

Implementation of Amendment 64 Task Force

Department of Revenue Gaming Conference Room
17301 West Colfax Avenue, Suite 135
Golden, CO 80401

Meeting Minutes

December 17, 2012

12:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m.

ATTENDANCE:

Task Force Members Present: Jack Finlaw, Barbara Brohl, Dan Pabon, Cheri Jahn, Dan Nordberg, Vicki Marble, Dr. Chris Urbina, James Davis, Christian Sederberg, Meg Sanders, Craig Small, Sam Kamin, Ron Kammerzell, Dr. Chris Thurstone, Charles Garcia, Larry Abrahamson, Brian Connors, David Blake, Kevin Bommer, Eric Bergman, Tamara Ward, Mike Cerbo, Daniel Zook

Task Force Members Excused: John Salazar (Mr. Ron Carleton attended on his behalf)

Staff Members: Mark Couch, Brandon Friede, Lindsay Cox, Mia Tsuchimoto

CALL TO ORDER:

Co-Chairs Mr. Finlaw and Ms. Brohl called the meeting to order at 12:02 p.m.

Introduction of Task Force Members: Mr. Finlaw asked the Task Force members to: introduce themselves, state their job title, how they were appointed, and the interests that they represent. Mr. Salazar sent a proxy to the meeting, Mr. Carleton.

Introduction of the Task Force and the Agenda: Mr. Finlaw introduced the agenda for the meeting and gave an overview on how business will be conducted. Ms. Brohl introduced the Task Force binder that was given to all Task Force members upon arrival at the meeting. Ms. Brohl proceeded to explain the contents within the binder and the importance of all the materials. Mr. Finlaw then proceeded to introduce the staff members also present at the meeting.

After the agenda for the meeting and administrative materials for the Task Force were introduced, Mr. Finlaw stated the general objective of the Implementation of Amendment 64 Task Force and the role it is designed to play in the scheme of enacting the amendment. It was stressed that the Task Force is to move forward on an implementation plan, and not debate the merits of the amendment itself.

Desired Outcome and Process: Ms. Brohl continued the meeting by explaining the role that working groups will play within the Task Force. She stated that recommendations to the greater Task Force will come via the working groups. It was further noted that some Task Force members have already been tapped as Co-Chairs of the working groups, who will work to facilitate the working groups' progress.

The next issue that was identified by Mr. Finlaw, was the acknowledgment that the timeframe for the Task Force is relatively short. Moving forward, it is desired that the Task Force meet at least twice in

January and at least twice in February. The following dates were decided upon for future Task Force meetings: January 7th, January 22nd, February 5th, February 19th, and February 28th. All meeting times are to be at 1 p.m., unless otherwise noted.

Ms. Brohl next addressed the Task Force Guidelines, which seek to govern the Task Force's process. The guidelines were part of the materials found in the Task Force binder, issued to every member. Under the Task Force guidelines, public comment is specifically provided for. On the Department of Revenue's website, under the Amendment 64 link, there will be an email inbox which can be used by the public to raise issues of concern. At the Task Force meetings themselves, public comment will be taken as time permits. It was further noted that members of the public wishing to speak must sign up in advance, and will be limited to two minutes. Members of the public may not give their time to another person to speak and organizations, municipalities, corporate bodies, or other groups must designate one person per meeting to speak on the group's behalf.

Taskforce Structure and Leadership: Mr. Finlaw introduced the working groups and the areas of focus each is to take. Five working groups were introduced: Regulatory Framework, Local Authority and Control, Tax/Funding and Civil Law Issues, Criminal Law and Consumer Safety/Social Issues. The Co-Chairs for each of the working groups were identified and thanked by Mr. Finlaw. The working group co-chairs were designated responsible for the infrastructure and meeting times of the working groups and were asked to let Mark Couch know when meetings will take place, so proper notice to the public can be given.

Issue Identification: William Browning was introduced as the acting facilitator for this portion of the meeting. It was again stressed that the merits of Amendment 64 were not to be debated, but now was the time to identify issues that must be addressed in implementing this amendment. The Task Force members were to raise issues per working group, which they would like to see addressed. Mr. Browning led the discussion among Task Force members, which generated a working list of issues for all five of the working groups.

At this time, Mr. Browning closed the issue identification portion of the meeting. Mr. Finlaw suggested a goal for the working groups of getting together before the meeting on January 7th, so working groups can report their findings. Ms. Brohl followed up with reminding Task Force members that they will receive a survey via email, in order to list their subcommittee participation preference.

Public Comment: Mr. Finlaw opened up the meeting for public comment at 1:48 p.m. and set a time cap of 2:15 p.m. Mr. Browning also facilitated this portion of the meeting. Seventeen members of the public chose to address the Task Force during this time, covering a variety of topics of public concern. Having no other members of the public wishing to speak, Mr. Finlaw closed public comment at 2:10 p.m.

CLOSING:

Closing remarks were given by Mr. Finlaw and Ms. Brohl, where members of the public, Mr. Browning and his staff and the Task Force were all thanked for their time. A reminder was given that all of the Task

Force working documents will be placed on the Department of Revenue website where they can be located.

Adjourn Implementation of Amendment 64 Task Force: Having no further business to come before the Task Force, Mr. Finlaw and Ms. Brohl adjourned the meeting at 2:13 p.m.