provided to other CATPA grant projects this past year?
4. Has there been a measureable impact for the services you may have provided to law enforcement and other CATPA grant projects, other than the number of advertisements, public service announcements, or media impressions?

Based on the application, the Board determined that the Rocky Mountain Insurance Association – Coloradans Against Auto Theft meets the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020. An invitation will be forthcoming from CATPA Staff.

**5. Colorado Auto Theft Investigators**

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Colorado Auto Theft Investigators. Following a review, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary, and Questions and



# CATPA Board Minutes

Answers compiled by CATPA Staff. After reviewing all components of the applicant packet, the board held an open discussion and evaluated the application. Sheriff Nowlin abstained from the scoring and evaluation elements of this application due to a conflict of interest. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Colorado Auto Theft Investigators, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board's review:

Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Predicate										Award Criteria								Application Evaluation						
			Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measureable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank	
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Training	Statewide	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	9.43	9.43	10.00	9.86	9.71	9.00	9.29	66.71	95.31%	1	68.7	14.9	14.6	97.57%	1

Following the evaluation, the Board proposed the following question to Colorado Auto Theft Investigators be sent for response by the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$370,090, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.

Based on the application, the Board determined that the Colorado Auto Theft Investigators does not meet the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020.

## 6. **City of Lakewood Police Department – CATPA Metropolitan Auto Theft Team**

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the City of Lakewood Police Department – CATPA Metropolitan Auto Theft Team. Following a review, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary, and Questions & Answers compiled by CATPA Staff. After reviewing all components of the applicant packet, the board held an open discussion and evaluated the application. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the City of Lakewood Police Department – CATPA Metropolitan Auto Theft Team, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board's review:

Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Predicate										Award Criteria								Application Evaluation						
			Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measureable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank	
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Enforcement	Denver Metro	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	5.50	8.33	8.83	8.17	7.33	5.67	8.00	51.83	74.05%	5	60.0	11.5	12.0	83.50%	6

Following the evaluation, the Board proposed the following questions for City of Lakewood Police Department – CATPA Metropolitan Auto Theft Team be sent for response by the applicant

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$2,829,055, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. As you are asking detectives to go to training to perform technical installation of surveillance equipment, how can you demonstrate a cost savings for the training and can the cost savings be directed to the training?





**8. Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft**

The Board reviewed the application submitted by the Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft. Following a review of the application, Board Members reviewed the Executive Summary. The Board reviewed the Questions & Answers section of the application. After reviewing all components of the application package, the board had an open discussion. Following the open discussion, the board evaluated the application. Based on the information contained in the application packet for the Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft, the application was reviewed for statutory predicates of eligibility, statute/regulation award funding criteria and standards for evaluation of the application. The following is a summary of the Board’s review:

Predicate												Award Criteria										Application Evaluation				
Qualified Applicant CATPA 2	Award Period C.R.S. 42-5-112(3)(a)	Multijurisdictional	Type	Geographics	Problem Defined	Measureable	Supported by Relevant Statistics	Minimizes Duplication	Realistic Activities and Goals	Innovative Concept	Measurable Evaluation	Limited Funds	Existing Program	Auto Theft Problem	Other Project Coordination	Proposed Plan	Number of Personnel	Past Experience	Total	Percentage	Rank	Technical Capacity Score	Management Capacity Score	Past Performance Score	% Points	Rank
Yes	1 Year	Yes	Enforcement	Denver Metro	No	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	No	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00%	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00%	7

Following the evaluation, the Board proposed the following questions to the Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft be sent for response by the applicant.

1. If the Board is unable to fully fund your request of \$212,238, what amount would you recommend to not be funded? Please provide a brief description of this reduction.
2. Provide an explanation on the reasoning for not submitting this application in concert with the CMATT application.
3. Provide specific information, including data, that describes your problem statement (e.g., the incidence of stolen/recovered vehicles in each of the areas).
4. To what extent have you been participating with CMATT in the past, and if not, why?
5. Considering the costs of the ALPRs, is there a plan to fund the data storage costs, support, maintenance and licensing fees after year 1?
6. Regarding ALPR data, is there a plan and cost identified to centralize the project’s ALPR data with the Colorado Information Sharing Consortium?
7. What is the criteria for distribution and/or installation of the ALPRs? Have these planned installation locations been given prior approval with other entities, (eg., CDOT, school district, municipal/county government, etc.)?
8. How does this project include a proposed evaluation design that provides relevant data to measure the effectiveness of the project and a plan for performing such evaluation?

Based on the application, the Board determined that the Town of Morrison – The Alliance Combats Auto Theft does meet the criteria for an in-person interview on March 26, 2020. An invitation will be forthcoming from CATPA Staff.

**Next Meeting**

Thursday, March 26, 2020 from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM  
 Colorado State Patrol – Camp George West  
 Building 100  
 15055 S. Golden Road  
 Golden, CO 80401

**Adjournment**



The conference call was terminated at 4:25 pm as there were no participants on the line.

Director Force gave kudos to Pam Hackbarth and Kenya Lyons for all their work in getting the funding conference ready as it was a tremendous lift for the CATPA Office. Director Force informed the Board following the Funding Conference Day 2 on March 26, he would like some time to do a debriefing on the challenges that this new schedule created for the CATPA Office. Director Cory Amend gave kudos to Director Robert Force and the CATPA Staff on the Executive Summaries and all the hard work getting this meeting together.

- Toren Mushovic-Evers motioned to adjourn the meeting at 4:37 PM.
- Bob Pace seconded the motion.
- **The motion passed by majority**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:37 PM

---