

**CCIT Meeting
February 18, 2009**

Economic Stimulus Package:

CCIT membership should not be directed by pressure from program people. There is a timeframe for the expenditure of the money. Some money may have shorter timelines. Mr. Jaros has not read all 1000 pages. Mr. Jaros reported that based on the general terms and condition of the bill 50% of the money needs to be contracted for expenditure by February 16, 2010. The remainder of the money needs to be contracted for by February 16, 2011. There is a need for transparency for the expenditure of this money. The expenditure of the money needs to be tied to the www. Recovery.gov website. The State's contract management database will not be ready until July 2009. The SB 228 working groups will determine whether or not the Stimulus money contracts will be included in the new Cobblestone deployment. The money is broken out into different project groups. The money will be distributed by the Federal Departments (Labor, Energy, and Transportation) to the State Departments (Labor, Energy, and Transportation). The Governor is forming a committee to manage and make decisions at the State level regarding the distribution of the money. John Utterback believes that he or Adrienne Benavidez will be on this committee. John Utterback stated that it is not likely that there will be changes in the procurement methods or limits. However, there may be reasons to reconsider when more details about the money and the programs are released. John believes that if these solicitations were viewed by all parties involved as projects with requirements and timelines the current methods available should be workable. Hiring freeze money that is 100 % Federal is exempt from the freeze. Money that is a mixture of money is not and requires a waiver. John Utterback and Bob Jaros will be fielding and answering questions about this money. They will create a basic position paper on topic of stimulus money, and then based on the paper address specific questions. They would like to avoid a series of questions that take in all conceivable scenarios. They would like questions that are more directed around the things we know.

SB 54

At the last CCIT meeting Bob Jaros had 15 questions about SB 54, he now has 31. He read the new questions to the group, but he didn't provide answers. There have been a couple of lawsuits filed against SB 54, but neither sought injunctive action. Therefore, the requirements proceed. There was an expectation that the legislature would support and fund a database to track this information. A bill was introduced, but was defeated in committee. A second piece of enacting legislation was desired to provide clarification of the ambiguous nature of SB 54, no such legislation has been presented or is seen in the future. Bob Jaros is therefore going to start the rule making process for SB 54. This rulemaking will reside with the Executive Directors office, not State Controller, because the bill identifies the Executive Director as the authority. Bob has requested that you identify yourself when asking questions about SB 54. Who you are and where you work. He is attempting to weed out the questions from people outside the State of Colorado, like lawyers from NEW YORK CITY.

SB 228

There is a new and improved, updated FAC sheet available on the SCO website. Brenda is hosting a meeting that will include a demonstration of the product on February 25, 2009, at the Coors Distribution Center.

Contract routing process.

Kevin Edwards asked people to be patient with them as they work through this change. He additionally asked people to include personnel waiver information when routing contracts. He announced that OIT wants to review all contracts and contract amendments in any dollar amount. It is unclear what OIT wants regarding change orders, assignments, task orders. OIT does not want to review Purchase Orders. It is possible that the e-mail that Kevin sent out as I was writing this provides additional clarity; I'm just reporting what was discussed at the meeting.

Personnel Services Review Process

Kirsten Jahn from the Human Resources Office presented this information. It was reported that the Personnel Department has three contracts that violate the process they have in place and the contracts are going to need to be cancelled. One case involved a contract that the agency has a waiver in place for a similar service but it is not the same and is therefore in violation. She did not identify specific, probably in an attempt not to embarrass someone in the room.