

Good Afternoon, Darrell Beitel and I have Malignant MS.

- Chemical Engineer, Graduate work finance and recognized Global Supply Chain Expert
- Fortune 100/500 experience and COO JCIT/DemandPoint, no use for 30 years.
- MMJ since 2009 and very little narcotics, Master Grower and student of varieties

I am going to detail 2 subjects which I feel can bring some further clarity from my testimony today:

- Vertical Integration "70/30" growing rule
- 5 ng/ml testing limit for DUID Cannabis

#1. The 70/30 Vertical Integration Rule had many effects on the MMJ business under a falsehood at that time of "Wal-Mart Models" and "Control". While this may have been a good thing to "Weed out" so to speak and consolidate a large chunk of the industry, it was very poor for Quality, Cost and Access for true good Cannabis across the State. Cannabis is not all the same. 70/30 MMCs cannot grow and offer strains and varieties the way a combination of wholesale growers and boutique growers can. We see this in the liquor industry. Wal-Mart doesn't make things, they buy them. Vertical Integration rarely works without a monopoly or close to it. If you can control the sale between MMCs, you can control it between wholesale grow operations. The lower the cost and the higher the quality, the better the business and tax base. Neither lobby nor regulation justifies this and it's not like alcohol.

#2. I used no Cannabis product today. I venture to say and previous testing shows that heavy users like me wake up with THC levels beyond 15 ng/ml blood as we use it to sleep. We more in the know by the way use 25 mg as a dosage unit for edibles and it is not unusual for us to eat 200 to 300 mg at a time of quality edibles. Make sure as you combine businesses of MMJ and A64 you understand folks who are starting out have to be aware just like drinking and not mess up all the rest of us. Just like alcohol. When I woke up this morning, I was not intoxicated. That's not like being busted for Ambien who evidently was intoxicated. I have provided number of the legislature the following:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION. NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION. DOT HS 808 078 NOVEMBER 1993. MARIJUANA AND ACTUAL DRIVING PERFORMANCE. EFFECTS OF THC ON DRIVING PERFORMANCE.

* In excess of 20 ng/ml blood to be equivalent to alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08g% in previous studies employing the same test and a function of body weight.

* It is not possible to conclude anything about a driver's impairment on the basis of his/her plasma concentrations of THC and THC-COOH determined in a single sample.

State of Washington dilemmas of level, field test, DA protests and overall costs.

Cost estimates in the bill are mis-represented. CO Enforcement & Legal costs will be \$ millions.

I proposed a compromise rational in writing with detail that could be more like 12 ng/ml and then you could review it just like we have alcohol over the years. We know the real numbers for Sole Cannabis DUID are very small. I believe we should be responsible and prudent with policy and resources on this. I don't drive intoxicated and don't want to spend money fighting the State to prove to you I'm not. The majority of people at 5ng/ml blood on a single draw are not intoxicated per the Feds.