

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 1

## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS Minutes of Meeting June 25, 2019

The Board of Morgan County Commissioners met Tuesday, June 25, 2019 at 8:31 a.m. with Chairman James Zwetzig, Commissioner Mark Arndt, Commissioner Jon Becker and County Attorney Kathryn Sellars in attendance. Chairman Zwetzig led the meeting in the Pledge of Allegiance

### CITIZEN'S COMMENT

There was no citizen comment provided.

### ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

Commissioner Arndt made a motion to adopt the agenda noting the exception of moving Resolution 2019 BCC 14 from General Business to Unfinished Business. Commissioner Becker seconded the motion and motion carried 3-0.

### CONSENT AGENDA

Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of meeting minutes dated June 18, 2019  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of Contract 2019 CNT 084, Waste Management, OCC Recycling, Term of Contract January 1 2019 until December 31, 2019  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of Contract 2019 CNT 085, Waste Management, Single Stream Recycling, Term of Contract April 6, 2018 until April 5, 2020  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of Contract 2019 CNT 086, Information Matrix LLC, TV Educational Documentary, Term of Contract May 22, 2019 until October 2019  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of Contract 2019 CNT 087, Jemcko Technologies, Services, Term of Contract May 24, 2019 through completion  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of Contract 2019 CNT 088, Northeast Post Region, Officer Training Grant, Term of Contract July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020  
Ratify Chairman Jim Zwetzig's signature on the 2019 Coordinated Election Intergovernmental Agreement  
Ratify Chairman Jim Zwetzig's signature on the Morgan County Interagency Oversight Group proxy to Jacque Frenier  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners signatures on letter of endorsement for MCEDC 2019 application for the Craft Studio 201 Rural Technical Assistance Program grant  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval on assignment of debt collections to State Collections, Client #190002, #182513, #19044, #182654, #182811, #182539, #182676, #181738A, #182591, #182761, #182759, #181891, #182316, #182667, #190300, #190372  
Ratify the Board of County Commissioners approval of the Warrants for May, 2019  
Ratify Chairman Jim Zwetzig's signature on the 2019 Public Notice Meetings of Board of Equalization of Morgan County

Commissioner Becker made a motion to approve items 1-12 as presented, Commissioner Arndt seconded the motion and motion carried 3-0.

### GENERAL BUSINESS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS

There were no general business and administrative items presented.

### UNFINISHED BUSINESS

**Consideration of Approval – RESOLUTION 2019 BCC 13 – A Resolution Approving the Vacation of an Alley in the CM Boyd's Subdivision within Morgan County, Colorado**

#### **RESOLUTION 2019 BCC 13**

#### **A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE VACATION OF AN ALLEY IN THE CM BOYD'S SUBDIVISION WITHIN MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO**

**WHEREAS**, owners of property adjacent to an alley lying between lots 11 and 12, Block 4 of CM Boyd's Subdivision of the SW ¼ of Section 36 Township 3 North Range 58 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> PM Morgan County, Colorado and lying between lots 1 and 2, Block 4 of CM Boyd's Subdivision of the SW ¼ of Section 36 Township 3 North Range 58 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> PM Morgan County, Colorado have requested that the County vacate a portion of such alley located south of North Frontage Road (formerly known as Second Avenue) and north of the right-of-way of Interstate 76 (such portion to be vacated hereinafter referred to as the "Alley");

**WHEREAS**, the Alley is currently a public right-of-way located entirely in the County and outside of the boundaries of a municipality, and is owned by Morgan County;

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to C.R.S § 43-2-303(1)(b), the Board of County Commissioners may vacate any roadway, or any part of a roadway, that is located entirely within the County, provided the roadway is outside the limits of a municipality;

# 2 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

**WHEREAS**, after a duly noticed public meeting held on June 11, 2019 the Board of County Commissioners of Morgan County, Colorado, decided to vacate the Alley, subject to the execution of a separate drainage easement by adjoining landowners; and

**WHEREAS**, after the hearing, the County received a disclaimer from one of the adjoining landowners, disclaiming to any interest and the vacated Alley and therefore, the Commissioners direct title of the vacated Alley to vest in accordance with this Resolution.

**NOW BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO:**

1. The Board of Commissioners hereby finds that vacation of the Alley satisfies all criteria under applicable law and hereby vacates the Alley, subject to the execution of a drainage easement by adjoining landowners, with the exception of the owner disclaiming any interest in the vacated Alley, attached as Exhibit A hereto.

2. Title to the vacated Alley shall vest in the landowners abutting the west boundary of vacated Alley, with each owner taking title to the entire width of the vacated Alley that abuts each owner's property.

3. The County's obligations related to the vacated Alley shall only be governed by the drainage easements entered into between the County and abutting landowners taking title to the vacated Alley.

4. This vacation shall be effective upon recording of this Resolution in the records of the Morgan County Clerk and Recorder. This Resolution shall be recorded upon receipt of the executed drainage easements by the abutting property owners.

**DATED** this 25<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2019.

**THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/James P. Zwetzig  
James P. Zwetzig, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Mark A. Arndt  
Mark A. Arndt, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Jon J. Becker  
Jon J. Becker, Commissioner

(SEAL)

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Susan L. Bailey  
Susan L. Bailey

Chairman Zwetzig presented to the Board Resolution 2019 BCC 13, a Resolution Approving the Vacation of an Alley in the CM Boyd's Subdivision within Morgan County, Colorado and asked Commissioner Arndt to provide information regarding the change made to the original resolution. Commissioner Arndt explained the change made to the resolution was a result of one adjoining landowner who expressed his concerns about not wanting the property. Commissioner Arndt stated this adjoining landowner, Mr. Brad Heitbrink, has signed a document which is noted as Exhibit A in the resolution noting his agreement that his portion of alley will then be granted to Victoria Thompson and the Aldama's. Commissioner Arndt stated he did confirm with Mr. Heitbrink, in person, what action he would be taking by signing this document. Ms. Sellars stated this information will be recorded with the Resolution and will be on file in the public recording system with Chairman Zwetzig noting that drainage easements and a quit claim deed were required.

Commissioner Arndt made the motion to approve Resolution 2019 BCC 13 a Resolution Approving the Vacation of an Alley in the CM Boyd's Subdivision within Morgan County, Colorado, noting that all documents are present and the transaction is complete and a quit claim deed has also been provided. Commissioner Becker seconded the motion. At this time, the motion carried 3-0.

**Consideration of Approval – A Resolution Approving The Turkey Ridge Planned Development Located In The SW1/4 Of The SE1/4 Of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 57 West Of The 6<sup>th</sup> P.M., Morgan County, Colorado**

**MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**RESOLUTION 2019 BCC 14**

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TURKEY RIDGE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT  
LOCATED IN THE SW 1/4 OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 4 NORTH, RANGE 57 WEST  
OF THE 6<sup>TH</sup> P.M., MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO**

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 3

**WHEREAS**, Debora K. Christensen and Robert F. Christensen (Owners), own property located in the SW¼ of the SE¼ of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 57 West of the 6<sup>th</sup> P.M., Morgan County, Colorado (Property);

**WHEREAS**, Owners have applied for approval of a Planned Development on the Property into six residential lots, two drainage tracts and one private road tract pursuant to the County's Subdivision Regulations;

**WHEREAS**, on March 11, 2019, the Morgan County Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing on the application where it received public comment, staff input and recommended approval of the application;

**WHEREAS**, on June 18, 2019, the Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) held a duly noticed public hearing on the application; and

**WHEREAS**, during the public hearing, the BoCC received testimony and evidence from the Applicants and Morgan County staff.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO:**

1. The BoCC, having reviewed the application, all information provided and testimony heard, finds that:
  - a. The application documents are complete and represent how the subdivision will be laid out including infrastructure, easements and access.
  - b. The Owners have established adequate water to serve the subdivision through the following:
    - Two existing Morgan County Quality Water taps #2979 and #0221.
    - Four additional Morgan County Water taps have been purchased #2980, 2981, 2988 and 2989.
  - c. The planned development is consistent with the Morgan County Comprehensive Plan, it is in proximity to activity centers, is compatible with existing land uses, there is access to established public infrastructure and utilities.
  - d. There are numerous similar developments in the North Central Planning area that are adjacent to agriculture uses.
  - e. Turkey Ridge is a unique and innovative project with varying lot sizes ranging from 1.49 acres to 4.89 acres and is proposed to be constructed in a reasonable period of time and will be of economic benefit to Morgan County.
  - f. Turkey Ridge will be regulated by requirements of the Rural Residential zone district, as may be amended.
2. The BoCC hereby approves the Turkey Ridge Planned Development subject to the following conditions:
  - a. Reference to Morgan County in Section 8.02 of the proposed covenants shall be removed.
  - b. The 1/6 ownership in the road and detention pond tracts shall be conveyed with the ownership of each lot.
  - c. Covenants will be provided to the County for review when revisions are complete.

DATED this 25th day of June, 2019 *nunc pro tunc June 18, 2019.*

**THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/James P. Zwetzig(Recused)  
James P. Zwetzig, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Mark A. Arndt  
Mark A. Arndt, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Jon J. Becker  
Jon J. Becker, Commissioner

(SEAL)

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/ Susan L. Bailey  
Susan L. Bailey

# 4 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

At this time, Chairman Jim Zwetzig recused himself due to a possible conflict of interest and turned the meeting over to Chairman Pro Tem Arndt. Chairman Pro Tem Arndt read aloud the resolution information, naming the applicants and providing the information regarding the previous hearing held and stated the resolution being presented today is the final step of the hearing process which indicates the Board's approval of the application submitted.

Morgan County Planning and Zoning Planning Director/Floodplain Administrator, Pam Cherry presented Resolution 2019 BCC 14, a Resolution Approving The Turkey Ridge Planned Development Located In The SW1/4 of the SE1/4 Of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 57 West Of The 6<sup>th</sup> P.M., Morgan County, Colorado. This is the resolution that has been prepared to reflect the outcome of the hearing. She stated condition 2(b) has been revised at the request and comments received from Commissioner Becker. County Attorney Kathryn Sellars stated the covenants already contain language regarding the responsibility and maintenance of the private detention ponds and road and read aloud the change in language requested to be "to the 1/6 ownership in the road and detention ponds tract shall be conveyed with the ownership to each lot. That would mean that the deeds conveying those lots would also contain that 1/6 ownership in those tracts."

Commissioner Becker made a motion to approve Resolution 2019 BCC 14, a Resolution Approving The Turkey Ridge Planned Development Located In The SW1/4 Of The SE1/4 Of Section 19, Township 4 North, Range 57 West Of The 6<sup>th</sup> P.M., Morgan County, Colorado, with the change as noted by the County Attorney as noted on 2(b), with Chairman Pro Tem Arndt seconding the motion and the motion carried 2-0.

At this time, Chairman Zwetzig returned to the meeting.

## **COUNTY OFFICIAL AND DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS**

Commissioners reviewed the calendar dated June 21, 2019 through July 2, 2019 with no changes and noted the Commissioners will be attending several 4<sup>th</sup> of July festivities throughout the County.

At this time, a brief recess was taken until the public hearing scheduled at 9:00 a.m.

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

**Applicant: Morgan Strong Inc.**

**Purpose of Hearing: To consider a proposed service plan for the creation of a new parks and recreation district, pursuant to Title 32 of the Colorado Revised Statute**

Chairman Zwetzig called the hearing to order at 9:02 a.m. in the Assembly Room of the Morgan County Administration Building. Present were Chairman James Zwetzig, Commissioner Mark Arndt, Commissioner Jon Becker and County Attorney Kathryn Sellars.

Chairman Zwetzig provided an opening statement which was provided by legal counsel, stating "*today the Board will consider whether to approve the Service Plan submitted by Morgan Strong, Inc., for the creation of a new park and recreation district within Morgan County, pursuant to Title 32 of the Colorado Revised Statutes. This meeting will consist of one hearing on the service plan. Morgan Strong has decided not to object to any requests for exclusion, so the Board will not be considering those requests and those properties will be excluded from the District. This includes any request received by the end of this meeting. After this meeting is concluded, the County cannot consider any further requests to exclude. If you want to exclude and have not filed a written request, please do so now and County staff will collect them. In addition, please note that any properties 40 acres or more, zoned for and primarily used for agriculture, are automatically excluded and do not need to file a request. Lastly, please be aware that if all your property is excluded from the District, you will not be eligible to vote on the creation of the District in November. However, all eligible electors in Morgan County may vote on the imposition of the sales tax, if that is placed on the ballot by the Commissioners.*

*The subject matter of the hearing will be whether the Commissioners should approve, approve with conditions or disapprove the proposed Service Plan. The hearing will proceed as follows:*

- 1. Presentation by Morgan Strong on the service plan.*
- 2. Response by members of the public on service plan.*
- 3. Any follow up by Morgan Strong on the approval of the service plan.*

*After the hearing is closed, we will evaluate the service plan and the testimony received today pursuant to the statutory criteria that we are required to use.*

*If the service plan is approved, Morgan Strong intends to move forward with the statutory process to create the district. If you have questions about that process, please direct them to Morgan Strong as the County has a limited role in that process and cannot respond to those procedural questions. Morgan Strong will provide contact information for the public."*

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 5

Chairman Zwetzig asked County Attorney Kathryn Sellars to review the list of criteria of what the Board will be required to use in determining whether or not the service plans meets the statutory requirements at which time, Ms. Sellars read aloud the list of criteria.

The applicant, Morgan Strong, then presented, with each individual introducing themselves and noting their address. First speaking was Rob Carruth, 428 Sherman, Fort Morgan; Alli Howe, 120 Balsam, Fort Morgan; Michelle Soriano, 709 Cheyenne; Fort Morgan; Kathryn Winn, from the law firm Collins, Cockrel & Cole, 390 Union Blvd., Denver, CO; Kyle Thomas, George K Baum & Company, 1499 Wewatta Street, Denver, CO; and Ray Laws, Attorney at Law, Zorn and Richardson P.C., 226 E. Platte Avenue, Fort Morgan.

Michelle Soriano introduced the matter to the Board. She introduced their legal advisor present today, as well as the financial expert from George K. Baum. Ms. Soriano provided an overview of which Morgan Strong is representing and their goals. She read aloud their mission stating their mission as being unique further speaking of the needs for a recreation district in Morgan County. A power point presentation was provided accompanied by Ms. Soriano's detailed explanation of the proposed service plan. She further stated they are asking voters to approve a .75 percent sales tax, which would exclude groceries and agricultural equipment which would provide funding for their proposed project.

At this time, Alli Howe asked their legal counsel, Kathryn Winn to speak on behalf of the statutory requirements, who spoke in detail explaining the process the petitioners have had to do before getting to this point today. She also provided an overview of the information pertaining to special districts, special purpose local government, run by a local board, consisting in this case of five directors and further clarified why they are proposing a parks and recreation district, indicating the statute requires it be named parks and recreation but they are only proposing a recreation purpose. There will be no property tax powers in the service plan, explaining typically that is what is proposed in a special district and stated after discussions with the Board of County Commissioners it was determined that property tax being imposed is not in the best interest of the County. She further outlined where the revenue is proposed to come from through a county sales tax and provided an overview of how that will work. She stated that those who own 40 acres or more, which are zoned agriculture and used primarily for agriculture, are excluded from the special district and stated the petitioners are not opposing those who have asked to be excluded from the matter. She provided an overview of the steps they will be required to take in order to move towards the actual election, explaining who would be eligible to vote on the matter. She explained that if the BOCC should approve the service plan, there are several other steps that have to be taken before the matter would move towards an election. She stated there was a required notice of hearing, explaining it was sent to property owners and was published in the Fort Morgan Times, Brush News Tribune and also had to send notices to providers of facilities and worked with The BOCC administrative assistant and the County Attorney, naming some of those that received the notice.

She further stated because there is no mill levy, no property tax being required, excluding is essentially takes the person out of the ability to vote on the matter only. She stated they are not aware of any objection to the service plan as filed and then moved onto the statutory required criteria, explaining that criteria. She spoke about the feedback that has been received to provide more of a recreational opportunity, the existing services are inadequate, stating community outreach helped to identify there is additional needs within the County, explaining those services in detail.

She stated their proposal is in compliance with the County's master plan and read aloud what the requirements are in the County Master Plan stating they believe their goals fit within this requirement. She feels their proposal is within the best interest of the community it serves as well.

Ms. Winn noted two technical corrections that have been requested to be included in the service plan as presented and provided an overview of those corrections. She provided clarification that the information will be provided in the petition will align with what is being proposed in the service plan.

Kyle Thomas, George K. Baum & Company, spoke regarding the financial plan stating the work that has been done was completed on the needs assessment side and provided an overview of the discussions that have been had with the Commissioners and other members of the community speaking further about the proposed sales tax stating that Morgan County is unique given they currently do not require a County sales tax, and explained what they would propose the collection from a .75 percent sales tax would generate. He further explained that parks and recreation are not self sustained, explaining what revenues would need to be used for what purposes, salaries, maintenance, service of debt issuance explaining the amounts they are proposing. He stated they had outlined in the service plan what the anticipated daily revenues are as well operational costs and revenue for the facilities being proposed. At a high level, .75 percent would be able to fund capital improvements in the district as well as provide necessary money for operations and ongoing maintenance.

Chairman Zwetzig asked about the fees being collected, if they would be different from someone who lives in the district as someone who does not live in the district with the answer being to be determined by the District Board. He also asked about the information being proposed in the service plan, as he understood there was not going to be a mill levy requested, but the service plan stated that at a future time they could impose a mill levy. Ms. Winn stated statute says the language referring to mill levy needs to be included as part of the service plan as most special districts; this is how those are funded. The proposed Service plan limits that and states they will not impose a mill levy and explained the process that would have to take place where they would have to go back to the Commissioners and electors would have to approve a mill levy, explaining the power is out there, and they have limited it the best they can. Chairman Zwetzig confirmed the list of property owners was obtained from the County, and asked about the issue where citizens have stated they did not receive the notice or received it late, with an explanation made by Ms. Winn how the notice was handled and did their best to comply with the notice requirements.

# 6 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

Discussion followed as to what purchases the proposed sales tax would apply to with Mr. Thomas stating the state excludes many things, which varies from County to County, and it would be at the County's discretion to adopt what sales tax code they would prefer, indicating that food sales and agricultural equipment is one of the usual items that are excluded. Ms. Sellars stated this has not been discussed in detail with the Commissioners but believes it would be best for the County to adopt the State sales tax exemptions.

Chairman Zwetzig asked about who will be eligible to vote and on what questions, with Ms. Winn stating as far as the district organization, it would be the electors within the district, the entire boundaries of Morgan County except for electors who are specifically excluded as well as those properties who have requested exclusion. Clarification was made that if someone would own 15 parcels of property, and one of those parcels would be under the 40 acre criteria, they would be eligible to vote. Anyone who is an eligible elector of Morgan County will be eligible to vote on the County sales tax question and further explained that anyone making a purchase in Morgan County would be required to pay the County sales tax.

Commissioner Arndt asked about the statement that was made about the overwhelming support of their proposed special district for detailed information. Alli Howe, Morgan Strong, stated they had a meeting regarding the survey results indicating a 14 percent return rate, indicating the initial response as being over 50 percent being excited about what Morgan Strong was proposing, and that was the reason they held public meetings regarding the proposed district. She stated the most important thing they did was speaking with those involved in youth coaching, and the need for the recreational activities and facilities in the County, whereas the need for indoor infrastructure as being important.

Rob Carruth, Morgan Strong, stated they did send out the survey and of the 14 percent received, there was a positive return overall. The number of surveys returned was 1,196 out of the 8,556 that were mailed, and the results were about a 60/40 split with 60 percent being favorable.

Commissioner Arndt asked about the statement if a property owner's land use should change, using the example of a property owner who is currently excluded given the agriculture exclusion but would change to residential, would they only be included if they should ask to be included in the district at that time. Ms. Winn answered stating it was her understanding of the statute, if the use or the zoning would change from agriculture, the district's board would have the opportunity to include that property, and it would be up to the district board to determine that.

Commissioner Becker asked about the criteria, requiring the financial ability to discharge any indebtedness, stating they are taking on a large project for the County, inquiring if they are taking into account the economy how it ebbs and flows, what are they taking into account as to their financial analysis, with Mr. Thomas stating they typically look at a 30 year period of time, explaining how they look at the inflation rate, assumed level debt service with this proposal, and if they would take into consideration inflation, there could be the need to ask for additional sales tax, and provided a summary of how they determined the financial analysis.

Commissioner Becker also asked about what would happen if they did not have the ability to pay back the debt, with Mr. Thomas stating the only pledge is the sales tax dollars, explaining this is not a pledge of the County, not a pledge of the tax payer, and it would have to be made up in future years, it would result in the bonds not being paid.

Commissioner Becker stated one of the things that gives him concern is they seem to be proposing representatives from one general area and he does not feel it gives Wiggins or Weldona the voice they may need, and suggested to make a change to their proposed representation to be sure that they consider all are given a fair voice.

Commissioner Becker then asked about the City of Fort Morgan and possibly the City of Brush having their own plans to do their own district, asking about the adequacy of projected needs, and having to go to the citizens for the need for a sales tax, but when they spoke last, he wanted them to be sure they were working with the City's and explained that the City of Fort Morgan is already looking at spending taxpayer's monies, and asked how they are working with the City of Fort Morgan regarding this proposal.

Mr. Laws stated it is important they need the service plan approved before they can enter into IGA's with others explaining once the service plan is approved, they would then reach out to the municipalities to begin working together. Further discussion followed regarding the fact that the City of Fort Morgan is wanting to build a field house, Morgan Strong is asking for an indoor aquatics facility and Morgan Strong is looking at assisting the City of Brush with repairs and fixing up the city pool that has been in place for 30 plus years, and concerns about not wanting to duplicate services, but to assist with what is needed in the County.

Commissioner Becker stated he believes there can be some type of coordination sitting inside of the service plan explaining if the service plan passes, this is what is going to be done. He spoke of his concerns about their ambition to build three facilities within these locations, and what is the uptake opposed to building three facilities, are we within the population to have the need for three facilities, putting taxpayer dollars into the project.

Mr. Laws answered the question, stating that when they went into the proposal, they thought Wiggins and Brush may have wanted a field house, and found through their needs assessment, that was not the case. He stated they are not binding themselves to putting three facilities in place and spoke about the information they have received that they would need more of an aquatics facility and at this time cannot provide the detailed information today within the service plan.

Commissioner Becker stated that there needs to be something pretty clear to the voter of what the process and a clear direction of where the dollars are going to be put towards and explained his concerns if that is not clear and stated he would like to see more collaboration with the city's regarding where the tax dollars are going to be spent.

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 7

Alli Howe stated she believes they agree with this information and believe it is important to work closely with the municipalities and feels this is the critical point of knowing whether or not they will be on the ballot or not. She stated they do intend to provide more clarity as to what the voters will be voting on in the fall.

Commissioner Becker asked if they did visit Logan County, what the use of these types of facilities, what the projections were and what was the actual percentage of use by citizens for a county this size. Ms. Howe stated she did do some work with an individual in Logan County, where they informed her they had used GOCO funding as well as two larger donations, stating they are more city funded, not county funded. She stated she did visit Eaton, and that seemed to fit the cost model for in district and out of district, explaining the several projections from the various districts and the data they were able to collect. She stated they did a survey around the area for other recreation districts.

Commissioner Becker asked about the number of visitors at these facilities per year as being, with Ms. Howe stating she does not have that information at this time, but can obtain it. Commissioner Becker stated he is asking to know what the need for three facilities are, explaining his question, wanting to know how many people are being served, and what the need is. He wants to know if they have the proper financial analysis put together at this time. Ms. Howe stated there will be a need for additional recreational staff, using the Town of Snyder citizens and the ways they can provide services to those communities. Ms. Howe explained how she feels they can bring organized recreational services to the smaller communities, naming yoga, zumba and allowing for more citizens to have access to services.

Chairman Zwetzig asked a procedural question, with the proposed three questions by the proposed district and one question by the County. He asked if the district would be formed and approved, but what if the sales tax question is not passed, with Ms. Winn stating you would have a district, and how that would look, and whether or not they would then go back to voters for another question on the ballot. If all three district questions pass, except the sales tax question, with Ms. Winn stating there would need to be a lot of outreach done by the group proposing the matter so they understand how it is tied to the ballot.

Ms. Winn stated there will be more than four questions, but typically three types of questions on the ballot.

Commissioner Arndt, asked about when it says Weldonia and Orchard, does that mean they have to live in what is platted as old town Orchard, or old town Weldonia, or by zip code with Ms. Winn explaining that when they have the petition, they will have to have a map showing the director districts. Ms. Winn stated they have a clear idea based on the population and what the areas would represent, but as far as the actual outlines, they did not include that in this information, as that is done as part of the district court petition.

Commissioner Arndt, asked what would happen if the special district, and there being an economic downturn, and there is not enough money to keep the district sustained, what happens to the district and facilities? Ms. Sellars stated there is a dissolution process, but a special district cannot be dissolved that owns debt, so there would have to be a way to pay that off. Mr. Thomas stated there is no collateral being offered in this matter, so anyone could step in to take over, stating it is incredibly rare for this to occur and they hardly ever encounter the situation being asked about.

Ms. Sellars confirmed the debt would not automatically defer back to the County for responsibility with Commissioner Arndt stating his concerns about being responsible for future boards and wanting to be sure they are not infringing on encumbering costs or liability to future boards.

Ms. Winn stated in her work with special districts, she has had districts dissolve, stating those are typically developer districts, naming what occurs, and as far as dissolving districts, they have seen that occurring mainly with older districts such as water districts explaining what she has seen in her work, and stated it is not normally seen in this field.

Chairman Zwetzig stated at this time the Board has an agreement that exclusion can be received up and through the end of today's hearing, what would happen after the hearing. Ms. Winn stated there is a process in statute for properties to exclude or include into a special district, explaining the process. Chairman Zwetzig asked to clarify stating his understanding being the process as being the petitioners will go to district court once the Service Plan is approved by the Commissioners, and the district court can accept exclusions and they consider that or does the district board consider them with Ms. Winn stating that before they will just be excluded with nothing being done with district court stating that after a district is organized, there is a mechanism in place to make a change to the district boundaries, with Chairman Zwetzig stating it is his understanding there is quite a cost to make a change such as an exclusion or inclusion to the district, with Ms. Winn stating it is at the board's discretion of what the costs would be to do so, and explained what those fees may involve.

Chairman Zwetzig spoke about the election costs and will Morgan Strong will be paying for the sales tax question costs, with Ms. Winn stating that is not a discussion that has occurred and further explained what she sees will take place if the service plan should be approved and when that conversation may take place. Discussion took place as to the sales tax ballot question

Ms. Sellars explained what statute allows regarding the hearing in front of the district court. Ms. Sellars spoke about the requirement a petition to be circulated, and once that petition is signed by an appropriate number of people and that will then be submitted to the District Court and then an election would be set. Chairman Zwetzig stated that if the Board should approve the service plan, the Board is then expressing their agreement to pose the sales tax question.

# 8 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

Commissioner Arndt asked about exhibit A in the plan, as showing the entire county being a part of the special district, but the information about the 40 acres being exempted, when will the official map be completed showing those parcels excluded as well as those allowed to be excluded. Ms. Winn stated the challenge is the County is quite large, and properties are quite small, and she believes the map proposed substantially complies with statute given it requires they are to provide the proposed boundaries of the district, and the information provided in the service plan. She believes the map being proposed does provide the information as required by statute. By January 1, there is a requirement the district must provide an updated district boundary map, explaining this could be done.

Commissioner Arndt asked about the powers of a special district, noting the condition in the service plan regarding condemnation, expressing this word scares him, feeling very strongly that a Parks and Recreation District having condemnation powers, is very concerning to the citizens of Morgan County.

Commissioner Arndt asked if the Board would have the ability to ask if they would waive those powers, with Ms. Sellars stating they would have the ability to do so, explaining how.

Chairman Zwetzig asked about the written comments that have been received, when those would need to be read aloud, with Ms. Sellars stating those could be done now, or at the end of public comment. Chairman Zwetzig asked about how many of those were received with Administrative Services Manager, Karla Powell, stating she was not able to answer. At this time, Chairman Zwetzig asked if the Clerk could read aloud those names that have asked to speak during today's hearing in expressing support or opposition stating at this time, anyone who had signed up wishing to speak during the public comment period can do so at this time.

Morgan County Clerk and Recorder Susan Bailey announced those named on the list for wishing to speak indicating their address as follows:

Monica Wolfswinkel, 8269 County Road F, Wiggins, CO  
Rosie Evans, 927 Saunders Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Phoebe Baquera, 430 Hickory Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Stacy Williams, 517 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO left meeting no comment presented  
Gene Ziegler, 17765 County Road T.5, Fort Morgan, CO left meeting no comment presented  
Barbara Keenan, 302 Grant Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Michelle Soriano, 709 Cheyenne Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Rob Carruth, 428 Sherman Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Gail Stencil, 8414 County Road 6, Wiggins, CO  
Allyn Wind, 345 Howell Avenue, Brush, CO  
Nathan Howe, 120 Balsam Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Melody Christensen, 12280 County Road 36, Brush, CO  
Laurie Cook, P.O. Box 82, Atwood, CO  
Suzanne Spears, 309 Howell Avenue, Brush, CO  
Raymond Laws, 19444 County Road 19.5, Fort Morgan, CO  
Alli Howe, 120 Balsam Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Paul Larino, 304 Central Avenue, Wiggins, CO  
Rick Bain, 353 Howell Avenue, Brush, CO  
Melody Baum, 23693 County Road 21, Fort Morgan, CO  
Kathleen Zion, 22362 County Road P, Fort Morgan, CO  
Andrea Strand, 19478 County Road 29, Brush, CO  
Chuck Miller, 26060 County Road S, Brush, CO  
Brian Urdiales, 123 Cheyenne Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Isabella Heepke-Laws, 19444 County Road 19.5, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Simone Garvin, 1015 Lake Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Nicolas Ng, 440 Sherman Street, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter read by Simone Garvin  
Aline Hansen-Guzman, 137 Reid Road, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter read by Simone Garvin  
Max Heepke, 19390 County Road 19.5, Fort Morgan, CO  
Meredith Chapin, 25785 County Road 6, Weldon, CO submitted a letter read by Max Heepke  
Patti Lewis, 54 Canfield Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO left meeting no comment presented  
Leonard Kelly, 945 N. Custer Street, Brush, CO left meeting no comment presented  
Chrissy McDonald, 23179 County Road 23, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well  
Amy Prince, 64 Bachar Drive, Fort Morgan, CO & Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, left meeting no verbal comment presented, submitted letter as well  
Laurie Cromwell, 17108 County Road 25, Fort Morgan, CO submitted a letter as well,  
Houefa Akpamoli, 703 Fremont Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO  
Keith Weaver, 5601 Highway 71, Brush, CO

Citizens expressed both support and opposition to the application during this public comment period and direct questions were answered by both the Board of County Commissioners as well as those representing Morgan Strong.

A brief recess was taken at 11:45 a.m. for the recording to be saved. Chairman Zwetzig called the meeting back to order at 12:01 p.m. and provided the opportunity for additional public comment at which time the following individuals provided comment:

Michelle Christensen, 22537 County Road W, Fort Morgan, CO  
Erin & Allen Goff, 26981 Ruhl Road, Brush, CO submitted a letter as well

Chairman Zwetzig also read into the record those letters received by the Board of County Commissioners prior to the hearing and read aloud an email received from David Wagers, 30909 County Road F, Brush, CO.



# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 9

Ms. Howe presented letters she received from citizens as well at which time the Board accepted and accepted into the record. Ms. Howe stated these were letters she received within the last couple of hours with Commissioner Becker reading aloud what was considered a “stock letter” from several employees working for Colorado Plains Medical Center. These letters were in support of the project, with Commissioner Becker naming who each letter was submitted by. Additional letters were reviewed by Commissioner Becker in support of the proposed district from the following individuals:

Donald Kruglet, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Marshall Unrein, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Sarah Whitney, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Tiffany Jorgensen, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Irfan Qureshi, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Elizabeth Schmidt, 6 Toms Trail, Brush, CO  
Brett Purcell, 412 W. 7<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Apt. B, Fort Morgan, CO  
Amy Ely, 18261 County Road 26.1, Brush, CO  
Shaun Thompson  
Reid Kahl  
Sarah Frenier  
Jayne McAden, 9164 County Road 4, Wiggins, CO  
Tamara Thompson, 20301 Highway 52, Fort Morgan, CO  
Alexandra Keenan, Platte Valley Hearing Center, 409 E. Platte Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO  
Cassie Potts, 33238 County Road W, Hillrose, CO  
Lucas Loots, 628 E. 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO  
Chaneline  
Arnold Akele, 703 Fremont Avenue, Fort Morgan, CO  
Saturnin Zannou, 418 S. Lake Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Reine Dayouh, 418 S. Lake Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
David A. Kauffman, Liittjohann, Kauffman & Pederson, 420 E. Platte Avenue, Suite A, Fort Morgan, CO  
Sharon Kauffman, Insurance Connection  
Jeremy Frenier, Frenier Floors & Interiors, 224 Ensign Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Kathrine Anderson, Kathrine Anderson Counseling, 324 E. Railroad Avenue, Suite 500, Fort Morgan, CO  
Abe & Melanie Johnson, Big Johnson Construction, 138 West Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Media Logic Radio, Wayne Johnson  
Roseanne & Brian Evans, Evans Early Childhood Center, 318 Linda Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Kevin Zachary, Colorado Plains Medical Center, 1000 Lincoln Street, Fort Morgan, CO  
Matt Laws, Wakefield & Associates, 830 E. Platte Avenue Suite A, Fort Morgan, CO

Chairman Zwetzig then made mention of a letter he received from Dr. Shaun Thompson which asked about bike trails, with Ms. Howe stating they are aware of cyclists and long distance runners, and indicated this is one of the first things that was discussed, and at this time, there is currently not the resources that could be provided in their plan but the “future board” will be tasked with this requested service.

Chairman Zwetzig spoke about the mention of soccer fields, with Ms. Howe explaining they would like to create more opportunities for soccer, mentioning outdoor soccer fields versus indoor soccer fields, stating this would also be a conversation to be had in the future, and it is something the community loves and supports and it is getting those partners to the table to be conveners.

Chairman Zwetzig asked about Commissioner Arndt’s request to relinquish the right to condemnation, stating this is a concern that has been expressed by several rural community members given they do not wish to have a trail be placed on their property, throughout the County. Ms. Howe stated as an organization, they would not like to have that power of eminent domain, and Ms. Winn stated this was not put into the service plan as it was not discussed, and this is part of the statute, and referred to the County’s legal counsel of what the Board’s option would be. Ms. Sellars stated her answer would be the same as earlier that an amendment could be asked to be made to the service plan to limit that power of eminent domain.

Chairman Zwetzig also asked would they provide automatic right for exclusion prior to a proposed mill levy, with Ms. Winn stating she is not sure there is a way to do that, explaining what she understands statute allows for and has not had the question asked before if an automatic exclusion can be made when there is a change to a service plan. Ms. Sellars stated at that time, the district would already be formed, and the Commissioners would not have the power to allow for that exclusion request, and is not sure the process could be modified and power be granted back to the Board.

At this time, Chuck Miller, spoke stating his point is, he is understanding that a mill levy is not part of the plan, this particular board, the only board in place today, has taken the mill levy requirement off the table, stating they only had it in the plan given it is required by statute, but believes the statute is designed for mill levy taxes, not sales tax. He stated this board is asking for approval and has taken the mill levy matter off the table, that would give the property owners the right at that time ten days prior to any hearing. Ms. Sellars stated she believes the question would be who has the authority to grant the exclusion at that time, and believes it would be the special district board that would have that authority. Mr. Miller expressed concerns about this being “a third arm of government”.

At this time, Chairman Zwetzig moved onto final comments and discussion to address what has been heard today. Ms. Howe indicated she wanted to clarify some of the concerns expressed during public comment and mentioned the comments made by Mayor Rick Bain, stating she is prepared to submit corrections today as he mentioned in exhibit B of the service plan, Phase 2, those have not been paid for as of yet, those are just projections made by their architect, stating for purposes of today, those can be ignored. As slated at this time, what they will be able to bond

# 10 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

for, would be \$15 million for the City of Fort Morgan, and mentioned the same rate would be paid to get into the facility and the proposal of \$10 million for City of Brush and \$5 million for Wiggins. She stated they do have a summit planned to work with the municipalities, waiting for approval today and will then begin working with the municipalities. She further stated they have had conversations with the private recreational facilities, and the plan is not to have competing programming or competing facilities with what is in existence at this time, this is only to allow for additional resources.

Ms. Howe also spoke about the fact they have waited for a full year waiting on the master plan for the City of Brush, and even hired the same person to review, to be sure they are working on the matter together. She spoke of items in their master plan and the fact that duplication is not part of Morgan Strong's plan or redundancy of services. She stated they have been intentional in working with their architect, George K. Baum, and the study completed by Green Play stating they have been careful not to create a "vacuum" affect as they want to provide more service and more opportunity for recreation purposes.

At this time, Chairman Zwetzig thanked everyone for their input, stating this has been an experience that he feels has worked well and at this time, no further comments were made at this time and the public comment portion of the hearing was closed. Chairman Zwetzig asked for discussion and comments from the Board.

Commissioner Arndt expressed strong feelings about condemnation rights and his wish to have this wording omitted and waived as part of the service plan. He also spoke about it being interesting that the Board can pull one power, but cannot have the power to state a mill levy is not an option. Ms. Sellars clarified that the Board does have the right to ask for the amendment to the service plan, stating that is the way it is worded at this time. Commissioner Arndt stated it is worded that it could come back to the BOCC, for a mill levy, with Ms. Sellars explaining it could come back to the Board for a public hearing process, and the special district board would have to come back to the Board to amend the service plan and it would require the public hearing process and approval by the BOCC.

Commissioner Arndt asked then about if the service plan is opened up, does that not give the landowner the opportunity to ask for exclusions. Ms. Sellars stated the property owner always has the right to petition to be excluded, and it would be the special district board who would take that under consideration. That is allowed at any time, not only when the service plan would be opened up for amendments. Once the special district is formed, the power for exclusion would go to the board designated for the special district.

Commissioner Becker asked the question about the mill levy and condemnation language in the service plan, and further stated he would like to ask if this moves forward and is placed on the ballot, and the special district formation passes, but the sales tax question does not pass, it is his assumption they are still wanting to move forward. Ms. Sellars stated she believes that they Board could place the condition that the ballot question include language if the sales tax question does not pass, the special district is not approved then to be formed.

Ms. Howe explained what she has found in speaking further with other special districts, stating she would prefer not to have the language in the ballot question, stating she believes it would be of same benefit to not include it but would take the information back to her board for discussion.

Commissioner Arndt spoke about the comments made regarding the School District and the information provided that they are over burdened, taxed twice and they have a hard time keeping up with the maintenance of the facilities, and if there has been any documentation filed regarding these remarks. Ms. Howe stated they have spoken with the superintendents regarding this matter, explaining the information they did obtain, but stated there were several letters of support from school district employees. Commissioner Arndt stated he only seen support from one town today, and that being Wiggins, and they feel it is good in moving forward and further asked if they have anything from the School Districts, and the other municipalities as partners, stating they are in agreement. Ms. Howe stated she could provide the Board with a copy of emails that would indicate their support, explaining the collaboration they have with these other stakeholders.

Commissioner Arndt asked about a resolution that was passed by the City of Fort Morgan, and if it had been received into the record, with discussion indicating it has not.

Discussion followed with Commissioner Becker expressing his concerns why he did not feel he could "check the box" regarding some of the criteria required of the Board, given he did not feel all the information needed had been provided. He stated that the Town of Wiggins was present and expressed their support of the proposed plan but had not heard from the City of Brush or the City of Fort Morgan and expressed his concerns whether or not he could justify the satisfactory evidence of the existing service in the area to be served by the District is inadequate for present and projected needs or that there is sufficient existing and projected need for organized service in the area to be serviced by the District and expressed his concerns about whether or not this is necessary enough to impose a county sales tax that tax payers will be responsible for paying.

Commissioner Becker pondered the question about whether or not to allow them to move forward with these concerns, and stated he has a difficult time stating they have met the requirements of statute to approve the service plan given they do not have the input from City of Brush and City of Fort Morgan.

Chairman Zwetzig commented that he has heard comments from both sides and stated he believes the Board's job is to determine whether or not the criteria of the service plan has been met. He reviewed each item of criteria of the service plan and read aloud the information. Chairman Zwetzig made mention of the memorandum from the County Attorney that outlines the statutory criteria as follows:

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 11

Evaluation: Does the Service Plan contain all of the following information:

1. *A description of the proposed services to be provided by the District* – all Commissioners agreed this criteria has been adequately met
2. *A financial plan showing how the proposed services are to be financed, including the proposed operating revenue derived from property taxes for the first budget year of the District* – after discussion, Commissioners agreed this criteria has been adequately met
3. *A preliminary engineering or architectural survey showing how the proposed services are to be provided* – after discussion, Commissioner Arndt and Becker agreed this has been adequately met with Chairman Zwetzig noting he believes it has been met, but “weakly met”
4. *A map of the proposed District's boundaries and an estimate of the population and valuation for assessment of the proposed District* - discussion followed regarding the map as being required, with Chairman Zwetzig stating he finds the map being lacking, it is a map of Morgan County, but it does not demonstrate what the boundaries of the special district given the exclusions. Commissioner Arndt stated that is one item that is not met, and understands they have allowed for exclusions up through today's hearing, so would not be able to provide this, but would have felt better to have had the 40 acre exemptions indicated, stating that he believes that should have been done which would have met what the service plan indicates. Commissioner Becker stated that because they are not using the mill levy option, the actual boundaries of Morgan County are what are being used, with a sales tax question, feeling they cannot hold them any higher than that, he does believe it would be nice to have had the 40 acre exemption, he does understand why the map that has been presented would have been presented in the manner it has been.

Discussion followed regarding who would be sending ballots to property owners, with it being stated it would be the County Clerk. Chairman Zwetzig made mention about his concerns regarding the time and resources the County would be required to provide and the costs to the County. Ms. Winn explained who would be eligible to vote in a special district election with Chairman Zwetzig reading information from Department of Local Affairs who would be eligible to vote. Clarification was determined regarding those who would be eligible and able to vote on the creation of the special district with Ms. Winn indicating what their role would be and Clerk Bailey explaining an IGA would be prepared and required to have the petitioner enter into which would hold them responsible for certifying the property owner list.

5. *A general description of the facilities to be constructed and the standards of such construction* – agreed by all Commissioners as adequately met.
6. *A general description of the estimated cost of acquiring land, engineering services, legal services, administrative services, initial proposed indebtedness and estimated proposed maximum interest rates and discounts, and other major expenses related to the organization and initial operation of the District* – agreed by all Commissioners as adequately met
7. *A description of any arrangement or proposed agreement with any political subdivision for the performance of any services between the proposed District and such other political subdivision* – Commissioner Becker commented that he would like to have input from the City's and believes this criteria needs to be taken care of as they move forward.
8. Information, along with other evidence presented at the hearing, satisfactory to establish that each of the criteria set forth in C.R.S. § 32-1-203 is met as follows:

Mandatory criteria:

Is satisfactory evidence of each of the following presented?

- a. *There is sufficient existing and projected need for organized service in the area to be serviced by the District* – agreed by all Commissioners this has been adequately met
- b. *The existing service in the area to be served by the District is inadequate for present and projected needs* - Commissioner Becker expressed concern stating he believes the phasing needed to be done better and may be creating too big of a district and putting too much of a tax on the citizens. Commissioner Arndt spoke about the west end of the County, stating he believes that services are lacking and explained his reasons why he believes that on his side of the county is inadequate but welcomed by other entities. Chairman Zwetzig then spoke about services on the east end of the County, feeling they are adequate and the idea they are not included, feeling they are okay with the district but don't want to lose what they have or go backwards, feeling there needs to be the collaboration with the cities and would like to have seen more of that information presented.
- c. *The District is capable of providing economical and sufficient service to the area within its proposed boundaries; and*
- d. *The area to be included in the District has, or will have, the financial ability to discharge the proposed indebtedness on a reasonable basis.*

The Board found that both these criteria as listed were found adequate if the sales tax question would pass.

# 12 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

At this time, Chairman Zwetzig read aloud, ***“If Morgan Strong has not supplied satisfactory evidence of any of the above, the Board MUST disapprove the plan, unless it wants to conditionally approve the plan and require certain modifications of the plan to include missing evidence/information, as a contingent for final approval.”***

Commissioner Arndt stated he believed there should have been some sort of stronger buy in from the cities, with Commissioner Becker stating that is where he is still struggling, and feels that should have been done before this was presented to the Board, so agreements were in place at the time of the Board making its decision.

Commissioner Arndt also stated he believed given there is the discussion of taxes being imposed; there should have been agreements in place by the cities prior to coming to the Board for approval of the service plan. Commissioner Becker stated the time frame to do this is running short of the time to get this where it is needed.

Chairman Zwetzig then reviewed the information regarding the Board having the ability to disapprove the service plan if satisfactory evidence was not shown, reading aloud the following information:

***“The Board may disapprove the service plan if satisfactory evidence of any of the following has not been shown:***

- a. Adequate service is not, or will not be, available to the area through existing local governments, including existing special districts, within a reasonable time and on a comparable basis;*
  - b. The facility and service standards of the District are compatible with the facility and service standards of the County and each municipality which is an interested party;*
  - c. The proposal is in substantial compliance with a master plan adopted pursuant to section 30-28-106, C.R.S.;*
  - d. The proposal complies with any duly adopted county, regional, or state long-range water quality management plan for the area; and*
  - e. The District's creation will be in the best interests of the area proposed to be served.*
- 9. Such additional information as the Board may require by resolution on which to base its findings pursuant to C.R.S. § 32-1-203.”*

At this time, Chairman Zwetzig asked if there were any comments from Morgan Strong at which time, Rob Carruth spoke explaining at this time they are not able to commit to resources to draft formal IGA's, and further stated they have spoken to the City of Fort Morgan who did pass a formal resolution as well as those from Wiggins who spoke today, and Brush did express some reservations, and understands their reasons why, He stated they have met with all the recreational staff from the cities, and does not foresee anyone losing their job, this would allow for job opportunities through the district. He stated given the limited resources they have, they cannot prepare those IGA's until they move forward with the election.

Ms. Howe spoke stating that part of the feasibility study done by Green Play, and their architect, they took the participation rates that are currently happening in Fort Morgan, Brush, and created lists as they made their financial structure. She stated they are using current participation rates at the present time, and if the different services are offered, cost recovery did look different in the various parts of the County, but stated that Wiggins and Weldona does count. She stated they want to be sure that all the areas of the County are addressed.

Ms. Winn addressed reasons why some of the information is not included in the service plan, explaining what is required to be provided in the service plan, explaining it is a “best plan” which is presented to the BOCC to be sure they are comfortable with what is being proposed in the plan. The service plan is not intended to be or to limit the operational decisions of the district board as to user fees, staffing, and feels if they had included that they would have gone beyond what the statute permits them to do. What they have presented today, is based on what has been discussed during community meetings up and through this proposed service plan, stating it is really meant to be, once the district board is seated, reminding the BOCC the board are members of the community elected to carry out what is best needed for the community. She stated the timelines of the IGA have not been met, but that is something they can provide once they have been decided upon at the various entities. She stated they do not have a specific proposed agreement to present, and explained the service plan as being presented, and feels it is an enhancement feeling they do not have to provide specific agreements being in place,

Commissioner Becker stated he understands the explanation, and stated he has again read the statute, wanting to be sure he understands what he is being tasked with, stating he believes they are reading the criteria differently than he is and explained why. He stated he has to review it in the manner in which he does given the BOCC is responsible to the taxpayers wanting to assure that the taxes being imposed are adequate for services being proposed. He spoke about the City of Fort Morgan building their fieldhouse beside the aquatic center, and has to ask himself if the tax is adequate and believes it is his responsibility to answer that question for the tax being imposed to tax payers.

Ms. Winn asked if the resolutions of support would have alleviated Commissioner Becker's concerns with Commissioner Becker stating that would have certainly helped, explaining how he sees the taxpayers and their concerns to general answers to the questions being asked. Ms. Howe stated they respect the voters and that is why they would like to see it go to the voters. She assured the BOCC that any correspondence they have sent or presented has been copied to town managers, city managers, etc. so they are all aware of the efforts being made. She spoke of the meetings that have been held with the Town of Log Lane, Town of Hillrose and the others, she would be happy to get letters of support from any of those necessary.

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 13

Ms. Winn stated that all of the municipalities did receive the notice of today's hearing, and would take their not being present as not objecting to the proposed service plan.

Commissioner Becker asked what if the Commissioners decided because they feel the tax is too much for the district, can the Board ask that the proposed sales tax be revised. Ms. Sellars stated the proposed amount is not set yet. Mr. Thomas stated the details of the plan are not finalized and explained why the definition of the outer boundary was made and how it goes from there. Further discussion followed with Commissioner Becker stating he would like to see what the Cities are requiring.

Commissioner Arndt stated in the service plan there is discussion about the field house in Fort Morgan, and Wiggins, and the job of those present today with Morgan Strong, their duty is to form the special district at this time, and once the district is formed, they could then determine if whether or not the facilities being proposed today are needed as proposed, are they tied to keeping that in place with Ms. Sellars stating they are not tied to what has been proposed with Commissioner Arndt stating it is their current vision, and it could be changed if input from others would warrant that.

Ms. Sellars stated she believes a conditional approval could be made or disapproval as she hears a straight approval is probably not what they are looking at. She outlined what they would need to do before she can provide guidance. Chairman Zwetzig stated he would be looking at a conditional approval, with Ms. Sellars stating she would then ask that they provide details of what those conditions are. Chairman Zwetzig stated he believes they have heard testimony from both sides opposing and supporting, but feels there are things that would be prudent to be placed in the service plan. Commissioner Arndt stated that he also feels there are certain requirements that need to be placed in the service plan explaining his reasons for wanting to pass this with his support to move this forward for the taxpayers to decide. He believes there is the need to have documentation from the Cities that there is collaboration and that services are not going to be duplicated and the condemnation rights needs to be addressed.

Commissioner Becker stated he understands the testimony but believes his job is to look at the criteria and determine whether or not it was made to the satisfaction of the tax payers, and at this point his vote would be no to move it forward even with conditions.

Ms. Sellars reviewed the conditions the Board may be looking at and read to the Board those conditions as she understood them. She stated that the first condition as "language inserted in the service plan restricting the authority for the eminent domain similar to the mill levy language" and the second condition being "provide written documentation from the municipalities including the position on the creation of the district and the collaboration they have had describing it with the Morgan Strong board and how any of their current facilities are affected under the proposed service plan and proposed facilities."

Chairman Zwetzig noted the costs of the election would be at the expense of Morgan Strong and Ms. Sellars stated that will be addressed in the IGA with Morgan Strong. She further outlined what is required to adopt the sales tax with what exemptions there would be. Ms. Sellars stated her recommendation would be that the Board will need to be satisfied the documentation from the cities is adequate and meets their expectations before this can move forward. Chairman Zwetzig stated he has concerns about the City of Brush and that wants to be sure they are comfortable with this proposal. It was clarified that the Board receive documentation from all the municipalities within the County, especially looking at the City of Brush. Mr. Laws asked if subsection G would be complied with if the City of Brush would not be on board, with Chairman Zwetzig asking for guidance. Ms. Sellars stated it is more than just Letter G, it goes onto to determine whether or not adequate services can be provided, and mentioned the three criteria's that would need to be met.

At this time, a motion was made by Commissioner Arndt to approve the service plan with the conditions presented and agreed today as outlined by the County Attorney, with Ms. Sellars stating those conditions will be drafted and those conditions will be brought back to the Board for consideration and if approved, a resolution would need to be considered to approve the service plan with conditions and Chairman Zwetzig seconded the motion. Further discussion followed with Commissioner Arndt stating he believes Morgan Strong has gone over and above to work with the citizens by allowing them to exclude their property from the district through today's hearing, as well as working with the board. At this time, motion carried 2-1, **with Commissioner Becker being the dissenting vote.**

Discussion followed regarding the date to continue this matter to July 9, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. for the applicant to provide the documentation being requested today.

It was discussed to provide the following information for citizens who may have questions to direct them to the Morgan Strong website, and will provide a phone number to the press and emails can be sent to [infor@morganstrong.org](mailto:infor@morganstrong.org).

Being no further business the meeting was then adjourned at 1:44 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Susan L. Bailey  
Clerk to the Board

**(Minutes ratified July 2, 2019)**

# 14 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/James P. Zwetzig  
James P. Zwetzig, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Mark A. Arndt  
Mark A. Arndt, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Jon J. Becker  
Jon J. Becker, Commissioner

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Susan L. Bailey  
Susan L. Bailey

MORGAN COUNTY WARRANTS – MAY 2019  
GENERAL FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
9140372	ACKLEY BUILDING CENTER	SUPPLIES	\$208.51
9140373	ALSCO DENVER INDUSTRIAL	APR SERV	\$327.80
9140374	ANGEL DEL CAMPO RIVERA	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$184.00
9140375	BANKCARD CENTER	LODGING	\$27,948.77
9140376	BEAR COMMUNICATIONS INC	MAINT	\$10,080.00
9140377	BLOEDORN LUMBER	SUPPLIES	\$1,004.37
9140378	BOB BARKER COMPANY INC	SUPPLIES	\$2,767.13
9140379	CCI FOUNDATION	REGIST	\$1,080.00
9140380	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$55.99
9140381	CHRIS G. BOHLEN	APRIL SERVICE	\$263.65
9140382	CITY OF BRUSH	APR UTILITIES	\$260.86
9140383	COLORADO STATE TREASURER	UNEMPL INS	\$4,818.00
9140384	CORRECT CARE SOLUTIONS	MEDICAL SERVICES	\$62.95
9140385	D AND J ELECTRIC, INC	SERVICES	\$1,124.42
9140386	DAVID L. CHRISTIANSEN, PSY. D.	EMP EVAL	\$375.00
9140387	DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE	REGIST	\$5,800.00
9140388	DON HEER	MAY 2019 RENT	\$450.00
9140389	EDWARDS RIGHT PRICE MARKET	SUPPLIES	\$2,344.95
9140390	FREEDOM TO COWBOY UP	REFUND	\$500.00
9140391	GRAINGER, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$323.19
9140392	INCONTACT, INC.	PHONE	\$12.35
9140393	INTERLINE BRANDS INC	SUPPLIES	\$1,729.44
9140394	JOHNSON CONTROLS FIRE	MAINT	\$2,175.31
9140395	METROPOLITAN COMPOUNDS INC	SUPPLIES	\$334.02
9140396	MORGAN CO DEPT OF HUMAN SERV.	MAY 2019 RENT	\$200.00
9140397	MORGAN CO QUALITY WATER DIST.	APRIL WATER	\$53.77
9140398	MR. D S HOME CENTER	SUPPLIES	\$727.73
9140399	MURDOCHS RANCH AND HOME	SUPPLIES	\$63.47
9140400	NEWCO, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$254.51
9140401	NORTHEAST FIRE SAFETY	MAINT	\$470.00
9140402	OFFICE DEPOT	SUPPLIES	\$564.76
9140403	PREMIER FIRE PROTECTION , INC.	REPAIRS	\$1,167.63
9140404	QUAD COUNTY PLUMBING INC	SERVICE	\$1,190.65
9140405	REGALIA MFG CO	SUPPLIES	\$81.52
9140406	SAILSBERY SUPPLY	SUPPLIES	\$52.08
9140407	SHARE CORPORATION	SUPPLIES	\$172.03
9140408	TRINITY SERVICES I, LLC	JAIL/STAFF MEALS	\$18,118.95
9140409	TYLER B PRICE	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$303.00
9140410	WALMART BUSINESS/GEMB	SUPPLIES	\$144.29
9140411	WOLF WASTE LLC	MAY SERVICE	\$365.00
9140412	XCEL ENERGY	APR UTILITIES	\$171.11
9140413	Y-TEX CORPORATION	SUPPLIES	\$635.80
9140414	APE, INC	SUPPLIES	\$40.54
9140415	BRUSH AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	MEETING EXPENSES	\$59.00
9140416	CENTRAL AUTO PARTS	SUPPLIES	\$57.28
9140417	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$796.69
9140418	CHANNEL 3 TV COMPANY LLC	TOWER LEASE	\$1,275.20
9140419	CMS MECHANICAL SERVICES, INC	REPAIRS	\$3,288.18
9140420	DISTRICT ATTORNEYS TRUST	CONTRIB	\$50,829.00
9140421	FARMER BROS. CO.	SUPPLIES	\$208.05
9140422	GRAINGER, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$786.12

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 15

9140423	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	MAINT	\$272.51
9140424	MORGAN COUNTY ECONOMIC	2019 2ND QTR CONTRIB	\$9,500.00
9140425	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	APRIL PHONE	\$1,657.54
9140426	NEWCO, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$113.28
9140427	NORTHEAST FIRE SAFETY	MAINT	\$131.00
9140428	OFFICE DEPOT	SUPPLIES	\$450.21
9140429	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	LEGAL NOTICES	\$21.16
9140430	QUAD COUNTY PLUMBING INC	SERVICES	\$1,419.01
9140431	RUHL DISTRIBUTING	SUPPLIES	\$489.61
9140432	SGS FORT MORGAN/SIMPLLOT	SUPPLIES	\$999.14
9140433	SHERWIN-WILLIAMS CO	PAINT SUPPLIES	\$49.88
9140434	SPECIALIZED PATHOLOGY	SERVICES	\$1,175.00
9140435	WALDINA MEJIA	REFUND	\$500.00
9140436	WESTEK RENTALS LLC	EQUIP RENTAL	\$255.00
9140437	XCEL ENERGY	APR UTILITIES	\$132.12
9140438	AIMEE JEAN KANODE	REIMB	\$221.18
9140439	CHARTER COMM. HOLDING CO LLC	SERVICES	\$75.20
9140440	DANIEL A SCALISE	REIMB	\$44.95
9140441	DAVID ORTIZ	REIMB	\$178.00
9140442	HOFFMANN PARKER WILSON	APR SERVICES	\$10,366.78
9140443	JON B. HOLT	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$231.00
9140444	KONICA MINOLTA BUSINESS	MAINT	\$183.68
9140445	LUNA GOURMET COFFEE AND TEA CO	SUPPLIES	\$48.93
9140446	MORGAN COUNTY AMBULANCE	SUBSCRIPT	\$280.00
9140447	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$130,123.72
9140448	MORGAN COUNTY CLERK/RECORDER	STAFF MEALS	\$31.97
9140449	NORTHEAST COLO BROADCASTING	ADVERTISING	\$220.00
9140450	OFFICE DEPOT	SUPPLIES	\$192.57
9140451	PAUL VOWELL	REIMB	\$24.49
9140452	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	ADVERTISING	\$32.00
9140453	SERVICE MASTER	SERVICES	\$7,375.00
9140454	SHEILA HASTINGS	REIMB	\$178.00
9140455	SHELLY COLUMBIA	REIMB	\$52.08
9140456	SPECIALTY SHOPPE FLOORS	SUPPLIES	\$159.76
9140457	SUSAN BAILEY	REIMB	\$66.60
9140458	TYLER B PRICE	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$231.00
9140459	UNITED REPROGRAPHIC SUPPLY INC	MAINT	\$129.00
9140460	XCEL ENERGY	APR UTILITIES	\$2,510.67
9140461	A T D - AMERICAN CO.	SUPPLIES	\$349.70
9140462	ACCESS PRINTER SUPPLIES INC	SUPPLIES	\$1,002.85
9140463	CENTENNIAL MENTAL HEALTH	APRIL SERVICES	\$1,000.00
9140464	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$55.99
9140465	COLORADO CHAPTERS OF FCRV	REFUND	\$1,100.00
9140466	COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY	COOP SALARIES	\$20,139.51
9140467	CORRECT CARE SOLUTIONS	INMATE MEDICAL	\$18,562.47
9140468	DAVID L. CHRISTIANSEN, PSY. D.	EMP EVAL	\$375.00
9140469	GALLS, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$109.95
9140470	JUSTICE BENEFITS, INC.	SCAAP GRANT	\$3,804.26
9140471	RICOH AMERICAS CORP	MAINT	\$14.07
9140472	RUHL DISTRIBUTING	SUPPLIES	\$222.60
9140473	SUSAN KENDRICK	REFUND	\$325.00
9140474	THE MASTERS TOUCH LLC	MAILING/PRINTING	\$1,841.37
9140475	VERIZON WIRELESS	AIR CARD SERV	\$40.01
9140476	XCEL ENERGY	MAY UTILITIES	\$81.75
9140477	AFLAC GROUP INSURANCE	MAY INS	\$552.73
9140478	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$370.20
9140479	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$5,781.65
9140480	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE CO	MAY INS	\$1,895.44
9140481	C H P	MAY INS	\$102,966.73
9140482	COLO. DEPT. OF REVENUE	MAY GARNISH	\$75.00
9140483	FAMILY SUPPORT REGISTRY	MAY GARNISH	\$395.00
9140484	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$96,582.48
9140485	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$12,510.80
9140486	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$58,301.30
9140487	PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES	MAY INS	\$522.10
9140488	STERLING COMMUNITY	MAY EMP CONTRIB	\$400.00
9140489	TEXAS CHILD SUPPORT SDU	MAY GARNISH	\$280.67

## SOCIAL SERVICES FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
1019203	ALSCO DENVER INDUSTRIAL	APR SERVICE	\$82.20

# 16 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

1019204	BANKCARD CENTER	TOLL EXPENSE	\$5,582.77
1019205	CHRIS G. BOHLEN	APR SERVICE	\$60.00
1019206	COLORADO STATE TREASURER	UNEMPL INS	\$2,016.00
1019207	EDWARDS RIGHT PRICE MARKET	SUPPLIES	\$578.11
1019208	JENNIFER B WRIGHT	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$58.00
1019209	OFFICE DEPOT	SUPPLIES	\$2,761.12
1019210	CENTENNIAL MENTAL HEALTH	SERVICES	\$155.00
1019211	CENTER FOR HEALING TRAUMA	SERVICES	\$100.00
1019212	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$104.55
1019213	CORPORATE TRANSLATION SERV INC	SERVICES	\$261.01
1019214	FM FURNITURE MART	CLIENT EXPENSE	\$809.71
1019215	GOODWILL INDUSTRIES OF DENVER	CONTRACT	\$3,333.33
1019216	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	MAINT	\$297.64
1019217	HILL PETROLEUM	SERVICES	\$275.33
1019218	JENNIFER B WRIGHT	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$58.00
1019219	LABCORP	LAB FEES	\$114.00
1019220	LEXISNEXIS RISK DATA MGMT INC	SUBSCRIPT	\$130.00
1019221	LUTHERAN FAMILY SERVICES	SERVICES	\$1,700.00
1019222	MORGAN CO FAMILY CENTER	PSSF GRANT	\$15,262.31
1019223	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APRIL PHONE	\$863.88
1019224	MORGAN COUNTY GENERAL	MAY RENT	\$7,657.98
1019225	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	PHONE	\$445.01
1019226	NORTHEAST COLO. HEALTH DEPT.	SERVICES	\$50.00
1019227	OFFICE DEPOT	SUPPLIES	\$30.00
1019228	RECIPIENT	REFUND	\$20.00
1019229	RECIPIENT	REFUND	\$843.00
1019230	VERIZON WIRELESS	PHONE	\$160.04
1019231	ANGELA SNEDDON	REIMB	\$102.00
1019232	CAROLYN FOX	REIMB	\$196.24
1019233	DEBRAANN FERGUSON	REIMB	\$122.96
1019234	HOFFMANN PARKER WILSON	LEGAL SERVICES	\$78.00
1019235	ISABEL WIENS	REIMB	\$42.96
1019236	JENNIFER B WRIGHT	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$58.00
1019237	LISA NORTHRUP	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$104.00
1019238	MEGAN LYNN HORTON	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$121.00
1019239	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$18,227.06
1019240	MORPHO USA, INC	SERVICES	\$198.00
1019241	ONEIDA PETRINO	REIMB	\$102.00
1019242	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	LEAP ADS	\$177.50
1019243	REBECCA JANE TURNER	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$121.00
1019244	ROGELIO SEGURA	ADVANCED PER DIEM	\$104.00
1019245	SERVICE MASTER	MAY SERVICE	\$2,925.00
1019246	SUSANNE BROWN	REIMB	\$196.24
1019247	CENTENNIAL MENTAL HEALTH	SERVICES	\$300.00
1019248	COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF	CONSULT FEES	\$1,278.50
1019249	DEBORAH LYNN PAULSEN	SERVICES	\$445.00
1019250	LABCORP	LAB FEES	\$342.00
1019251	MORGAN CO FAMILY CENTER	CONTRACT	\$6,019.46
1019252	NORTHEAST COLO. HEALTH DEPT.	SERVICES	\$80.00
1019253	ORCHARD CROSSING EAST LLC	CLIENT EXPENSE	\$229.00
1019254	PATRICIA M CHASE	SERVICES	\$600.00
1019255	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	LEGAL NOTICE	\$36.34
1019256	THE FAMILY RESOURCE CENTER	SERVICES	\$210.00
1019257	RECIPIENT	REFUND	\$200.00
1019258	AFLAC GROUP INSURANCE	MAY INS	\$272.65
1019259	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$564.23
1019260	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$4,177.30
1019261	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE CO	MAY INS	\$767.49
1019262	C H P	MAY INS	\$59,973.06
1019263	CADLEROCK JOINT VENTURE LP	MAY GARNISH	\$587.03
1019264	FAMILY SUPPORT REGISTRY	MAY GARNISH	\$250.00
1019265	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$46,843.13
1019266	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$6,525.32
1019267	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$20,349.01
1019268	PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES	MAY INS	\$219.25
1019269	STERLING COMMUNITY	MAY EMP CONTRIB	\$70.00
1019270	STOKES AND WOLF, P.C.	MAY GARNISH	\$264.75

## ROAD AND BRIDGE FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
1108024	ACKLEY BUILDING CENTER	SIGNS	\$134.29



# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 17

1108025	ALSCO DENVER INDUSTRIAL	APR SERVICE	\$79.48
1108026	BANKCARD CENTER	MINING PERMIT	\$842.80
1108027	CMC COATING OF COLORADO	REBAR	\$7,302.10
1108028	COBITCO, INC.	ASPHALT	\$873.30
1108029	DANA KEPNER COMPANY, INC	SUPPLIES	\$1,512.11
1108030	DBE MANUFACTURING/SUPPLY LLC	REINFORCED STEEL	\$483.51
1108031	DORN READY MIX CORP.	CEMENT	\$2,750.75
1108032	MR. D S HOME CENTER	SUPPLIES	\$16.47
1108033	MURDOCHS RANCH AND HOME	SUPPLIES	\$11.98
1108034	NORTHERN SAFETY CO., INC.	SUPPLIES	\$699.19
1108035	WIGGINS TELEPHONE ASSOC.	PHONE	\$34.72
1108036	WOLF WASTE LLC	TRASH SERV	\$98.00
1108037	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$100.70
1108038	COBITCO, INC.	ASPHALT	\$434.60
1108039	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	MAINT	\$83.56
1108040	HILL PETROLEUM	PROPANE	\$849.37
1108041	LYLE SIGNS, INC.	SIGNS	\$712.59
1108042	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	APR PHONE	\$306.00
1108043	A - Z SAFETY SUPPLY	SUPPLIES	\$21.20
1108044	COBITCO, INC.	ASPHALT	\$569.90
1108045	COLORADO MOBILE DRUG TESTING	DOT TESTS	\$540.00
1108046	MATHESON TRI-GAS INC	SUPPLIES	\$404.51
1108047	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$189,665.18
1108048	MORGAN COUNTY SOLID WASTE MGMT	APR REIMB	\$28.76
1108049	AFLAC GROUP INSURANCE	MAY INS	\$166.78
1108050	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$391.85
1108051	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$2,702.55
1108052	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE CO	MAY INS	\$316.66
1108053	C H P	MAY INS	\$38,720.88
1108054	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$30,239.37
1108055	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$4,318.33
1108056	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$12,415.37
1108057	PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES	MAY INS	\$93.65
1108058	STERLING COMMUNITY	MAY EMP CONTRIB	\$160.00

## 911 EMERGENCY TELEPHONE FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
1601183	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$844.37
1601184	DAVID L. CHRISTIANSEN, PSY. D.	SERVICES	\$750.00
1601185	LANGUAGE LINE SOLUTIONS	SERVICE	\$37.35
1601186	PLATTE VALLEY HEARING CENTER	SERVICE	\$50.00
1601187	HOFFMANN PARKER WILSON	LEGAL FEES	\$234.00
1601188	MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS INC	EQUIPMENT	\$18,565.60

## JAIL CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
2600100	DLR GROUP INC, A COLORADO CORP	SERVICES	\$14,211.00
2600101	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$90.00

## CENTRAL SERVICES FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
4116933	A AND R AUTOMOTIVE SERVICE	REPAIRS	\$82.35
4116934	ALSCO DENVER INDUSTRIAL	APR SERVICE	\$115.96
4116935	BANKCARD CENTER	RED INK CARTRIDGE	\$15,635.09
4116936	BOBCAT OF THE ROCKIES	PARTS	\$80.08
4116937	COLORADO PLAINS MEDICAL GROUP	PRE EMP	\$118.00
4116938	FAIRBANK EQUIPMENT INC	FUEL SUPPLIES	\$5.15
4116939	FASTENAL COMPANY	SUPPLIES	\$109.95
4116940	FLOYDS TRUCK CENTER INC	PARTS	\$189.20
4116941	ILOKA, INC	PHONE	\$801.32
4116942	INLAND TRUCK PARTS COMPANY	PARTS	\$5,511.92
4116943	INTERSTATE BATTERIES	PARTS	\$325.85
4116944	LHM CORP TCD	NEW EQUIP	\$78,831.00
4116945	MHC KENWORTH - GREELEY	PARTS	\$261.95
4116946	MORGAN CO QUALITY WATER DIST.	APR WATER	\$68.29
4116947	NEWCO, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$59.90
4116948	NORTHEAST FIRE SAFETY	SERVICES	\$172.00

# 18 COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS

4116949	RUDY S GTO	TIRES	\$4,703.38
4116950	SAILSBERY SUPPLY	PARTS	\$131.42
4116951	STANDARD GLASS CO., INC.	GLASS REPAIRS	\$555.00
4116952	TYLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	JUNE MAINT	\$4,852.68
4116953	VANCE BROS., INC.	PARTS	\$26.28
4116954	VERIZON WIRELESS	AIR CARD SERVICE	\$40.01
4116955	WALK N ROLL INC	PARTS	\$332.55
4116956	WEAR PARTS AND EQUIP CO.	PARTS	\$2,231.08
4116957	WRIGHT EXPRESS FSC	FUEL	\$2,135.87
4116958	APE, INC	SUPPLIES	\$239.99
4116959	AUTOZONE STORES, INC	PARTS	\$94.99
4116960	CENTRAL AUTO PARTS	PARTS	\$2,677.89
4116961	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$69.72
4116962	COLORADO BAR ASSOCIATION	2019 DUES	\$310.00
4116963	DELL MARKETING L.P.	SMALL EQUIP	\$836.26
4116964	EP BLAZER LLC	NEW EQUIP	\$33,240.00
4116965	FASTENAL COMPANY	SUPPLIES	\$424.80
4116966	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	MAINT	\$49.03
4116967	HILL PETROLEUM	FUEL	\$64,191.20
4116968	INLAND TRUCK PARTS COMPANY	CREDIT	\$5,388.17
4116969	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	APR PHONE	\$383.80
4116970	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	LEGAL NOTICES	\$1,725.76
4116971	RUDY S GTO	TIRES	\$5,290.92
4116972	TRANSWEST TRUCKS INC	CREDIT	\$1,172.55
4116973	UNITED STATES WELDING INC	SMALL EQUIP	\$3,999.95
4116974	CENTURYLINK	PHONE	\$427.42
4116975	COLORADO MOBILE DRUG TESTING	PRE EMP	\$131.00
4116976	DAVID BUTE	REIMB	\$163.48
4116977	HILL PETROLEUM	FUEL	\$17,137.36
4116978	HOFFMANN PARKER WILSON	APR SERVICES	\$367.83
4116979	JMST AUTOMOTIVE GROUP LLC	PARTS	\$894.44
4116980	KONICA MINOLTA BUSINESS	MAINT	\$61.14
4116981	MORPHO USA, INC	PRE EMP	\$49.50
4116982	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	ADS	\$450.00
4116983	ROBERT J FARRIS	REIMB	\$240.00
4116984	J.J. KELLER AND ASSOC INC.	SUPPLIES	\$472.73
4116985	VERIZON WIRELESS	AIR CARD SERVICES	\$120.03
4116986	AFLAC GROUP INSURANCE	MAY INS	\$68.33
4116987	AMERICAN FAMILY LIFE ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$71.81
4116988	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$914.14
4116989	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE CO	MAY INS	\$125.00
4116990	C H P	MAY INS	\$20,416.61
4116991	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$20,077.28
4116992	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$2,849.12
4116993	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$9,918.87
4116994	PREPAID LEGAL SERVICES	MAY INS	\$12.95
4116995	STERLING COMMUNITY	MAY EMP CONTRIB	\$1,100.00

## AMBULANCE SERVICE FUND

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
4208379	B AND B PHARMACY	SUPPLIES	\$187.92
4208380	BANKCARD CENTER	FEES	\$831.54
4208381	BUCKEYE WELDING SUPPLY	SUPPLIES	\$114.51
4208382	CHRIS G. BOHLEN	APR SERVICE	\$39.35
4208383	CITY OF BRUSH	APR UTILITIES	\$147.32
4208384	EDWARDS RIGHT PRICE MARKET	SUPPLIES	\$91.04
4208385	GALLS, INC.	UNIFORMS	\$252.91
4208386	LIFE-ASSIST, INC.	SUPPLIES	\$809.71
4208387	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR PHONE	\$42.78
4208388	MR. D S HOME CENTER	SUPPLIES	\$84.14
4208389	XCEL ENERGY	APR UTILITIES	\$181.81
4208390	BOUND TREE MEDICAL, LLC	SUPPLIES	\$1,147.03
4208391	EAST MORGAN COUNTY HOSPITAL	SUPPLIES	\$325.82
4208392	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	APR MAINT	\$7.73
4208393	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	APR PHONE	\$125.75
4208394	JOHN A COLLINS MD PC	MAR MED ADVISORY FEE	\$500.00
4208395	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$16,018.02
4208396	WAKEFIELD AND ASSOCIATES	APRIL FEES	\$960.63
4208397	WAKEFIELD AND ASSOCIATES	APR BILLING FEE	\$7,167.48
4208398	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$857.24
4208399	C H P	MAY INS	\$13,684.25

# COMMISSIONERS PROCEEDINGS 19

4208400	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$15,915.13
4208401	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$2,312.83
4208402	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$3,667.52
4208403	RICHARD WILLIAMS	REFUND	\$143.71

**SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT FUND**

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
4405765	BANKCARD CENTER	TRAINING	\$1,122.62
4405766	CENTURYLINK	APR PHONE	\$124.00
4405767	INCONTACT, INC.	APR PHONE	\$8.61
4405768	MORGAN CO QUALITY WATER DIST.	APR WATER	\$61.21
4405769	MORGAN COUNTY R.E.A	APR UTILITIES	\$2,810.86
4405770	CENTRAL AUTO PARTS	SUPPLIES	\$85.95
4405771	FASTENAL COMPANY	SUPPLIES	\$10.45
4405772	GEOCYCLE	RECYCLING	\$1,188.00
4405773	GREAT COPIER SERVICE INC	APR MAINT	\$9.37
4405774	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	APR SERVICE	\$58.66
4405775	AMERICAN ENVIRONMENTAL	APR SERVICE	\$12,877.53
4405776	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$16,224.30
4405777	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$161.04
4405778	C H P	MAY INS	\$3,918.35
4405779	FAMILY SUPPORT REGISTRY	MAY GARNISH	\$302.00
4405780	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$3,423.95
4405781	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$441.19
4405782	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$2,193.26

**LODGING AND TOURISM FUND**

WARRANT NO.	VENDOR	DESCRIPTION	AMOUNT
7201455	ACCESS PRINTER SUPPLIES INC	SUPPLIES	\$351.99
7201456	BANKCARD CENTER	ON ACCT	\$207.47
7201457	FLAGSHIP PUBLISHING INC	ADVERTISING	\$1,760.00
7201458	LAMAR COMPANIES	MAY ADVERTISING	\$1,087.00
7201459	LEAVE NO TRACE CENTER FOR	MEMBERSHIP FEES	\$250.00
7201460	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR PHONE	\$27.14
7201461	BRUSH AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	MEALS	\$15.00
7201462	MILE HIGH SPORTS, LLC	ADVERTISING	\$925.00
7201463	MORGAN COMMUNITY COLLEGE	ADVERTISING	\$250.00
7201464	NATIONAL SKEET SHOOTING ASSOC.	ADVERTISING	\$631.00
7201465	NE COLORADO CELLULAR, INC	PHONE	\$49.96
7201466	NORTHEAST COLO BROADCASTING	ADVERTISING	\$120.00
7201467	PRAIRIE MOUNTAIN PUBLISHING CO	ADVERTISING	\$534.00
7201468	MORGAN COUNTY CENTRAL SERVICES	APR REIMB	\$467.10
7201469	5280 PUBLISHING INC	JUN ADVERTISING	\$2,500.00
7201470	LAMAR COMPANIES	JUN ADVERTISING	\$1,087.00
7201471	AMERICAN FIDELITY ASSURANCE	MAY INS	\$34.20
7201472	C H P	MAY INS	\$991.00
7201473	MORGAN CO TREASURER	MAY FED/FICA W/H	\$552.19
7201474	MORGAN COUNTY TREAS	MAY STATE W/H	\$76.55
7201475	MORGAN COUNTY TREASURER/CCOERAMAY RETIREMENT		\$195.52

**THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS  
MORGAN COUNTY, COLORADO**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/James P. Zwetzig  
James P. Zwetzig, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Mark A. Arndt  
Mark A. Arndt, Commissioner

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Jon J. Becker  
Jon J. Becker, Commissioner

(SEAL)

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
s/Susan L. Bailey  
Susan L. Bailey