

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	RECOMMENDATION	DESCRIPTION	OUTCOME DESIRED	EFFECTIVENESS:	COST TO IMPLEMENT				
2				Results in positive outcome for at-risk adults (Scale 1-5)	Training	Service Provision	Staff	Other	Other Revenue?
3					1- State Cost				
4					2- County Cost				
5					3- Law Enforcement Cost				
6	<b>1. Education and Training</b>	1. Training budget for APS							
7		2. Public service announcements and media campaigns							
8		3. Law enforcement training - P.O.S.T.							
9	<b>2. Coordinated Intervention and Resources</b>	1. Use County Adult Protective Services Teams to leverage resources to meet the needs of vulnerable adults.							
10		2. Examine other existing models (such as those in the domestic violence field) to see if they could be applied to APS.							
11		3. Look at the Child Protection System for ideas that could be re-modeled for APS.							
12		4. Encourage communities to incorporate safety planning to help at risk adults maintain self-sufficiency.							
13		5. Implement basic and fundamental services and resources as a part of the implementation of mandatory reporting.							
14		6. A change in the statute to allow specially trained personnel (such as APS staff or other Lincensed Clinical Social Workers) to conduct in-home capacity evaluations.							
15		7. Authorize and fund the addition of 5-6 FTE to be based regionally throughout the state to conduct capacity evaluations during home visits with APS and law enforcement.							
16		8. Authorize an apporpriation that can be drawn down by counties to secure capacity evaluations for APS clients from a regionally-based pool of experts.							
17		9. Authorize an apporpriation that can be drawn down by counties to secure medical evaluations for APS clients from a regionally-based pool of experts.							
18		10. Authorize and fund the addition of 5-6 local law enforcement FTE to act as liaisons between APS and local law enforcement agencies to assist with investigation and prosecution of crimes against at-risk adults.							

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I
1	RECOMMENDATION	DESCRIPTION	OUTCOME DESIRED	EFFECTIVENESS:	COST TO IMPLEMENT				
2				Results in positive outcome for at-risk adults (Scale 1-5)	Training	Service Provision	Staff	Other	Other Revenue?
3					1- State Cost				
4					2- County Cost				
5					3- Law Enforcement Cost				
19		11. Authorize an appropriation that can be drawn down by local law enforcement agencies and/or county APS programs to secure consultative assistance for investigations from state experts.							
20		12. Authorize an appropriation that can be drawn down by counties to secure forensic accounting services from a regionally-based pool of experts.							
21		13. Authorize an appropriation that can be drawn down by counties to secure emergency services for clients.							
22		14. Regional APS resource centers could help address the needs of smaller communities that cannot rely on economics of scale to afford resources available to larger counties.							
23		15. Appoint a separate task force to pursue options and recommendations for implementing a public guardianship program in Colorado.							
24	<b>3. Banking Transactions</b>	1. Evaluate barriers to law enforcement obtaining customer banking information.							
25		2. Liberalize the process for law enforcement to obtain banking information.							
26		3. Remove formal legal process for law enforcement to obtain information.							
27		4. Consider eliminating prior consent laws so financial institutions can hold/ delay transactions in known/ suspected financial exploitation.							
28	<b>4. State Department Prioritization of Protecting At Risk Adults</b>	1. Fiscally neutral ways to prioritize at-risk cases. (David Blake is to populate)							
29	<b>5. Guardianship</b>	1. Examine guardianship/ conservatorship related to mandatory reporting and rep. Payee.							

