

Final
STAFF SUMMARY OF MEETING

ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY POVERTY REDUCTION TASK FORCE

Date:	10/16/2013	ATTENDANCE
Time:	10:07 AM to 03:33 PM	Balmer X
Place:	SCR 356	Exum *
		Hudak X
		Joshi X
This Meeting was called to order by		Marble X
<u>Senator Kefalas</u>		Pettersen X
		Saine X
This Report was prepared by		Ulibarri X
<u>Rachel Kurtz-Phelan</u>		Fields *
		Kefalas X

X = Present, E = Excused, A = Absent, * = Present after roll call

Bills Addressed:	Action Taken:
Welcome and Discussion of Bill Prioritization	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Consideration of Proposed Legislation	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 3	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 4	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 8	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 5	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 9	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 10	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Discussion of Bill 11	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only
Task Force Vote on Legislation	Witness Testimony and/or Committee Discussion Only

10:07 AM -- Welcome and Discussion of Bill Prioritization

Senator Kefalas, chair, welcomed the task force members and audience to the meeting. He explained the day's agenda and how the task force would vote on and prioritize which bills to send to the Legislative Council Executive Committee. He stated that public testimony would be taken on all bills, and that final action would not be taken on the bills until after lunch. He told the task force that Bill 7 was removed from the agenda at the request of the sponsoring member in order to further engage the stakeholders before introducing the bill. He reminded the task force that of the ten bills being considered by the task force, only eight of would be sent to Legislative Council. Senator Kefalas explained that the task force members must decide whether the bill title and short title are appropriate, whether to include a safety clause in the bill, and whether to allow staff from the Office of Legislative Legal Services (OLLS) to make necessary technical changes to the bills. He stated that the task force must also decide whether each bill will start in the house or senate, and who will be the sponsor and co-sponsor of each bill. Senator Balmer asked whether the task force would be voting on the concept of the bill or the bill itself. Senator Kefalas responded that the task force would be voting on the actual bill draft and reminded the members that there is still time to make changes to the bills before sending them to Legislative Council.

10:15 AM

The task force continued to discuss the bill drafting process and whether particular bills should be considered task force bills or bills from individual task force members. Senator Kefalas responded that the interim committee process is different from the normal standing committee process in terms of drafting bills and procedures. Senator Hudak talked about the role of the working groups in drafting bills and working with the OLLS drafters.

10:20 AM -- Consideration of Proposed Legislation- Bill 1

Senator Kefalas introduced Bill 1 (Attachment A) which concerns property-related expense assistance grants for low-income seniors and individuals with disabilities. He gave a brief description of the Property Tax/Rent/Heat (PTC) rebate program, and reminded the task force members about the presentation they heard on the performance audit of the PTC program and the recommendations made by the Office of the State Auditor in terms of implementation and outreach. He explained that the bill increases income thresholds for participants to a range of \$6,639 on the low end to \$11,369 on the high end. He stated that as a person or couple's income increases, the rebate decreases. Senator Kefalas explained that the bill also creates a flat rebate amount for the rent and heat for higher income levels, and provides support for people on low and fixed incomes. He told the task force that the bill creates a hybrid program model with the Department of Revenue (DOR) responsible for administration of the program, and the Department of Human Services responsible for outreach. He stated that the departments must work together with counties and community-based organizations on outreach and implementation. Senator Kefalas discussed the importance of updating the DOR GenTax system to ensure that rebate overpayments and underpayments do not happen in the future. He stated that the bill gives the Executive Director of DOR the authority to waive the requirement to return a rebate overpayment if it is due to an error made by DOR.

10:27 AM

Senator Kefalas distributed amendment L.003 (Attachment B). Ed DeCecco, Office of Legislative Legal Services, came to the table to answer questions about how the grant program in the bill is funded. He explained that the program is funded through an account that is already established for people who are owed income tax refunds, and not from the General Fund. Alex Schatz, Legislative Council Staff, came to the table to answer questions from the task force on the fiscal impact of the bill. He discussed the components of the rebate program that have fiscal impacts. Senator Balmer stated that it is important to work towards bringing energy costs down for all people in Colorado, not just for low-income individuals. Senator Marble asked whether the state has the money to support the PTC rebate program.

10:39 AM

Senator Ulibarri stated that energy costs have increased while income has decreased and therefore it is the responsibility of the state to assist those who can't afford to pay for heat in the winter. He said the state must provide assistance to people living in affordable housing, and talked about those impacted by the recent floods in Colorado. Senator Kefalas explained that amendment L.003 (Attachment B) changes the bill so that the rebate payments will be paid in four installments as opposed to the one rebate payment per year as required in the bill.

The following people testified on the bill:

10:43 AM -- Dr. Mike Cortes, representing the University of Denver Graduate School of Social Work and the Colorado Latino Age Wave, spoke in favor of the bill. He said that Latino Age Wave supports the bill and the amendment (Attachment B). He said often older Latinos do not receive services for which they are eligible and it is important to change that.

10:45 AM -- Rich Mauro, representing Denver Regional Council Of Governments and the Colorado Senior Lobby, and Eileen Doherty, representing the Colorado Gerontological Society (CGS), spoke about the bill. Mr. Mauro stated that the Senior Lobby supports the bill. Ms. Doherty stated that CGS supports the bill but would like the grant calculation formula to be simplified. Senator Ulibarri asked the witnesses to comment on how important it is for seniors to be able to heat their homes during the winter. Senator Hudak stated that the program is also for people with disabilities who do not have a way to earn income. Representative Pettersen stated that some people must choose between purchasing medications or keeping their home heated.

10:53 AM

There were no more witnesses. Senator Kefalas gave closing comments to the bill and explained to the task force how he determined the rebate and grant amounts included in the bill. He said the bill is intended to help low-income and disabled people stay in their homes which ultimately will lead to saving taxpayer dollars.

10:56 AM -- Discussion of Bill 3

Senator Balmer introduced Bill 3 (Attachment C) concerning the issuance of identification cards to people who are lawfully present in the United States but may have difficulty with certain documentary evidence. He thanked the working group for identifying a need in the state and talked about the working group's concern about people who are unable to obtain a state-issued identification card (ID) because they are unable to produce a birth certificate for a variety of reasons. He said that the bill applies to seniors ages 70 and older and veterans. He told the task force that some stakeholders have expressed concerns that the ID created by the bill will be incongruent with the federal requirements of the REAL ID Act. He stated that banks and other financial institutions may have issues with accepting the new state ID and that they will be watching the bill very closely. Senator Balmer reiterated that the bill offers a new route to obtaining a state ID, and explained that DOR is concerned about the estimated \$2-\$5 million implementation cost of the bill since there will be an increase in hearings for people going through the exceptions process. He said the exceptions process is cumbersome and time consuming. Senator Balmer questioned whether the bill is necessary since over the past year there have been only seven hearings conducted for people who are unable to obtain an ID through the regular process.

The following people testified:

11:08 AM -- Terry Scanlon, representing the Colorado Center on Law and Policy, and Linda Olsen, representing Colorado Legal Services clients, came to the table to speak about the bill. Mr. Scanlon talked about the groups that support the bill, and stated that the bill will reduce poverty for many people who have a hard time accessing an ID in order to obtain employment, housing, and public benefits. He said there are a variety of critical services that require an ID to access. Mr. Scanlon stated that there are organizations of lawyers who work an average of 60 pro bono hours and 7-8 months to help people obtain IDs. He said the bill will ease the process of legally changing one's name, and discussed the two new documents that the bill allows to be used to prove lawful presence.

11:22 AM -- Ms. Olsen talked about the ID project managed by Colorado Legal Services, and ways to simplify the current process. She discussed reasons why a person may not have access to his or her birth certificate and why it is helpful to be able to show another type of document instead of a birth certificate when applying for an ID. She stated that the exceptions process is not the same as a hearing and that the bill may need to be amended to show this difference. She said that a simplified process will save the department time and money because people won't have to come in repeatedly. Senator Kefalas asked if any of the changes made by the bill can be made administratively instead of statutorily and asked whether there is a middle-ground to the exceptions issue to lessen the fiscal impact. He discussed Senate Bill 13-004 and asked whether Bill 3 (Attachment C) comports with any aspects of SB 13-004. He talked about the difficulty in obtaining finger prints for very elderly people and issues with name changes.

11:24 AM -- Jerry Payne, OLLS, came to the table and stated that Bill 3 is not in conflict with SB 13-004.

11:27 AM

Senator Ulibarri asked the witnesses to comment on issues that may be faced by victims of the recent floods in Colorado when trying to replace a lost ID.

11:29 AM -- Mike Dixon, representing the Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV), DOR, stated that the department maintains a neutral position on the bill. He talked about recent improvements in DMV procedures that deal with the exceptions processes. He talked about the fiscal impact of the bill and told the task force about the exceptions process hearing. Mr. Dixon answered questions from the committee about the DMV's current exceptions process.

11:43 AM

Senator Ulibarri said that he recognizes that there is already a process in place at the DMV but that it's not serving everyone that has a need. Senator Kefalas asked Mr. Dixon to comment on how the DMV has altered its policies to accommodate elderly customers who do not have access to a birth certificate, as well as those who are disabled or incarcerated.

11:49 AM

There were no more witnesses and no amendments to the bill.

11:50 AM -- Discussion of Bill 4

Senator Kefalas introduced Bill 4 (Attachment D) which concerns the Aid to the Needy Disabled (AND) program. He explained that AND functions as an interim aid program, and that in order to qualify a person must be between 18 and 59 years old and must have a disability that cause him or her to not be able to work for at least six months. He said the bill increases the cash assistance amount for the program and that the amount will be adjusted for inflation and to conform to federal poverty guidelines. He talked about the matching requirement for the counties and explained that since the bill increases the cash assistance amount given by the state, it also increases the county match amount. Senator Balmer stated that these types of decisions should remain within the normal budgetary process and should not be changed legislatively. He said that the Joint Budget Committee is able to increase the amount of assistance as needed and expressed concern about setting the adjustment rate to federal poverty guidelines. The committee discussed the financial impacts of the bill and the need to prioritize limited financial resources.

The following people testified:

12:02 PM -- Pat Ratliff, representing Colorado Counties, Inc. (CCI), spoke about the bill. She stated that there may be a way to lessen the impact of the 20 percent county match requirement.

12:03 PM -- Chaer Robert, representing the Colorado Center on Law and Policy, spoke about the bill. She discussed the need for a safety net for those people who are unable to find their way out of poverty because they are destitute and disabled and in need of assistance before they are able to qualify for Supplemental Security Income. Ms. Robert talked about the organizations in Colorado that help people who are at the bottom of the income ladder.

12:06 PM

There were no more witnesses and no amendments to the bill. The task force recessed for lunch.

12:48 PM -- Discussion of Bill 8

The task force came back to order. Senator Marble stated that she would be withdrawing Bill 6 in order to continue working on the bill. Senator Kefalas introduced Bill 8 (Attachment E) concerning Community Development Financial Institutions (CDFI). He said that there are currently nine CDFIs in Colorado that work in local communities assisting with affordable housing, community development, and micro-enterprise. He explained that CDFIs provide financing options to individuals or entities who would otherwise not be able to get financing for certain projects. He said that the bill allows a local jurisdiction to invest its reserve funds into a certified CDFI if it chooses to do so, and creates another tool for localities to invest dollars into local community development projects. He said that bill also makes technical changes to CDFIs to give them more flexibility in making loans and releasing deeds of trust for particular parcels of land that are held as collateral. Senator Hudak asked if the bill was suggested by one of the working groups.

The following person testified:

12:57 PM -- Joe Rowan, representing Colorado CDFIs, spoke in support of the bill. He distributed a handout (Attachment F) and told the task force how and why CDFIs were developed in Colorado and about their mission and purpose. He explained that CDFIs partake in micro-financing, small loans to help small businesses get started, home financing for low-income populations, flexible financing focused on the needs of a community and the buyer, and help people become "bankable" in order to move up the economic ladder. He said the bill allows communities to invest in their own community development, and invest in areas that are emerging but are not yet ready for traditional financing. Senator Kefalas asked Mr. Rowan to talk about the aspect of the bill that add CDFIs as a qualified holder. Mr. Rowan responded that this provision allows CDFIs to submit to the public trustee a request for a partial release of collateral.

01:07 PM

There were no more witnesses and no amendments to the bill.

01:07 PM -- Discussion of Bill 5

Representative Fields introduced Bill 5 (Attachment G) concerning adult education and literacy programs. She stated that the best path out of poverty is to ensure educational opportunity. She explained that the bill provides funding for adult education and literacy programs throughout the state and that currently adult education programs in Colorado only receive federal funding and no state funding. She stated that increasing literacy in math and reading will help adults become more competitive in the middle-skill job market and that technical certification programs will help people be more employable. Senator Kefalas asked how the bill helps foster collaboration. Representative Fields responded that currently, CDE has an office that is responsible for adult education programs so the infrastructure is already in place in the state. She said the funding provided in the bill will help fund the office so that it can further expand the programs it offers. Senator Kefalas asked about the fiscal impact of the bill and where the funding will come from. Representative Fields stated that it will require an approximately \$1.2 million appropriation, but exact figures will be determined at a later time. The task force discussed the differences between the Family Literacy Act and the Adult Education and Literacy Act in the bill.

The following people testified:

01:18 PM -- Frank Waterous, representing the Bell Policy Center, Laurie Harvey, representing the Center for Work, Education, and Employment (CWEE), and Chaer Robert, representing the Skills to Compete Coalition, came to the table as a group to speak about the bill. Ms. Robert talked about the need for people to access skills training in order to help them get a better job. She talked about the organizations that are members of the Skills to Compete Coalition. Ms. Harvey talked about CWEE's programs and the need for additional programs to enhance skills. Mr. Waterous talked about the process the working group and coalition went through to develop the bill. He said that educational attainment is one of the key pathways out of poverty and talked about the benefits of increased skills to employers. He reiterated the partnerships and collaboration defined in the bill are crucial, and said that the state must make a meaningful investment in order to support the partnerships created by the bill and to ensure its success..

01:32 PM

Mr. Waterous responded to questions from the committee about program funding and the importance of the partnerships outlined in the bill.

01:35 PM -- Margaret Kirkpatrick, Director of the Adult Education and Family Literacy Office in the Colorado Department of Education, came to the table to answer questions from the committee. She said that currently the office is not allowed to offer skills training or transition assistance programs and told the task force how the funding in the bill will assist her office with implementing adult education programs that are not currently being offered. The task force members expressed concern about putting the 17 percent set-aside for administrative costs into statute.

01:40 PM -- Jennifer Mello, representing the Colorado Department of Education, came to the table to talk about the 17 percent set-aside for administrative costs in the bill.

01:44 PM

There were no more witnesses. Representative Fields distributed amendment L.001 (Attachment H) which allocates an appropriation for the bill.

01:45 PM -- Discussion of Bill 9

Senator Kefalas introduced Bill 9 (Attachment I) which concerns manufactured home communities. He stated that mobile homes, also called manufactured homes, are an important part of the affordable housing continuum and that the state is losing manufactured home communities so it's important to maintain the communities that do still exist. He explained that when a community is going to be sold or redeveloped, it is important to mitigate the impacts to those who may be relocated or kicked out of their homes due to the change in use of the land and community. Senator Kefalas distributed amendment L.001 (Attachment J) and explained the changes the amendment makes to the bill. He told the task force that the bill changes the Mobile Home Park Act to the Manufactured Home Communities Act and seeks to ensure good relationships between the owners of manufactured home communities and the owners of the manufactured homes themselves. He went through the provisions of the bill that deal with consumer protection and titling issues.

01:56 PM

Senator Kefalas continued to discuss the changes amendment L.001 (Attachment J) makes to the bill.

The following people testified:

01:59 PM -- Joan Green, representing mobile home park owners, spoke about the bill. She said that some of the changes made by the bill do not address the concerns of mobile home park owners and said that mobile home park owners were not consulted about the bill. She said that many protections in the bill are already in law, that the bill imposes rent control, the language in the bill is conflicting, and adds significant burdens to mobile home park owners. She stated that she does not support the bill in its current form.

02:07 PM -- Tawny Peyton, representing the Rocky Mountain Home Association, spoke about the bill. She stated that she has concerns about the bill.

02:09 PM -- Corrine Fowler, representing the Colorado Progressive Coalition, spoke in support of the bill. She stated that she supports the consumer protection aspects of the bill and that there are currently no protections for mobile home owners when mobile home parks are sold.

02:12 PM

There were no more witnesses. Senator Balmer said that he is concerned that the bill will place new regulations on mobile home park businesses. He said that mobile home parks are different than public housing complexes and the bill will cause consumers to have less access to affordable housing. Senator Ulibarri talked about the importance of consumer protections for people who own mobile homes and reviewed the discussions that took place during the Housing Continuum working group meetings. Representative Saine said that there is already affordable housing available without the government getting involved. She talked about her concerns with the bill. Senator Kefalas withdrew Bill 9 (Attachment I) from consideration and stated that he will continue working on the bill with stakeholders.

02:20 PM -- Discussion of Bill 10

Senator Hudak introduced Bill 10 (Attachment K) concerning an income tax credit for child care expenses. She told the task force that the bill was recommended by the Early Childcare and Education Working group, and that the people that need this tax credit the most are currently ineligible to receive it in Colorado. She explained that a person or family must have a federal adjusted gross income of less than \$25,000 to apply for the refundable tax credit. She explained that the people the bill targets don't have a high enough federal income tax liability to file for the federal child care credit, and since the state child care credit is tied to the federal credit the bill separates the state credit from the federal credit. She said the credit is for child care expenses for children under 13 years old.

02:25 PM -- Ed DeCecco, Office of Legislative Legal Services, came to the table to answer questions about specific provisions of the bill. Senator Balmer responded to Mr. DeCecco by talking about the purpose of tax credits and said that the credit provided in the bill is not a tax credit. Mr. DeCecco continued to answer questions from the task force about the changes the bill makes to current law and about the fiscal impact of the bill.

02:34 PM -- Natalie Mullis, Legislative Council Staff, came to the table to answer questions about the fiscal impact of the bill.

The following person testified:

02:35 PM -- Chaer Robert, representing the Colorado Center on Law and Policy, spoke in support of the bill. She distributed a handout (Attachment L) and explained the handout. She said that child care can be a family's highest expense aside from rent and that a person cannot get and keep a job without child care. She explained that a person must be working and earn income in order to qualify for the tax credit. She stated that the bill fixes that part of the existing state child care tax credit that unintentionally excludes the lowest income workers.

02:42 PM

There were no more witnesses. Senator Hudak distributed amendment L.001 (Attachment M) and explained that it is a technical amendment.

02:43 PM -- Discussion on Bill 11

Senator Hudak introduced Bill 11 (Attachment N) concerning the Colorado Child Care Assistance Program (CCAP). She spoke about the "cliff effect" and the pilot program created to help counties ease the assistance cut-off for individuals in order to counteract the cliff effect. She said that during the recession, counties did not participate in the pilot program due to a lack of finances. Senator Hudak explained that the bill extends the pilot program in order to give counties time to implement the program and report back on its success or failure, as well as provide money to help implement the pilot program. She said that up to 10 counties may choose to participate in the pilot program.

The following people testified:

02:48 PM -- Bill Jager, representing the Colorado Children's Campaign, Terry Scanlon, representing the Colorado Center on Law and Policy, Molly Yost, representing Clayton Early Learning, and Rich Jones, representing the Bell Policy Center, came to the table as a group to testify in support of the bill. Mr. Scanlon spoke about child care expenses and the under-funding of CCAP in recent years. He said that counties can choose to participate in the pilot program, it is not required. Mr. Jones spoke about the cliff effect and about why counties did not participate in the pilot program when it was first established in 2012. He talked about the specifics of the pilot program in the bill. Mr. Jager stated that the bill seeks to improve the quality of child care in Colorado.

02:57 PM -- Pat Ratliff, representing Colorado Counties, Inc. (CCI), came to the table and said that CCI is neutral on the bill but supports the fact that the bill is permissive to counties. She answered questions from the task force about the dates for funding the pilot program.

03:00 PM -- Sarah Sills, Legislative Liaison for the Department of Human Services, came to the table to answer questions about the dates of the pilot program funding.

03:01 PM

Senator Hudak distributed amendment L.001 (Attachment O). Mr. Scanlon and Mr. Jager came back to the table to discuss the changes the amendment makes to the bill.

03:04 PM -- Natalie Mullis, Legislative Council Staff, came to the table to answer questions about the fiscal impact to the state if all counties decide to opt-in to the pilot program.

03:08 PM

Senator Hudak told that task force that she is withdrawing Bill 2.

03:09 PM -- Task Force Vote on Legislation

Senator Kefalas stated that the committee would vote on the bills to send to Legislative Council and the amendments to the bills.

BILL:	Bill 1	
TIME:	03:10:02 PM	
MOVED:	Kefalas	
MOTION:	Moved amendment L.003 (Attachment B) to Bill 1. The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:		
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

BILL:	Bill 1	
TIME:	03:12:08 PM	
MOVED:	Kefalas	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 1 (Attachment A), as amended, to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Pettersen	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 3	
TIME:	03:14:14 PM	
MOVED:	Ulibarri	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 3 (Attachment C) to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Fields	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 4	
TIME:	03:18:05 PM	
MOVED:	Kefalas	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 4 (Attachment D) to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 8	
TIME:	03:20:07 PM	
MOVED:	Kefalas	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 8 (Attachment E) to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 5	
TIME:	03:22:15 PM	
MOVED:	Fields	
MOTION:	Moved amendment L.001 (Attachment H) to Bill 5. The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

03:22 PM

Representative Fields distributed amendment L.002 (Attachment P) to Bill 5 and explained the changes the amendment makes to the bill.

BILL:	Bill 5	
TIME:	03:23:34 PM	
MOVED:	Fields	
MOTION:	Moved amendment L.002 (Attachment P) to Bill 5. The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

BILL:	Bill 5	
TIME:	03:24:28 PM	
MOVED:	Fields	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 5 (Attachment G), as amended, to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 10	
TIME:	03:26:09 PM	
MOVED:	Kefalas	
MOTION:	Moved amendment L.001 (Attachment M) to Bill 10. The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

BILL:	Bill 10	
TIME:	03:26:56 PM	
MOVED:	Hudak	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 10 (Attachment K), as amended, to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:		
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS		

BILL:	Bill 11	
TIME:	03:28:33 PM	
MOVED:	Hudak	
MOTION:	Moved amendment L.001 (Attachment O) to Bill 11. The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

BILL:	Bill 11	
TIME:	03:31:19 PM	
MOVED:	Hudak	
MOTION:	Moved a conceptual amendment to Bill 11 (Attachment N) to change "calendar year" to "state fiscal year." The motion passed without objection.	
SECONDED:		
		VOTE
	Balmer	
	Exum	
	Hudak	
	Joshi	
	Marble	
	Pettersen	
	Saine	
	Ulibarri	
	Fields	
	Kefalas	
YES: 0 NO: 0 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: Pass Without Objection		

BILL:	Bill 11	
TIME:	03:31:32 PM	
MOVED:	Hudak	
MOTION:	Moved to refer Bill 11 (Attachment N), as amended, to Legislative Council. The motion passed on a vote of 6-4.	
SECONDED:	Ulibarri	
		VOTE
	Balmer	No
	Exum	Yes
	Hudak	Yes
	Joshi	No
	Marble	No
	Pettersen	Yes
	Saine	No
	Ulibarri	Yes
	Fields	Yes
	Kefalas	Yes
	Final YES: 6 NO: 4 EXC: 0 ABS: 0 FINAL ACTION: PASS	

03:35 PM

The meeting adjourned.